

GUJARAT ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION



Tariff Order

Truing up for FY 2018-19 and
Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

For

**Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
(MGVCL)**

Case No. 1841 of 2019

31st March, 2020

6th Floor, GIFT ONE, Road 5C, Zone 5, GIFT CITY
Gandhinagar-382 355 (Gujarat), INDIA
Phone: +91-79-23602000 Fax: +91-79-23602054/55
E-mail: gerc@gercin.org : Website www.gercin.org



सत्यमेव जयते

GUJARAT ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

(GERC)

GANDHINAGAR

Tariff Order

Truing up for FY 2018-19,
and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

For

Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited

(MGVCL)

Case No. 1841 of 2019

31st March, 2020

CONTENTS

1	Background and Brief History.....	11
1.1	Background.....	11
1.2	Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited (MGVCL)	11
1.3	Commission's Orders for Approval of final ARR for FY 2016-17 and Approval of Multi-Year ARR for FY 2016-17 to FY 2020-21	12
1.4	Commission's Order for Approval of True Up for FY 2016-17 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2018-19	13
1.5	Commission's order for Approval of True up for FY 2017-18, Mid-Term Review of ARR for FY 2019-20 and FY 2020-21 and determination of Tariff for FY 2019-20	13
1.6	Background of the Present Petition	13
1.7	Registration of the Petition and Public Hearing Process.....	14
1.8	Approach of this Order	16
1.9	Contents of this Order	16
2	Summary of MGVCL's Petition.....	18
2.1	Introduction	18
2.2	True-Up for FY 2018-19	18
2.3	Revenue gap for FY 2018-19	19
2.4	Summary of projected Revenue Surplus/(Gap) for FY 2020-21	19
2.5	Request of MGVCL	20
3	Brief Outline of objections raised, response from MGVCL and Commission's view	21
3.1	Public Response to the Petition	21
3.2	Suggestions/ Objections common to all DISCOMs.....	21
3.3	Suggestions/ Objections pertaining to MGVCL	57
4	Truing up of FY 2018-19	64
4.1	Energy Sales	64
4.2	Distribution Losses.....	66



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

4.3	Energy requirement	67
4.4	Power Purchase Cost	68
4.5	Fixed Cost.....	72
4.5.1	Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Expenses for FY 2018-19.....	72
4.5.2	Capital Expenditure and Capitalization.....	79
4.5.3	Depreciation.....	84
4.5.4	Interest and Finance charges.....	86
4.5.5	Interest on Working Capital.....	89
4.5.6	Bad Debts Written Off	90
4.5.7	Return on Equity	92
4.5.8	Income Tax.....	94
4.5.9	Non-Tariff Income	96
4.6	ARR approved in the Truing up for FY 2018-19	97
4.7	Revenue for FY 2018-19.....	98
4.8	Revenue (Gap) / Surplus for FY 2018-19	99
5	ARR and Revenue (Gap)/Surplus for FY 2020-21.....	102
5.1	ARR for FY 2020-21	102
5.2	Revenue from existing tariff FY 2020-21	102
5.3	Revenue from FPPPA charges	104
5.4	Other (consumer related) income.....	107
5.5	Agriculture Subsidy	107
5.6	Total Expected Revenue for FY 2020-21	108
5.7	Estimated Revenue (Gap)/Surplus for FY 2020-21	109
5.8	Consolidated Revenue (Gap)/Surplus of the State-Owned DISCOMs.....	110
6	Compliance to Directives	113
6.1	Compliance to earlier directives	113
6.2	Compliance to directives in the recent order	117



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

6.3	Fresh Directives.....	118
7	Fuel and Power Purchase Price Adjustment	119
7.1	Fuel Price and Power Purchase Price Adjustment	119
7.2	Base Price of Power Purchase (PPCB).....	119
7.3	Shifting of Base FPPPA	120
8	Wheeling Charges and Cross-Subsidy Surcharge	121
8.1	Allocation Matrix.....	121
8.2	Wheeling charges	122
8.3	Cross-Subsidy Surcharge	123
9	Tariff Philosophy and Tariff Proposals.....	125
9.1	Introduction	125
9.2	Rationalisation of Tariff	125
9.2.1	Reduction in the Tariff for Lift Irrigation	125
9.2.2	Merging of Street Light and GLP Category	126
9.2.3	Night Time Rebate	127
9.2.4	Relaxation to BPL category residential consumers	128
9.2.5	EHV Rebate.....	128
9.2.6	Applicability of seasonal tariff	129
	Commission's Order.....	130
	ANNEXURE: TARIFF SCHEDULE	131



List of Tables

Table 2-1: ARR proposed by MGVCL for FY 2018-19 True up (Rs. Crore)	18
Table 2-2: Revenue Surplus/ (Gap) as claimed by MGVCL for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore).....	19
Table 2-3: Estimated Revenue Surplus/(Gap) for FY 2020-21 (Rs. Crore)	19
Table 4-1: Category-wise sales for FY 2018-19 (MU).....	64
Table 4-2: Energy sales approved in truing up for FY 2018-19 (MU)	65
Table 4-3: Distribution Losses for FY 2018-19 as submitted by MGVCL (%).....	66
Table 4-4: Distribution Losses approved for truing up for FY 2018-19 (%)	66
Table 4-5: Energy Requirement and Energy Balance submitted by MGVCL for FY 2018-19 (MU).....	67
Table 4-6: Energy Requirement approved by the Commission in truing up for FY 2018-19 (MU)	67
Table 4-7: Power Purchase Cost submitted by MGVCL for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)	69
Table 4-8: Gain/ (Loss) on account of Distribution Losses for FY 2018-19 as submitted by MGVCL (Rs. Crore).....	70
Table 4-9: Gains/(Loss) on account of truing up of Power Purchase Expenses for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)	70
Table 4-10: Power Purchase Cost approved in truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore).....	71
Table 4-11: Approved Gain/ (Loss) on account of Distribution Losses for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)	71
Table 4-12: Approved gain / (loss) – power purchase expenses for truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)	72
Table 4-13: O&M Expenses claimed in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs, Crore).....	73
Table 4-14: O&M Expenses and Gain/(Loss) claimed in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)	73
Table 4-15: Employee Cost claimed by MGVCL in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)	74
Table 4-16: Employee Cost approved in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore).....	75
Table 4-17: R&M Expenses claimed by MGVCL for the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)	75
Table 4-18: R&M Expenses approved for the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore).....	76
Table 4-19: A&G Expenses claimed by MGVCL in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)	77



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

Table 4-20: A&G Expenses approved in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore).....	77
Table 4-21: Other Expenses Capitalised as claimed by MGVCCL in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)	78
Table 4-22: Other Expenses Capitalised approved in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)	78
Table 4-23: Approved O&M expenses and Gain / (Loss) in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)	78
Table 4-24: Capital Expenditure claimed by MGVCCL for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore).....	79
Table 4-25: Funding of Capitalisation submitted by MGVCCL for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore).....	83
Table 4-26: Approved Capitalisation and sources of funding in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)	84
Table 4-27: Fixed Assets & Depreciation computed by MGVCCL for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore).....	84
Table 4-28: Gain/(Loss) due to Deprecation claimed in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)	85
Table 4-29: Approved fixed assets & depreciation for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore).....	85
Table 4-30: Gain/(Loss) due to Depreciation approved in truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)	86
Table 4-31: Interest and Finance Charges claimed by MGVCCL in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)	86
Table 4-32: Interest and Finance Charges claimed by MGVCCL in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)	87
Table 4-33: Gain / (Loss) claimed due to Interest & Finance Charges for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)	87
Table 4-34: Interest and Finance Charges approved by the Commission in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore).....	88
Table 4-35: Gain / (Loss) due to Interest and Finance Charges approved in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore).....	89
Table 4-36: Interest on Working Capital claimed by MGVCCL in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)	89
Table 4-37: Interest on Working Capital claimed by MGVCCL in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)	89
Table 4-38: Interest on working capital approved in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)	90



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

Table 4-39: Bad Debts claimed by MGVCL in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore).....	91
Table 4-40: Bad Debts claimed by MGVCL in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore).....	91
Table 4-41: Gain/ (Loss) due to Bad Debts approved in the Truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)	92
Table 4-42: Return on Equity claimed by MGVCL in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)	92
Table 4-43: Return on Equity claimed by MGVCL in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)	93
Table 4-44: Gain / (Loss) due to RoE claimed by MGVCL for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore).....	93
Table 4-45: Return on Equity approved in truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)	94
Table 4-46: Gain / (Loss) due to Return on Equity approved in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore).....	94
Table 4-47: Income Tax claimed by MGVCL in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)....	95
Table 4-48: Gain / (Loss) claimed due Income Tax claimed by MGVCL in the truing up (Rs. Crore)	95
Table 4-49: Gain / (Loss) due to Income Tax approved in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)	95
Table 4-50: Non-Tariff Income claimed by MGVCL in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)	96
Table 4-51: Gain / (Loss) claimed due to Non-Tariff Income for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore).....	96
Table 4-52: Gain/(Loss) due to Non-Tariff Income approved in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)	97
Table 4-53: ARR approved in truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)	97
Table 4-54: Revenue submitted in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)	98
Table 4-55: Revenue approved in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore).....	99
Table 4-56: Revenue Surplus/ (Gap) for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore).....	99
Table 4-57: Revenue (Gap)/Surplus approved in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore).....	101
Table 5-1: Approved ARR for FY 2020-21 (Rs. Crore)	102
Table 5-2: Sales and Revenue from existing tariff projected by MGVCL for FY 2020-21 (Rs. Crore)	103
Table 5-3: Approved Sales and Revenue from existing tariff for FY 2020-21	104
Table 5-4: Revenue from FPPPA Charges for the FY 2020-21 (Rs. Crore).....	104
Table 5-5: Base FPPPA Charges as submitted by MGVCL for FY 2020-21 (Rs. Crore)....	105



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

Table 5-6: Approved Base FPPPA Charges for FY 2020-21 (Rs. Crore).....	106
Table 5-7: Approved Revenue from FPPPA Charges for the FY 2020-21	106
Table 5-8: Other Consumer related Income projected by MGVL for FY 2020-21 (Rs. Crore)	107
Table 5-9: Approved Other Consumer related Income for FY 2020-21 (Rs. Crore)	107
Table 5-10: Agriculture Subsidy projected by MGVL for FY 2020-21 (Rs. Crore).....	108
Table 5-11: Approved Agriculture Subsidy for FY 2020-21 (Rs. Crore)	108
Table 5-12: Revenue projected by MGVL for FY 2020-21 (Rs. Crore).....	108
Table 5-13: Approved Total Revenue for FY 2020-21 (Rs. Crore).....	109
Table 5-14: Estimated Revenue (Gap)/Surplus for FY 2020-21 at Existing Tariff (Rs. Crore)	109
Table 5-15: Revenue (Gap)/Surplus estimated by the Commission for FY 2020-21 at Existing Tariff (Rs. Crore)	110
Table 5-16: Consolidated (Gap)/Surplus computed for FY 2020-21 (Rs. Crore).....	111
Table 8-1: Allocation matrix for segregation of wheeling and retail supply business of Distribution Licensees	121
Table 8-2: Allocation of ARR between Wheeling and Retail Supply Business for the four State Owned DISCOMs for FY 2020-21 (Rs. Crore).....	122
Table 8-3: Wheeling charges for FY 2020-21	122
Table 8-4: Cross subsidy surcharge for FY 2020-21	124



ABBREVIATIONS

A&G	Administration and General Expenses
AB Cable	Aerial Bunched Cable
APR	Annual Performance Review
ARR	Aggregate Revenue Requirement
CAGR	Compound Annual Growth Rate
CAPEX	Capital Expenditure
CERC	Central Electricity Regulatory Commission
Control Period	FY 2016-17 to FY 2020-21
DGVCL	Dakshin Gujarat Vij Company Limited
DISCOM	Distribution Company
EHV	Extra High Voltage
FPPPA	Fuel and Power Purchase Price Adjustment
FY	Financial Year
GEB	Gujarat Electricity Board
GERC	Gujarat Electricity Regulatory Commission
GETCO	Gujarat Energy Transmission Corporation Limited
GFA	Gross Fixed Assets
GoG	Government of Gujarat
GSECL	Gujarat State Electricity Corporation Limited
GUVN	Gujarat UrjaVikas Nigam Limited
HT	High Tension
Ind-AS	Indian Accounting Standards
JGY	Jyoti Gram Yojna
kV	Kilo Volt
kVA	Kilo Volt Ampere
kVAh	Kilo Volt Ampere Hour
kWh	Kilo Watt Hour
LT	Low Tension Power
MCLR	Marginal Cost of Funds based Lending Rate
MGVCL	Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
MTR	Mid-Term Review
MUs	Million Units (Million kWh)
MW	Mega Watt



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

MYT	Multi Year Tariff
O&M	Operation & Maintenance
PF	Power Factor
PFC	Power Finance Corporation
PGCIL	Power Grid Corporation of India Limited
PGVCL	Paschim Gujarat Vij Company Limited
PPA	Power Purchase Agreement
PPPA	Power Purchase Price Adjustment
R&M	Repair and Maintenance
RE	Revised Estimate
RLDC	Regional Load Despatch Centre
SBAR	State Bank Advance Rate
SBI	State Bank of India
SLDC	State Load Despatch Centre
UGVCL	Uttar Gujarat Vij Company Limited
WRLDC	Western Regional Load Despatch Centre
YoY	Year on Year



GUJARAT ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
GANDHINAGAR

Case No. 1841 of 2019

Date of the Order: 31/03/2020

CORAM

Shri Anand Kumar, Chairman

Shri P. J. Thakkar, Member

ORDER



1 Background and Brief History

1.1 Background

Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Ltd., (hereinafter referred to as “MGVCL” or the “Petitioner”) has filed a petition under Section 62 of the Electricity Act, 2003, read with Gujarat Electricity Regulatory Commission (Multi-Year Tariff) Regulations, 2016, for the Truing up of FY 2018-19 and Determination of retail supply tariff for FY 2020-21 on 30th November, 2019.

Gujarat Electricity Regulatory Commission notified the GERC (Multi-Year Tariff) Regulations, 2016 on 29th March, 2016 which is applicable for determination of tariff in all cases covered under the Regulations from 1st April, 2016 onwards. Regulation 17.2 (c) of the GERC (Multi-Year Tariff) Regulations, 2016 of GERC provides for submission of detailed application comprising of truing up for FY 2018-19, revenue from the sale of power at existing tariffs and charges for the ensuing year (FY 2020-21), revenue gap or revenue surplus for the ensuing year calculated based on Aggregate Revenue Requirement approved in the MYT Order and truing up for the previous year, application for determination of tariff for the ensuing year (FY 2020-21) to be carried out under Gujarat Electricity Regulatory Commission (Multi-Year Tariff) Regulations, 2016 and Amendment there of time to time.

After technical validation of the petition, it was registered on 11th December, 2019 and as provided under Regulation 29.1 of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, the Commission has proceeded with this tariff order.

1.2 Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited (MGVCL)

The Government of Gujarat unbundled and restructured the Gujarat Electricity Board with effect from 1st April, 2005. The Generation, Transmission and Distribution businesses of the erstwhile Gujarat Electricity Board were transferred to seven successor companies. The seven successor companies are listed below:

Generation Company Gujarat State Electricity Corporation Limited (GSECL)

Transmission Company Gujarat Energy Transmission Corporation Limited (GETCO)



Distribution Companies:

Sr. No.	Name of Company
1	Dakshin Gujarat Vij Company Limited (DGVCL)
2	Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited (MGVCL)
3	Uttar Gujarat Vij Company Limited (UGVCL)
4	Paschim Gujarat Vij Company Limited (PGVCL)

Gujarat Urja Vikas Nigam Limited (GUVNL), a holding company of the above named 6 subsidiary companies is responsible for bulk purchase of electricity from various sources and supply to Distribution Companies and also, other activities including trading of electricity.

Government of Gujarat, vide Notification dated 3rd October, 2006, notified the final opening balance sheets of the transferee companies as on 1st April, 2005. The value of assets and liabilities, which stand transferred from the erstwhile Gujarat Electricity Board to the transferee companies, include Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited (MGVCL). Assets and liabilities (gross block, loans and equity), as on the date mentioned in the notification, have been considered by the Commission in line with the Financial Restructuring Plan (FRP), as approved by Government of Gujarat.

1.3 Commission's Orders for Approval of final ARR for FY 2016-17 and Approval of Multi-Year ARR for FY 2016-17 to FY 2020-21

The Petitioner filed its petition for Truing up for 2015-16, Approval of Final ARR for 2016-17, Approval of Multi-Year ARR for FY 2016-17 to FY 2020-21 and Determination of tariff for 2017-18 on 30th November, 2016. The petition was registered on 03rd December, 2016 (Case No. 1624 of 2016). The Commission vide Order dated 31st March, 2017 approved the Truing up for 2015-16, Final ARR for 2016-17, Approval of Multi-Year ARR for FY 2016-17 to FY 2020-21 and determined the tariff for FY 2017-18.



1.4 Commission's Order for Approval of True Up for FY 2016-17 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2018-19

The Petitioner filed its Petition for Truing-up of FY 2016-17 and determination of tariff for FY 2018-19 on 15th January, 2018. After technical validation of the petition, it was registered on 17th January, 2018 (Case No. 1701/2018). The Commission vide order dated 31st March, 2018 approved truing up for FY 2016-17 and determined the tariff for FY 2018-19.

1.5 Commission's order for Approval of True up for FY 2017-18, Mid-Term Review of ARR for FY 2019-20 and FY 2020-21 and determination of Tariff for FY 2019-20

The Petitioner filed a petition for Truing Up of FY 2017-18, Mid-Term Review of ARR for FY 2019-20 and FY 2020-21 and determination of Tariff for FY 2019-20 on 30th November, 2018. The Petition was registered on 4th December 2018 (Case No. 1761/2018). The Commission approved the Truing-Up of FY 2017-18, revised the ARR for FY 2019-20 and FY 2020-21 and determined the tariff for FY 2019-20 vide order dated 24th April, 2019.

1.6 Background of the Present Petition

The Commission has notified the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 for the control period of FY 2016-17 to FY 2020-21. Regulation 16.2(iii) of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 provides for the truing up of previous year's expenses and revenue based on audited accounts vis-à-vis the approved forecast and categorisation of variation in performance as those caused by factors within the control of applicant (controllable factors) and those caused by factors beyond the control of applicant (uncontrollable factors).

Further, Regulation 16.2 (vi) of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 provides for annual determination of tariff for Generating Company, Transmission Licensee, SLDC, Distribution Wires Business and Retail Supply Business for each financial year, within the control period, based on the approved forecast and results of the truing up exercise.



1.7 Registration of the Petition and Public Hearing Process

The Petitioner submitted the current Petition for Truing-up of FY 2018-19 and Determination of retail supply tariff for FY 2020-21. After technical validation of the petition, it was registered on 11th December, 2019 (Case No. 1841/2019) and as provided under Regulation 29.1 of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, the Commission has proceeded with this tariff order.

In accordance with Section 64 of the Electricity Act, 2003, the Commission directed MGVL to publish its application in the abridged form to ensure public participation.

The Public Notice, inviting objections/ suggestions from the stakeholders on the Truing up and tariff determination petition filed by MGVL was published on the following newspapers:

Sr. No.	Name of the Newspaper	Language	Date of publication
1	The Indian Express	English	16.12.2019
2	Sandesh	Gujarati	16.12.2019

The Petitioner also placed the public notice and the petition on the website (www.mgvcl.com) for inviting objections and suggestions on its petition. The interested parties/stakeholders were asked to file their objections / suggestions on the petition on or before 15th January, 2020.

The Commission also placed the petition and additional details received subsequently from the Petitioner on its website (www.gercin.org) for information and study of all the stakeholders. The Commission also issued a notice for public hearing in the following newspapers in order to solicit wider participation by the stakeholders.

Sr. No.	Name of the Newspaper	Language	Date of publication
1	The Indian Express	English	04.02.2020
2	Divya Bhaskar	Gujarati	05.02.2020
3	Sandesh	Gujarati	05.02.2020

The Commission received objections/suggestions from the consumers/consumer organizations as shown in the Table below. The Commission examined the objections / suggestions received and fixed the date for public hearings for the petition on 12th February, 2020 at the Commission's Office, Gandhinagar, and 15th February, 2020 at GNFC Training Centre at Bharuch. Subsequently, a communication was sent to the



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

objectors to take part in the public hearing process for presenting their views in person before the Commission. The public hearings were conducted in the Commission's Office at Gandhinagar and in GNFC Training Centre at Bharuch as scheduled on the above dates. The objectors participated in the public hearing and presented its objections.

The status of stakeholders who submitted their written suggestion / objections, those who remained present in public hearing, those who could not attend the public hearings and those who made oral submissions is given in the Table below:

Sr. No.	Name of Stakeholders	Written Submission	Oral Submission	Present on the day of hearing
1.	MGVCL Consumer	Yes	No	No
2.	Yash Complex Co-Operative Housing Service Society Limited	Yes	No	No
3.	Airport Authority of India	Yes	Yes	Yes
4.	Indian Energy Exchange Limited	Yes	Yes	Yes
5.	Commander Works Engineer-Military Engineer Services	Yes	Yes	Yes
6.	Gujarat Krushi Vij Grahak Surakhsya Sangha (GKVKSS)	Yes	Yes	Yes
7.	Federation of Gujarat Industries (FGI)	Yes	Yes	Yes
8.	Shri K.K. Bajaj	Yes	Yes	Yes
9.	Laghu Udyog Bharati - Gujarat	Yes	Yes	Yes
10.	Gujarat Udvahan Piyat Sahkari Sangh Ltd.	Yes	Yes	No
11.	Jamnagar Factory Owner's Association	Yes	No	No
12.	Gujarat Chamber of Commerce & Industry	Yes	Yes	Yes
13.	Utility Users' Welfare Association (UUWA)	Yes	Yes	No



A short note on the main issues raised by the objector in the submissions in respect to the Petition, along with the response of MGVCL and the Commission's views on the response, are briefly given in Chapter 3.

1.8 Approach of this Order

MGVCL has approached the Commission with the present petition for Truing up of FY 2018-19 and determination of retail supply tariff for FY 2020-21.

The Commission has undertaken Truing up for the FY 2018-19, including computation of gains and losses for FY 2018-19, based on the submissions of the Petitioner and audited Annual Accounts made available by the Petitioner.

While truing up of FY 2018-19, the Commission has been primarily guided by the following principles:

- Controllable parameters have been considered at the level approved as per the MYT Order, unless the Commission considers that there are valid reasons for revision of the same.
- Uncontrollable parameters have been revised, based on the actual performance observed.

The Truing Up for the FY 2018-19 has been considered, based on the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016. For the determination of the ARR for FY 2020-21, the Commission has considered the ARR for FY 2020-21, as approved in the Mid-Term Review order dated 24th April, 2019.

1.9 Contents of this Order

The order is divided into **Nine chapters**, as under:

1. The **First Chapter** provides a background of the Petitioner, the petition and details of the public hearing process and approach adopted for this order.
2. The **Second Chapter** provides a summary of the Petition.
3. The **Third Chapter** deals with the Public hearing process including the Objections raised by Stakeholders, MGVCL's response and the Commission's views on the response.
4. The **Fourth Chapter** deals with the Truing up for FY 2018-19.



5. The **Fifth Chapter** deals with Determination of retail supply tariff for FY 2020-21
6. The **Sixth Chapter** deals with compliance of the Directives and issue of fresh directives for MGVCL.
7. The **Seventh Chapter** deals with fuel and power purchase adjustments
8. The **Eighth Chapter** deals with wheeling and cross subsidy surcharges
9. The **Ninth Chapter** deals with tariffs for FY 2020-21.



2 Summary of MGVCL's Petition

2.1 Introduction

This chapter deals with highlights of the Petition as submitted by MGVCL for truing up of FY 2018-19 and determination of retail supply tariff for FY 2020-21.

2.2 True-Up for FY 2018-19

MGVCL submitted the Petition on 30th November, 2019 seeking approval of truing up of ARR for FY 2018-19. MGVCL has worked out its Aggregate Revenue Requirement (ARR) for FY 2018-19 as a part of the True Up for FY 2018-19. MGVCL has presented the actual cost components based on audited annual accounts for FY 2018-19. A summary of the proposed ARR for Truing-up of FY 2018-19 compared with the approved ARR for FY 2018-19 in the MYT Order dated 31st March, 2017 is presented in the Table given below:

Table 2-1: ARR proposed by MGVCL for FY 2018-19 True up (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	2018-19 (Approved)	2018-19 (Actual)	Deviation
1	Cost of Power Purchase	5,328.11	5,350.66	(22.55)
2	Operation & Maintenance Expenses	427.81	544.06	(116.25)
2.1	Employee Cost	396.26	481.30	(85.04)
2.2	Repair & Maintenance	59.83	41.69	18.14
2.3	Administration & General Expenses	74.23	79.28	(5.04)
2.4	Other Debits	-	-	-
2.5	Extra-ordinary Item	-	-	-
2.6	Net Prior Period Expenses/(Income)	-	-	-
2.7	Other Expenses Capitalised	(102.51)	(58.20)	(44.31)
3	Depreciation	304.84	253.49	51.35
4	Interest & Finance Charges	114.17	54.17	60.00
5	Interest on Working Capital	-	-	-
6	Provision for Bad Debts	-	6.07	(6.07)
7	Sub-Total [1 to 6]	6,174.93	6,208.45	(33.52)
8	Return on Equity	168.36	139.62	28.74
9	Provision for Tax/Tax Paid	17.59	4.44	13.15
10	Total Expenditure [7 to 9]	6,360.89	6,352.51	8.37
11	Less: Non-Tariff Income	137.11	142.42	(5.31)



Sr. No.	Particulars	2018-19 (Approved)	2018-19 (Actual)	Deviation
12	Aggregate Revenue Requirement [10-11]	6,223.77	6,210.10	13.67

2.3 Revenue gap for FY 2018-19

As shown in the Table below, MGVCL has claimed a revenue gap of Rs. 45.41 Crore in the Truing up after considering the gain/(loss) due to controllable / uncontrollable factors:

Table 2-2: Revenue Surplus/ (Gap) as claimed by MGVCL for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Amount
1	Aggregate Revenue Requirement originally approved for 2018-19	6223.77
2	Add: (Gap)/Surplus of FY 2016-17	105.36
3	Gain / (Loss) on account of Uncontrollable factors to be passed on to consumer	(68.41)
4	Gain / (Loss) on account of Controllable factors to be passed on to consumer (1/3rd of Total Gain /Loss)	27.36
5	Revised ARR for FY 2018-19 (1-2-3-4)	6159.46
6	Revenue from Sale of Power	5952.00
7	Other Income (Consumer related)	83.62
8	Agriculture subsidy	73.87
9	GUVNL Profit / (Loss) allocation	4.56
10	Total Revenue including Subsidy (6+7+8+9)	6114.05
11	Revised (Gap) after treating gain/(loss) due to Controllable/ Uncontrollable factors (10-5)	(45.41)

2.4 Summary of projected Revenue Surplus/(Gap) for FY 2020-21

Table below summarises the Aggregate Revenue Requirement projected in Mid-Term Review of ARR, the total revenue with the existing tariff and the proposed gap for FY 2020-21.

Table 2-3: Estimated Revenue Surplus/(Gap) for FY 2020-21 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	2020-21
1	Aggregate Revenue Requirement approved in MTR	6780.45
2	Revenue Gap from True up of FY 2018-19	(45.41)



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

Sr. No.	Particulars	2020-21
3	Total Aggregate Revenue Requirement	6825.86
4	Revenue with Existing Tariff	4919.83
5	FPPPA Charges	1761.06
6	Other Income (Consumer related)	83.62
7	Agriculture Subsidy	79.78
8	Total Revenue including Subsidy	6,844.29
9	Surplus/(Gap)	18.43

2.5 Request of MGVCL

1. To admit this Petition seeking True up of FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21.
2. To approve the True up for FY 2018-19 and allow sharing of gain/loss with the Consumers as per sharing mechanism prescribed in the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.
3. To consider approved True up parameters & Multi-Year ARR of GSECL, GETCO and SLDC while finalizing Tariff of the Petitioner.
4. To approve the terms and conditions of Tariff for FY 2020-21 and various other matters as proposed in this petition and proposed changes therein.
5. Pass suitable orders for implementation of Tariff Proposal for FY 2020-21 for making it applicable from 1st April, 2020 onwards.
6. To grant any other relief as the Commission may consider appropriate.
7. The Petitioner craves leave of the Commission to allow further submissions, addition and alteration to this Petition as may be necessary from time to time.
8. Pass any other Order as the Commission may deem fit and appropriate under the circumstances of the case and in the interest of justice.



3 Brief Outline of objections raised, response from MGVCL and Commission's view

3.1 Public Response to the Petition

In response to the Public Notice inviting objections/ suggestions on the Petitions filed by DISCOMs for Truing up of FY 2018-19 and determination of tariff for FY 2020-21 from the stakeholders, a number of stakeholders filed their objections/suggestions in writing and also participated in the Public Hearing. Further, some of the objections are general in nature and some are specific to the proposals submitted by the Petitioner. It is also noted that many of the objections/ suggestions are common to all the four DISCOMs and some are specific to the concerned DISCOM. The objections/suggestions connected with the current Petition are segregated into two groups, viz., common to all DISCOMs and specific to the concerned DISCOM. The Commission, has addressed the objections/ suggestions issue-wise rather than objector-wise.

These objections/suggestions, the response from the Petitioner and the views of the Commission are dealt with hereunder:

3.2 Suggestions/ Objections common to all DISCOMs

Issue No. 1: Quantum and Quality of supply to Agriculture

Information was sought on the quality of power supply and hours of power supply given to agricultural consumers, status of pending applications under agricultural category, number of agricultural connection applications rejected in the last 5 years and major reasons for rejection, etc.

It is also requested to abolish the Tatkal Scheme, and restrict power supply for day-night cricket matches and other non-productive activities, till all pending agricultural connections are released.

Response of the Petitioners

The Petitioners submitted that minimum average eight hours power supply is provided to the agriculture sector. Further, a Policy related to power supply to agriculture sector has also been formulated by Gujarat Urja Vikas Nigam Ltd. (GUVNL) as per Guidelines from Government of Gujarat (GoG) for uniform power supply to agriculture sector in the



State, and DISCOMs have been implementing the same by ensuring minimum average eight hours 3-phase power supply to the agriculture sector. Moreover, during cropping seasons, as per the requirement and in order to save standing crops, more than 8 hours of power supply is also provided to the agriculture sector. In case less than 8 hours power supply is given to agricultural sector during the day due to technical constraints, the shortfall in power supply of previous day is compensated during the subsequent period. It is the endeavour of the DISCOMs to provide quality power and best possible services well within the time limits specified in the Regulations.

The DISCOMs submitted the status of pending applications of agricultural category and agricultural connections released during FY 2015-16 to 2019-20 up to December 2019.

As regards the suggestion on abolition of Tatkal Scheme, the Petitioners had filed a Petition on this issue vide Petition No. 1087/2011, and the Commission has issued the Order and disposed of the Petition.

As regards the issue of restriction of power supply to non-productive category, under the provisions of the Electricity Act (EA), 2003, the DISCOMs are obligated to supply on demand, and cannot differentiate between the class of consumers.

Commission's View

The Commission has always emphasised on providing quality power supply and is of the view that the Petitioners should take every measure to deliver quality power supply.

The Tatkal Scheme is introduced by the State Government and the Commission has no role to play in this matter. The response of the Petitioners as regards status of release of agricultural connections is noted.

As regards the issue of restriction of supply of electricity to non-productive category, the DISCOMs cannot differentiate between the class of consumers.

Issue No. 2: Revenue Projections by DISCOMs

It is submitted that projections of demand and revenue made by the DISCOMs are unrealistic.

Response of the Petitioners

There is no response of DISCOMs in this regard.

Commission's View

The Commission has determined the Tariff for FY 2020-21 based on the demand and ARR approved for FY 2020-21 in the Mid-Term Review (MTR) Order, after due diligence and prudence check.

Issue No. 3: Details of category-wise defaulters

Details of category-wise debtors and defaulters were sought along with the information on bad and doubtful debts written off.

Response of the Petitioners

The DISCOMs provided the desired information on category-wise defaulters having outstanding arrears up to Rs. 10 lakh as on 31st December 2019.

As regards bad and doubtful debts written off, every year, certain amount of some consumers, which is ascertained to be non-recoverable, is written off by the DISCOMs and charged in the Profit & Loss (P&L) Statement under the head of 'other debits' for the respective year.

Commission's View

The Commission has allowed the actual Bad and Doubtful Debts written off, in the truing up for FY 2018-19, in accordance with the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

Issue No. 4: Status of Metering of DTCs

Information was sought regarding un-metered DTCs.

Response of the Petitioners

The DISCOMs provided the desired information on total number of DTCs and status of metering of the same, showing that 100% DTC metering has been completed.

Commission's View

The response of the DISCOMs is noted.

Issue No. 5: Statement of Dues from/to State/Central Government

Information was sought regarding dues from and to the State and Central Government.

Response of the Petitioners

Generally, Government dues are paid by the DISCOMs regularly, similarly, Government also pays to the DISCOMs regularly.

Commission's View

The response of the DISCOMs is noted.

Issue No. 6: Overloaded Feeders

Information was sought regarding total number of Feeders, overloaded Feeders, and Feeders having losses more than 10%.

Response of the Petitioners

Loading on any Feeder is a highly dynamic phenomenon. However, Feeders having either more length or found to be overloaded on sustained basis are bifurcated on urgent basis. The DISCOMs submitted details of number of Feeders bifurcated during last 3 years and proposed to be bifurcated during remaining part of FY 2019-20.

Commission's View

The Commission is of the view that adequate planning is to be carried out for load management in accordance with provisions of the GERC Distribution Code, 2004, so that overloading of Feeders is avoided.

Issue No. 7: New Sub-stations

Information was sought on number of new Sub-stations installed in the last 3 years, total number of Sub-stations, breakup of how many Sub-stations are allocated to more than 10 villages, and number of sub-stations running without Electrical Engineer.

Response of the Petitioners

The DISCOMs provided the desired information on number of new Sub-stations commissioned during FY 2016-17 to FY 2018-19 and planned to be commissioned



during FY 2019-20.

Generally, villages are provided power supply through 11 kV Feeders emanating from 66 kV Sub-stations. There are 689 numbers of 66 kV Sub-stations, which feed power supply to more than 10 villages. Further, 66 kV and above sub-stations are owned and operated by GETCO. Generally, functioning of Sub-station is supervised by Engineer.

Commission's View

The response of the DISCOMs is noted.

Issue No. 8: Claims and Compensation due to accidents

Information was sought on total number of claims against accidents of humans and cattle and the amount of compensation paid.

Response of the Petitioners

The DISCOMs provided the desired information on number of claims received and amount of compensation given to the deceased/dependent or owner of the animal on account of electrocution during FY 2017-18 and FY 2018-19.

Commission's View

The DISCOMs should ensure safety of operations in accordance with the GERC Supply Code, in order to minimise accidents and loss of life of humans and animals.

Issue No. 9: Tariff Categorisation and Applicability

The Commission has been requested to:

- (a) remove the provision of water supply to bricks manufacturer under the head of agriculture category;
- (b) remove Fixed Charges for all 4 categories of agricultural consumers;
- (c) remove the discrimination in tariff rates on the basis of Normal/Tatkal category;
- (d) introduce new sub-category for agriculture consumers and/or grant relief to the farmers, who accept metered tariff for entire Feeder in a group;
- (e) modify the applicability and charging under LTP- lift irrigation Scheme;
- (f) introduce new non-subsidized category under agriculture for rich farmers;
- (g) introduce Basic Tariff Rate (BTR) and Additional Tariff Rate (ATR);



- (h) exempt use of irrigation systems of agricultural consumers for others in times of natural calamities and fire;
- (i) create a separate sub-category under agricultural head for Institutions and Non-Governmental Organisations (NGOs) engaged in the activity for education, research and welfare of farming, rural development works and electrical tariff to be at affordable level for them;
- (j) a special concessional tariff rate should be introduced for individual farmer adopting micro irrigation systems;
- (k) introduce senior citizen's sub-category in residential category and give them the opportunity to have tariff rate reduced by 50%;
- (l) revise the consumption slabs in residential category from present 4 to 2;
- (m) introduce new tariff categories for luxury purpose, amusement purpose and entertainment purpose;
- (n) categorise the consumer categories into productive category and non-productive category;
- (o) introduce a higher consumption slab of above 400 units per month for residential consumers
- (p) reduce the tariff for consumption below 200 units per month and proportionally increasing the tariff with monthly consumption of 200 to 350 units per month;
- (q) revise Temporary Tariff LT TMP and HTP III to sensible levels.

It was also suggested to do away with the present practice of calculating Maximum Demand with only Contracted Demand. Further, Maximum Demand (MD) charges should not be levied for small consumers like residential/ micro, mini industry consumer as they will find it difficult to bear the burden of MD charges around the year. As an alternative, periodic higher charges for higher consumption may be levied. Also, peak surges due to use of power tools or welding transformer used for one-time emergency repair work should not result in higher demand charges. Further, some sense of proportion should be used in assessing the "unauthorized use".

Response of the Petitioners

As regards the issue of supplying power to others by agricultural consumers in case of various natural calamities and fire, etc., as per the provisions of the Commission's Order/Regulations, the power supply is to be utilized only for the purpose for which

consumer is authorized. In case of various natural calamities, fire, etc., the specific directives, if any, issued by the Commission on case to case basis, shall be followed.

Supplying water to brick manufacturers is an optional facility for an agricultural consumer, and an agricultural consumer can opt for it, if he so desires, as it is not a compulsory requirement.

As regards the various suggestions on creation of new sub-categories and consumption slabs, the DISCOMs have not proposed any tariff revision or change in existing Tariff structure for FY 2020-21. Tariff structure of the different States are designed keeping in mind the different social, economic, technical, demographic, and other relevant parameters specific to the State. The Commission has been reviewing the tariff structure and rationalizing the tariff time to time. However, any change in tariff structure may be revenue neutral.

Commission's View

As regards supplying of water by agricultural consumers to brick manufacturers, the Commission has noted the response of the Petitioners, which is self-explanatory.

The Commission has carefully considered all the suggestions regarding creation of new sub-categories and consumption slabs in different categories. The present tariff categorisation for the DISCOMs has evolved over the years. The Commission is allowed to differentiate between consumer categories in accordance with Section 62(3) of the EA 2003, which provides that no undue preference shall be shown to any consumer of electricity while determining the tariff.

The Commission's decisions regarding tariff categorisation and category-wise tariff for FY 2020-21 is detailed in the Tariff Philosophy Chapter of this Order.

Issue No. 10: Cases booked under Section 135 and Section 126 of EA 2003

Information was sought on cases booked under Section 135 and Section 126 of the EA 2003.

Response of the Petitioners

The DISCOMs provided the desired information on number of theft cases booked under Section 126 and Section 135 of the EA 2003.

Commission's View

The response of the DISCOMs is noted.

Issue No. 11: Working of Consumer Grievances Redressal Forum

Information was sought on the working of the Consumer Grievances Redressal Forum at different places.

Response of the Petitioners

DISCOMs have set up Consumers Grievance Redressal Forum (CGRF) under the provisions of GERC CGRF Regulations. In accordance with the Commission's direction, a CGRF is going to be established at Junagadh.

Issue No. 12: Segregation of Total Loans

Information was sought on segregation of total loans.

Response of the Petitioners

The Commission has issued the MYT Order on 31st March, 2017, approving the ARR for each year of the Control Period. The details of loans were provided to the Commission in the MYT Petition. Further, DISCOMs have filed Petition for True up for FY 2018-19, wherein relevant details of loans are provided.

Commission's View

The Commission has allowed the interest on normative loans in accordance with the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

Issue No. 13: Power Purchase Costs and FPPPA Charges

It was suggested that ceiling rate of power purchase during the year should be determined along with the Tariff. It was submitted that the Petitioners have claimed abrupt increase in power purchase cost in the Petition, without any sufficient



explanation. It was submitted that there is a mismatch in the Distribution loss % and cost of power procurement in terms of quantum and cost between the Annual Report of GUVNL and the Petitions filed by the DISCOMs. It was also submitted that there is a mismatch between the power purchase cost for FY 2018-19 worked out as per data of quarterly FPPPA filing and power purchase cost now claimed in the Petitions.

Information was sought on FPPPA Charges with break-up of Fuel Charges adjustment and Power Purchase adjustment.

The following suggestions were received in respect of levy of FPPPA Charges:

- a) Additional FPPPA should not be allowed during the year;
- b) 50% of Base FPPPA Charges or at least 100 paise out of the present FPPPA of 210 paise should be merged with the base tariffs of all consumer categories;
- c) FPPPA Charges should be shown separately in the electricity bill as FPPA and PPPA under separate column, to make the bill more transparent;
- d) DISCOMs should not be allowed double recovery of FPPPA Charges in the name of deferred FPPPA Charges since many years;
- e) The use of FPPPA Charges is a method to arbitrarily increase the consumer tariff after the Tariff Order has been passed;
- f) FPPPA Charges levied by the Petitioners was 40% higher than the approved FPPPA charges;
- g) FPPPA Charges to 400 Volt consumer and a 220 kV consumer cannot be the same.

It was submitted that GUVNL and DISCOMs are transacting power between themselves, without mentioning any reasons for such transactions, which are objectionable.

Response of the Petitioners

Basic purpose of FPPA/PPPA is 'adjustment' related to power purchase cost, i.e., pass through of increase/ decrease in the power purchase cost over the base power purchase cost. The FPPPA charge is levied on the consumer categories on account of the change in the cost of power purchase, which comprises almost 85 to 93% of the DISCOM's ARR. Any expense pertaining to the regulated business of the DISCOM has to be recovered from all consumers in some manner. In case of agriculture consumers, the

applicable FPPPA charges are not recovered from consumers but the Government of Gujarat is providing subsidy to DISCOMs towards the same.

As regards showing the FPPA and PPPA charges separately, the Commission had approved the FPPPA formula through its Order dated 29th October 2013. Accordingly, any incremental power purchase cost compared to base year power purchase cost would be a power purchase price adjustment (PPPA) only, as pursuant to restructuring of erstwhile Gujarat Electricity Board, DISCOMs do not have any own generation and therefore, power purchase from all sources is to be considered for Power Purchase Price adjustment.

The overall increase in power purchase cost is due to uncontrollable factors. Otherwise, there is gain (reduction) in power purchase cost to the tune of Rs. 368 crore due to efficiency improvement by DISCOMs on account of reduction in distribution loss. The difference in actual power purchase cost is due to increase in power purchase cost during FY 2018-19 and approved power purchase cost being based on power purchase cost of FY 2015-16. The increase in power purchase cost during FY 2018-19 was on account of uncontrollable factors such as increase in fuel cost / power purchase rate and due to increase in sales quantum, etc.

Further, increase in power purchase cost in case of M/s. Adani Power Limited is due to implementation of supplemental PPA approved by CERC effective from 15.10.2018 on account of increase in price of imported coal. In case of Sikka Power Station of GSECL, the increase in variable cost is due to increase in price of imported coal during the year. As regards the mismatch between power purchase cost claimed in the Petition vis-à-vis power purchase cost as per FPPPA claim, it is submitted that FPPPA charges are recovered based on the quarterly power purchase cost, i.e., the power purchase cost mentioned in the FPPPA submission is based on actual cost incurred during the quarter. However, the power purchase cost claimed in the Petitions are based on audited annual accounts of the DISCOM.

As regards scrutiny of power purchase cost, it is submitted that the Annual Accounts of DISCOMs and GUVNL including power purchase cost is subject to Audit by various authorities including Audit by Comptroller & Auditor General (CAG). Therefore, the



power purchase cost claimed in the Petitions is correct and as per the principles of MYT framework.

As regards double recovery of FPPPA charge, it is submitted that the Commission approves respective year's power purchase cost in the MYT Order. Any increase/decrease in the power purchase cost during the year is passed through to the consumers in terms of FPPPA Charges worked out on quarterly basis. The quarterly FPPPA is worked out by GUVNL as per the Formula approved by Commission. Further, for any increase in FPPPA Charges in excess of 10 paise/kWh, prior approval of the Commission is required before recovery is allowed. When there is higher increase in recoverable FPPPA in any quarter, in order to avoid sharp rise in FPPPA charges to be recovered from consumers, only part of increased FPPPA is recovered during that quarter and balance FPPPA is deferred so that the same can be recovered from consumers when there is reduction in FPPPA charges in the subsequent quarters. Further, each year's actual expenses and revenue of the DISCOM is subject to true up by the Commission. Therefore, the issue of double recovery of any expense does not arise.

As regards significant in FPPPA Charges, as per provisions of the Tariff Policy, any increase in the power purchase cost vis-a-vis power purchase cost approved by the Commission in the ARR/MYT Order, is to be recovered from consumers through FPPPA charges on quarterly basis. The power purchase cost for FY 2018-19 was approved by the Commission in the MYT Order based on the power purchase cost of FY 2015-16. The power purchase cost has increased during FY 2018-19 on account of uncontrollable factors such as increase in fuel cost/power purchase rate and change in generation mix, etc. The FPPPA for FY 2018-19 is based on the actual power purchase expenditure incurred and reflects increase in power purchase cost over the base year's power purchase cost.

Power purchase is undertaken by GUVNL on behalf of all 4 DISCOMs on the basis of energy requirement of each DISCOM. To meet the energy requirement, power is procured by GUVNL from different sources and during the process of real time operations, surplus energy is sold to by GUVNL on behalf of DISCOMs, and proceeds from the sale are passed on to the DISCOMs, which is ultimately passed on to general



consumers in terms of reduction in ARR by adjusting proceeds from sale of surplus power against total power purchase cost.

Commission's View

The power purchase cost for FY 2018-19 was approved by the Commission in the MYT Order based on the power purchase cost of FY 2015-16. The Commission has approved the FPPPA formula vide its Order dated 29th October 2013. The FPPPA framework is intended to allow 'adjustment' related to power purchase cost, i.e., pass through of increase/ decrease in the power purchase cost over the base power purchase cost considered for the respective year in the MYT Order. If FPPPA is not allowed on quarterly basis, there will be significant burden on the consumers at the time of truing up. Further, the FPPPA mechanism is in accordance with the EA 2003, GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 and Hon'ble APTEL Order in OP No. 1 of 2011 dated November 1, 2011.

The FPPPA charged by the DISCOMs in FY 2018-19 has been vetted by the Commission. The revenue earned by FPPPA is considered in the truing up for FY 2018-19. Further, at the time of true up, the Commission examines the prudence of the power purchase cost in accordance with the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

It is clarified that after unbundling, the DISCOMs do not have any own generation, and the FPPPA comprises Power Purchase Price Adjustment (PPPA) only.

The power purchase is estimated for the ensuing years in the MYT Order. The DISCOMs plan for power purchase on day-ahead basis. However, during real-time operations, quite often, surplus power may be available, which is sold by GUVNL to earn some revenue and minimise the incidence of Fixed Charges of power purchase.

Issue No. 14: Details of Target, Achievement and Progress of various Schemes

Information was on Target, Achievement and Progress of various Schemes like UJALA, SKY, Rural Electrification, SAUBHAGYA, VDS-2017 for Agricultural connections extended up to 2019, Amnesty – 2017 Scheme extended up to 2019, Roof Top Scheme, etc.



It was requested to establish new Divisional/Circle offices in UGVCL area.

Response of the Petitioners

All agriculture connections under normal and other Schemes are released under HVDS. Besides new connections, all additional load, shifting of AG connections, etc., and upgradation of system from LT to HT are carried out under HVDS. DISCOMs submitted the information against various Schemes, as desired by the stakeholders.

As regards establishing of new administrative offices, the Petitioners submitted that Administrative Offices are created for convenience of administration, Company as well as consumers. The Companies are creating new offices as per the requirement.

Commission's View

The response of the DISCOMs is noted.

Issue No. 15: Category-wise tariffs

The following suggestions were received in respect of category-wise tariffs:

- (a) HP based tariff should be reduced;
- (b) The difference between RGP (rural) should be reduced from 50 paise to 40 paise, and any increase in tariff should be within 5 paise/kWh in any financial year;
- (c) The tariff of Temporary category should be increased to 1500 paise/kWh;
- (d) Tariff for Industrial and Commercial category should be cost-effective;
- (e) Power Factor rebate/incentive should be introduced in the LT category also;
- (f) Night-time rebate should be introduced for LTMD consumers also;
- (g) Load Factor rebate should be introduced for consumers having better load factor in order to bring overall efficiency in the system;
- (h) The EHV rebate percentage should be increased to encourage more 11 kV consumers to convert to 66 kV;
- (i) There is significant difference in peak-time charges and night-time charges. Also, there is no justification for peak hour charges between 07:00 hours to 11:00 hours;



- (j) There is a tariff disparity for HTP-IV category and HTP-I consumers in the same time period of 10:00 hours to 6:00 hours where HTP-I category pays Rs. 1.65/kWh higher, after night rebate;
- (k) Consumers should be incentivized when Power Factor goes beyond 90%;
- (l) There should be parity between incentives and penalties imposed on the consumers. Further, the incentive/penalty on energy charges including FPPPA;
- (m) The Demand Charges from HT consumers eligible for Open Access should be reduced;
- (n) The high Additional Surcharge imposed on Open Access consumers makes Open Access unviable, and should be reduced;
- (o) The Excess Demand Charge of Rs. 555/kVA for HTP-I category is very high and should be rationalized, as even 100 kVA consumers would be forced to pay Rs. 555/kVA for Excess Demand;
- (p) The tariff for each DISCOM of Gujarat should be different, to generate competition in the power sector, as the DISCOMs' performances vary from one another, especially PGVCL, who has the highest Distribution Losses;
- (q) EHV tariffs should only include transmission cost and exclude distribution cost.

It was suggested to introduce a uniform model of Tariff Proposal for all the DISCOMs for ensuring common method and making it easier to study for the stakeholders.

Response of the Petitioners

As regards reduction in HP based Tariff, the Tariff Policy mentions the need to rationalize Tariff to various consumer categories such that it is more aligned to the cost of supply in the band of $\pm 20\%$ of the Average Cost of Supply (ACoS). The Average Billing rate of agricultural category is already much lower than the ACoS.

As regards concessional tariff for agricultural consumers using micro-irrigation systems, irrigation of agricultural fields through micro/drip irrigation system consumes much lesser energy as compared to normal mode of irrigation. Thus, such agriculture consumers are automatically benefitted. Further, giving benefit to one class of consumers will result in increase in tariff of other class of consumers.

As regards the tariff for Industrial and Commercial category, the Petitioners submitted that though the Tariff Policy mandates that tariff for various consumer categories has to be in a band of $\pm 20\%$ of the ACoS, however, ground realities of the sector also have to be kept in view. Also, Industrial and commercial consumers are primary beneficiaries of the State's efforts to provide good quality uninterrupted power. Agricultural consumers enjoy power supply for only 8 hours of the day and that too in off-peak hours and night time. So, the average power purchase cost for supply to agricultural category is much lower than that for other category enjoying power supply during peak hours also. Thus, it is natural that the tariff for agriculture category is significantly lower than that for other consumer categories. Further, the tariff for almost all categories is within $\pm 20\%$ of ACoS. The DISCOMs have not proposed tariff revision for any consumer category.

As regards extending the benefit of Power Factor (PF) rebate/incentive to LT category, under the 'ABT' regime, DISCOMs are required to maintain "kVARh" drawal or injection depending upon the voltage level. System is highly dynamic and therefore, dynamic compensation mechanism is also required. LT consumers are very large in number and it would be very difficult to manage "kVARh" consumption of all such consumers, particularly when rebate/incentive is provided for better PF to such consumers. The mechanism of providing PF rebate may also become counter-productive as in order to get maximum benefit, consumers may be tempted to provide excessive compensation, which besides making grid operations difficult may create serious safety related issue. Further, giving rebate or discount for one component of tariff to any category of consumers would require recovery of higher amount through other components from other categories of consumers.

Night-time rebate is also not suitable for LT category as the sheer objective of giving night-time benefit to the consumer is to shift their demand from peak hours to off peak hours and thereby to help the grid as well as to flatten the demand curve of the utility. LT category consumers are small and generally operate their industry for one shift, therefore, it is very difficult for them to shift their load from peak to off-peak hours or night hours. Further, the Commission has decided special tariff for NRGPs and LTMD consumers for exclusive consumption during night hours. However, practically there is no consumer who has chosen this option. It would be apt to mention that the DISCOMs have not proposed any change in tariff.

As regards introduction of Load Factor rebate/incentive, it may be noted that many of the consumers do not draw full power from the DISCOM (through Open Access or from other sources like Solar, Wind, etc., under different arrangements). If the consumer maintains better Load Factor, the overall tariff on per unit basis would also reduce, thereby benefitting the consumer.

As regards increase in EHV rebate, the same is being given historically to Extra High Voltage consumers. Such consumers have taken EHV connection according to their load requirements and according to the Electricity Supply Code. So, the consumers cannot be considered to have made extra efforts for reducing the losses.

The rates for PF rebate were fixed by the Commission in its Order in Review Petition No. 1, 2, and 3 of 2007 after a lot of discussion and deliberation from both the sides. In previous Tariff Orders also, the matter was discussed and Commission had taken conscious decision not to alter the present rate of rebate. As for including FPPPA for give PF rebate, it is submitted that higher incentive for PF correction may lead to over compensation, which will influence the voltage profile of the system.

As regards the suggestion to reduce the Demand Charges for HT category, it is submitted that it is a basic commercial principle for any organization to recover its fixed cost through Fixed Charges. However, in the present tariff structure, part of the fixed cost is recovered through Energy Charges. Even with the existing rate of Demand Charges, the fixed cost recovery through fixed charges for HTP 1 category works out to 40.45%, remaining is being recovered through Energy Charges. In case of consumers who are not procuring power from the DISCOM corresponding to their Contract Demand, the unrecovered Fixed Cost becomes a burden for other consumers. Further, even with the proposed tariff, only 29% of the fixed cost is recovered through Fixed Charges. Therefore, it is not possible for DISCOMs to abolish Fixed Charges.

Suggestion to link the Excess Demand Charges to the normal Demand Charges based on the Contract Demand is not logical, as any consumer using load in excess of his Contract Demand causes the same effect on the distribution system irrespective of its

contract demand, therefore Excess Demand Charges should not be linked with normal Demand Charges.

As regards separate tariff for each DISCOM, the Petitioners submitted that uniform Retail Supply Tariff for all four DISCOMs (Unbundled entities of erstwhile GEB) has been envisaged so that consumers in similar categories in the State could have similar tariff and there may not be any discrimination between the consumers, merely because such consumers are placed at different geographical locations and having different socio-economic conditions, which is also the objective of EA 2003.

Since the consumer and consumption profiles are different in the four DISCOMs; the revenue earning capabilities of each DISCOM also differs. In order to ensure Retail Supply Tariff for all 4 State-owned DISCOMs, differential Bulk Supply Tariff mechanism is in place, which is approved by the Commission.

Commission's View

As regards reduction in HP based Tariff, the agriculture category is a highly subsidized category for various reasons. The Commission, while determining the tariff attempts to maintain balance between subsidized category and subsidizing category.

As regards separate tariff for each DISCOM, response of the Petitioners is self-explanatory.

The Commission has carefully considered all the suggestions regarding determination of tariff for different categories.

The Commission's decisions regarding tariff categorisation and category-wise tariff for FY 2020-21 is detailed in the Tariff Philosophy Chapter of this Order.

Issue No. 16: EEPS Scheme is discontinued

It was requested to reintroduce the Energy Efficient Pump Set (EEPS) Scheme and widen the Scope to maximum number of farmers and Talukas.

Response of the Petitioners

Efficient utilization of electrical energy helps in lesser energy consumption for the same quantum of work. This phenomenon is equally applicable to the agricultural consumers. A demonstrative project was undertaken by replacing old pump sets with energy efficient pump sets. If the metered agricultural consumer installs energy efficient pump set, reduction in energy consumption as well as energy bill can be achieved.

Commission's View

The EEPS Scheme was introduced by PGVCL under Demand Side Management Programme. It is experienced that consumers are not coming forward to avail the benefits of this Scheme. Consumer organisations should take the lead in making the consumers aware about benefits of the said Scheme by opting for it.

Issue No. 17: Transmission and Distribution losses

It was submitted that Distribution Losses and Transmission Losses should be restricted up to 9% and 1%, respectively, so that T&D Losses are restricted up to 10%, and losses higher than 10% should not be passed on the consumers.

It was submitted that Distribution Losses are being controlled by reducing the supply hours to agricultural category from 16 hours to 8 hours, and due to sufficient rainfall. No sincere efforts have been made towards reduction of Technical Losses.

It was submitted that the Feeder Management system has totally failed. The post of Feeder Managers should be totally free from routine work.

The distribution losses on JGY feeders remain uncontrolled and a separate status report is required for work done, expenses and output in terms of results.

It was submitted that there is inconsistency in reporting of distribution losses in the Annual Reports and the figures shown in the Tariff Petition.

Response of the Petitioners

The Commission has approved Distribution Loss trajectory for the entire MYT Control Period from FY 2016-17 to FY 2020-21. Distribution Losses being a controllable factor, the DISCOMs have given appropriate treatment to the deviation from approved loss level in the true up petition for FY 2018-19.

Since Transmission losses are beyond the control of DISCOMs, the actual Transmission Losses are considered by the Petitioners.

As regards distribution losses in JGY feeders, the Petitioners furnished various activities carried out for loss reduction in JGY feeders.

Commission's View

The Commission is of the view that sustained and concerted efforts should be continued to further reduce the losses as compared to the levels approved in the MYT Order dated March 31, 2017.

On the issue of distribution losses in JGY Feeders, the Commission is of the view that thorough energy audit need to be carried out on JGY Feeders having higher distribution losses as per the directives issued in previous Tariff Orders and as discussed during previous meetings of the Co-ordination Forum.

Issue No. 18: Disallow Interest on Working Capital and consider Return on Equity at 12%

It was submitted that the Interest on Working Capital (IoWC) should not be allowed. It was also submitted that the Return on Equity (RoE) at 14% is too high, and should be reduced to 12%.

Response of the Petitioners

In the true up petition for FY 2018-19, No IoWC has been claimed, while RoE has been claimed in accordance with GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

Commission's View

As the Petitioners have huge amount of Security Deposit with them, which they use for working capital requirement, the net working capital requirement works out to be negative and accordingly, IoWC is approved as NIL by the Commission. Further, RoE is approved @ 14%, as per provisions of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

Issue No. 19: Large number of meters pending for replacement

It was submitted that there are still 1.4 lakh defective meters. Information was sought regarding detailed status report with reasons.

Response of the Petitioners

Petitioners submitted the status of defective meter replacement.

Commission's View

The Commission has already provided directive to the DISCOMs to adhere to the time lines specified in the GERC (SoP) Regulations, 2005 for replacement of faulty meters.

Issue No. 20: Directive Compliance

The compliance of Commission's directive was questioned, as Feeder bifurcation work is unsatisfactory, Distribution Transformer Centre review work is reduced, conductor replacement work is reduced, HVDS is not satisfactory and erection work under KHUSHY scheme is poor.

Response of the Petitioners

Compliance to directives is already submitted to the Commission from time to time and also in the present Petition.

Commission's View

The compliance of directives is discussed in detail in Chapter 6 of this Order.

Issue No. 21: Distribution Transformer Failure rate

The Distribution Transformer failure rate is very high and not acceptable, specifically of PGVCL at 11.51%.

Response of the Petitioners

Distribution Transformer failure rate for MGVCL for FY 2018-19 is 3.42%.

Commission's View

The DISCOMs should ensure that the Distribution Transformer failure rate is minimised by proper load distribution and distribution system planning, to ensure against overloading of transformers.

Issue No. 22: Consumer Charter

It was submitted that the agricultural consumers portion of the Consumer Charter "Adhikar Patra" is partial.

Response of the Petitioners

Consumer Charter is prepared for all categories of consumers including agricultural consumers.

Commission's View

The DISCOMs should ensure that the Consumer Charter is prominently displayed at all Divisional Offices, and should cover all consumer categories.

Issue No. 23: Regulations related

It was submitted that the following Regulations need to be framed/implemented:

- (a) Standards of Efficiency Regulations should be framed;
- (b) Separate Regulations should be framed to focus on the need of agricultural category;
- (c) Clause 6.76 of the GERC Supply Code Regulations, 2015 and Section 3 of the First Amendment, 2016 are not being implemented by GUVNL and the DISCOMs, however, no action has been taken by the Commission.

Response of the Petitioners

Response not submitted by the Petitioner.

Commission's View

The present regulatory process relates to the Truing up and Tariff Petition filed by the DISCOMs. The issue of framing new Regulations and implementation of existing Regulations is a separate matter.

Issue No. 24: Facility of Mobile Van for Electricity Bill collection in rural areas

It was suggested to provide facility of Mobile Van for Electricity Bill collection in rural areas.

Response of the Petitioners

Besides bill collection at sub-division offices, other facilities for payment of bills in rural areas are available as under:

- E-gram;
- Collection through banks/agencies;
- Village cash collection by cashier, etc.;
- Different modes for "on line" payment and payment through "App".

Commission's View

The DISCOMs may consider the cost and benefit of the suggestion and implement the same if it can help to improve the collection efficiency from the rural and agricultural sector.

Issue No. 25: Reduction of Cross Subsidy Surcharge

It was submitted that the Commission has not prepared the roadmap towards reduction of Cross Subsidy Surcharge (CSS) as provided under Section 178 (2) (k) of the EA 2003.

It was further submitted that CSS should be determined based on determination of tariff and cross subsidy level considering voltage-wise cost of supply, and CSS should be computed on the basis of weighted average cost of power of top 5% at the margin.

It was also suggested that while determining the CSS, the payment of Demand Charges and Additional Surcharge should be deducted from applicable tariff so that Open Access consumers are not double charged.

Response of the Petitioners

Response not submitted by the Petitioner.

Commission's View

The Commission is determining the CSS in the Tariff Order for the respective year in accordance with the Formula prescribed in the Tariff Policy.

Issue No. 26: Transparency in the Petition

It was submitted that the Petition lacks crucial information relevant for tariff determination and the Petitioners have failed to comply with the Commission's earlier directives for providing this information. In the absence of such crucial information, it is very difficult to offer objections/comments/suggestions in a comprehensive manner. Further, information submitted subsequently by the Petitioner is not made available to the stakeholders.

Response of the Petitioners

The Petitions have been filed as per the principles laid down by the Commission in the applicable GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

Commission's View

The Commission has ensured that the Petitions have been filed as per the principles laid down by the Commission in the applicable GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016. Further, information submitted subsequently by the Petitioner is also made available to the stakeholders on the website of the DISCOMs.

Issue No. 27: Cost to Serve Report

It was submitted that the Petitioners have failed to submit the Report on category-wise Cost to Serve for several years.

Response of the Petitioners

In accordance with the Commission's directives, the DISCOMs have prepared the Report on Cost to Serve for FY 2018-19 through independent consultant and the same has been submitted to the Commission.

Commission's View

The DISCOMs have submitted the Report on Cost to Serve for FY 2018-19 to the Commission. However, it is directed to the Petitioner to submit the Cost to Serve Report along with the tariff petition.

Issue No. 28: Draft Tariff Order

It was suggested that the Commission should prepare and publish draft Tariff Order proposing various tariff elements and tariff structure and then invite comments/suggestions from the interested Parties on the draft Tariff Order before its finalization.

Response of the Petitioners

The Petitioners have not responded to this issue.

Commission's View

The Petitioners submit their Tariff Petitions to the Commission, which is published for inviting comments and suggestions from the stakeholders, in accordance with the EA 2003 and GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 and GERC (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2004. It is impractical to expect the Commission to publish the draft Tariff Order and invite comments/suggestions on the same. It may be noted that the Commission is a quasi-judicial authority, and has certain functions and powers.

Issue No. 29: Computation of Bulk Supply Tariff

Details of the computation of Bulk Supply Tariff, which is used to determine the power purchase cost of the State DISCOMs, were sought. It was also enquired whether the Petitioners are following the mechanism approved by the Commission in its MYT Order dated March 31, 2017.



Response of the Petitioners

It is submitted that the socio-economic situation, consumer mix and geographic situation etc. is different among the four DISCOMs. The Commission has approved the Bulk Supply Tariff mechanism in the MYT Order dated March 31, 2017 for the Control Period from FY 2016-17 to FY 2020-21. For FY 2018-19, power purchase cost has been allocated to the four DISCOMs in accordance with the BST framework approved by the Commission in the MYT Order.

Commission's View

The Commission has approved the power purchase cost of the DISCOMs in the truing up for FY 2018-19 after prudence check of the actuals submitted by the Petitioners, in accordance with the Bulk Supply Tariff mechanism in the MYT Order dated March 31, 2017.

Issue No 30: True up of GUVNL Expense and Revenue

There were objections to the non-inclusion of GUVNL's expenses and revenue as part of the true up process for the 4 DISCOMs.

Response of the Petitioners

It is submitted that the Annual Accounts of DISCOMs and GUVNL including power purchase cost is subjected to audit by various authorities including CAG. Therefore, the power purchase cost claimed in the Petitions is correct and as per the principles of MYT framework.

Commission's View

The suggestion is well taken by the Commission..

Issue No. 31: Approved vs. Actual Capital Expenditure

There were objections to the huge difference between the actual and approved capital expenditure, which is around Rs. 535 crore or around 15%. If the increase in capital expenditure is due to time/cost overrun, the same may be borne by the Petitioners.



Response of the Petitioners

The Petitioner has incurred the Capital expenditure to meet the Supply obligation including releasing of new connections, additional/alteration to the existing connections, renovation and replacement of old Distribution network, bifurcation of feeders, installation/augmentation of Distribution Transformers, replacements of meters, etc. The Scheme-wise deviation and justification for the same is already provided in the Petition.

Commission's View

The Commission has approved the Capital Expenditure and Capitalisation in the truing up for FY 2018-19 after due prudence check, as discussed in Chapter 4 of this Order.

Issue No. 32: Increase in O&M expenses

There were objections to the huge variation in actual O&M expenses incurred vis-à-vis the approved O&M expenses for the year. According to Regulation 22.2 (h) of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, variation in O&M expense is to be taken as controllable factor and therefore one-third of the variation is to be allowed as pass through. The Petitioners' claim of considering O&M expenses as uncontrollable is contrary to the Regulations.

Response of the Petitioners

O&M expenses comprise Employee cost, A&G expenses, and R&M expenses.

The growth in number of consumers necessitate corresponding increase in employee expenses and A&G expenses. Employee expenses comprise salaries, dearness allowance, bonus, terminal benefits in the form of pension and gratuity, leave encashment and staff welfare expenses, etc. Expenses incurred under this head is mainly by following the guidelines issued by Competent Authority like State Government. The DISCOMs also make all efforts to reduce the Distribution Losses to achieve Loss reduction trajectory approved by the Commission, which increases R&M expenses. Hence, entire expenditure is a legitimate expenditure and variation is purely beyond its control.

Commission's View

O&M expenses are approved in the truing up for FY 2018-19 after prudence check, in accordance with relevant provisions of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, as detailed in Chapter 4 of this Order.



Issue No. 33: Depreciation

It was submitted that the Petitioners have not submitted the break-up of assets for claiming depreciation, and the Petitioners may have given differential treatment to the assets transferred under the Transfer Scheme, 2004.

Response of the Petitioners

Depreciation is claimed as per provisions of GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

Commission's View

Depreciation is approved in the truing up for FY 2018-19 after prudence check, in accordance with relevant provisions of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, as detailed in Chapter 4 of this Order.

Issue No. 34: Return on Equity

It was submitted that the Petitioners have not furnished documentary evidence and proof of actual equity deployment. The Commission was requested to verify actual equity deployment and in case equity deployment is less than 30% of capital expenditure, then actual equity should be considered to calculate RoE.

Response of the Petitioners

RoE is claimed as per provisions of GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

Commission's View

RoE is approved in the truing up for FY 2018-19 after prudence check, in accordance with relevant provisions of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, as detailed in Chapter 4 of this Order.

Issue No. 35: Other Income

It was submitted that there is a significant variation in the Other Income stated in the Petitions and the Other Income approved in the MYT Order.

Response of the Petitioners

Other Income comprises Service Line Charges, Wheeling Charges, CSS, Additional Surcharge, Recovery from theft of power, Delayed Payment Charges, etc. The Commission has abolished the Meter Rent, which has reduced the income under this head. Therefore, there is reduction in Other Income.

Commission's View

The Other Income has been considered in the truing up for FY 2018-19 after prudence check, in accordance with relevant provisions of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, as detailed in Chapter 4 of this Order.

Issue No. 36: Tariff Proposal for FY 2020-21 - Revenue from FPPPA charges

It was submitted that the roadmap for reduction of FPPPA charges in FY 2020-21 by 0.51/kWh should be verified. Further, as per GERC (MYT) Regulations, revenue is to be considered only at existing tariff and not separately showing earnings from FPPPA Charges, hence, FPPPA Charges should be merged with the base tariff.

Response of the Petitioners

FPPPA Charges being adjustment charges towards variation in power purchase cost due to various uncontrollable factors, it may increase / decrease based on variation in actual power purchase cost. Any increase in power purchase cost during FY 2020-21 over base power purchase cost will be recovered as incremental FPPPA over Base FPPPA of Rs. 1.59/kWh.

Commission's View

The Response of the Petitioner is self-explanatory.

Issue No. 37: Loss level applicable for Open Access consumers

It was submitted not to consider losses applicable to Open Access users at 10% since actual losses are lower.



Response of the Petitioners

Petitioner submits that various consumers/consumer groups had raised the issue in the tariff proceedings for FY 2015-16 that the wheeling loss determined by the Commission is too high as compared to actual loss levels for 11 kV. The Commission, in its Tariff Order dated March 31, 2015 felt that a recalculation of HT-LT losses is necessary to arrive at reasonable wheeling losses. So, the Commission issued directives to DISCOMs to initiate a study on the segregation of HT and LT losses. Considering the findings of the Report, the Commission has not revised the wheeling loss applicable for wheeling of energy at 11 kV (HT) level and 440 V (LT) level.

Commission's View

The response of the Petitioner is self-explanatory.

Issue No. 38: Poor Consumer Services

It was submitted that the consumer service provided by all four Petitioners should be taken up in the truing up process and hearing.

Response of the Petitioners

Consumer installations are checked and discrepancy found, if any, is dealt with as per relevant provisions of EA 2003 and Regulations notified by the Commission in this regard. Generally, consumer's meter for billing purpose are read within the time frame provided by the Commission. The Petitioners have submitted their plan for meter replacement in the Petition. Maximum efforts have been taken to reduce electrical accidents. The DISCOMs have set up CGRF under the provisions of GERC (CGRF) Regulations.

Commission's View

The response of the Petitioner is self-explanatory. The DISCOMs should ensure that the supply and service to the consumers is in accordance with the EA 2003 and the Regulations notified by the Commission.



Issue No. 39: Review of performance during FY 2018-19

It was submitted that the Commission should not approve parameters where the Petitioners have claimed in excess of the approved parameters.

Response of the Petitioners

Various steps are taken for reduction of Distribution Losses and all efforts are being made to achieve further reduction in distribution losses. Petitioner endeavours to achieve the loss reduction trajectory approved by the Commission.

Commission's view

The Commission have noted the efforts made. However, loss reduction activity being continuous, sustained and concerted efforts should be made to reduce the losses in coming years also.

All the four Distribution Companies are catering to different mix of consumers having different characteristics of geographical area. Comparing losses of one DISCOM with another is not appropriate. Instead, comparison of current year's performance in loss reduction activities with that of previous years is more appropriate.

The Commission has approved the normative performance parameters in the truing up for FY 2018-19, and any variation in performance due to controllable parameters has been shared in the ratio of 1/3rd : 2/3rd in accordance with the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

Issue No. 40: Indirect Increase in Tariff during last 5 years

It was submitted that that though officially, tariff of any consumer category has not been increased in Gujarat, but indirect increase in electricity bill of all consumers is more than 14% during last 5 years.

Response of the Petitioners

Basic nature of FPPPA is 'adjustment' related to power purchase cost, i.e., pass through of increase or decrease, as the case may be, in the power purchase cost over base power purchase cost. As the power purchase cost changes, the FPPPA Charge



changes, and are recovered in the form of an incremental energy charge (Rs./kWh) recovered as per the Formula approved by the Commission.

Commission's View

The power purchase cost for FY 2018-19 was approved by the Commission in the MYT Order based on the power purchase cost of FY 2015-16. The Commission has approved the FPPPA formula vide its Order dated 29th October 2013. The FPPPA framework is intended to allow 'adjustment' related to power purchase cost, i.e., pass through of increase/ decrease in the power purchase cost over the base power purchase cost considered for the respective year in the MYT Order. Further, the FPPPA mechanism is in accordance with the EA 2003, GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 and Hon'ble APTEL Order in OP No. 1 of 2011 dated November 1, 2011.

Detailed clarification has been provided in past years' Tariff Orders and also in Chapter 7 of this Order.

Issue No. 41: Tariff Subsidies provided by State Government

It was submitted that the Government grants tariff subsidies of different types to GUVNL, which is distributed to DISCOMs, however, details of subsidy to agriculture, FPPPA related subsidy, and subsidy to Water Works are not accounted in the Annual Reports. It was submitted to consider subsidy received from State Government under various heads as the revenue of DISCOMs while calculating the revenue requirement of FY 2018-19.

Response of the Petitioners

As per the Commission's Tariff Order, FPPPA is a part of tariff. The FPPPA Charges payable by agricultural consumers is not recovered from the consumers but is being compensated by the State Government as a subsidy support to agriculture consumers. Revenue received from agriculture consumers is mentioned in the the Annual Accounts of the Petitioners which is inclusive of FPPPA subsidy from State Government, subsidy for tariff compensation from State Government. Similarly, subsidy received from the State Government towards Water Works connections is also mentioned in the Annual



Accounts for FY 2018-19, which is inclusive of Water Works subsidy from State Government.

It may be noted that FPPPA subsidy received from the State Government and subsidy for Water Works connections have been duly considered in the revenue from Sale of Power for respective category of consumers, both in Annual Accounts of the Company and also in the true up Petition.

Commission's View

The response of the Petitioners is self-explanatory.

Issue No. 42: Disclosure of Total Income

It was submitted that the DISCOMs should be directed to disclose total income including the revenue from tariff and Non-Tariff Income, which they collect from consumers according to Rules and Regulations with details of income received from every head.

Response of the Petitioners

As per Accounting practice, revenue accounted in the Annual Accounts includes revenue from Sale of Power to GUVNL (Surplus Sale) and DSM charges, besides revenue from sale of power to retail consumer categories. However, in the Petition, net power purchase cost is shown, i.e., after reducing amount towards sale of surplus power to GUVNL from total power purchase cost charged by GUVNL. Netting off of the same heads, i.e., revenue from sale of power to GUVNL and DSM Charges have been done and therefore, the amounts of revenue in the Annual Accounts and in the true up Petition is different, on account of different accounting treatment in the ARR and the Annual Accounts.

Revenue from sale of power includes revenue through FPPPA charges and revenue from temporary connections also. Further, the head "Other Income" is classified under the head of Non-Tariff Income in the Petition and the same has been reduced from total ARR rather than including it in the revenue. So, the total income is disclosed in the Petition but presentation is different as per Accounting Practice and requirement as per GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.



Commission's View

The response of the Petitioners is self-explanatory.

Issue No. 43: Introduction of LTMD Tariff above 6 kW in all RGP categories

It was requested to introduce optional demand-based tariff for RGP Tariff. Other stakeholders should have no locus standi to give any kind of objections as the tariff would be optional for high load RGP consumers. It was suggested to incorporate the clause in LTMD category that "Those RGP Category consumers having connected load above 6 kW may opt for LTMD Tariff".

Response of the Petitioners

This is the suggestion to the Commission and any modification to be made by the Commission should be revenue neutral to the DISCOM.

Commission's View

Though, proposal for introduction of Demand Based in Tariff in RGP category is appropriate but it requires to have technical skill in residential consumers to declare their billing demand. The Commission has noted the suggestion. .

Issue No. 44: Theft of Electricity

It was submitted that the DISCOMs do not have any concrete action plan to stop direct theft of electricity. It was also requested to submit the list of high loss-making feeders with action plan for improvement in reducing the losses.

Response of the Petitioners

The overall distribution loss level of MGVCL at the end of March 2006 was 20.10%. MGVCL has been able to achieve reduction of approximately 10.12% over a span of 12 years and present loss level is 9.98%.

For having focused action on high loss feeders, Feeders are selected based on the distribution loss on following criteria and specific actions are planned:

- a) Urban category feeders - above 15% loss
- b) JGY category feeders - above 50% loss
- c) Industrial feeders - above 10% loss



- d) GIDC feeders - above 5% loss
- e) Ag Dom feeders - above 50% loss

Feeder-wise Feeder Managers for the selected Feeders are nominated and responsibility assigned for carrying out loss reduction activities. Comprehensive planning for the work to be carried out on such Feeders is done on the basis of actual field report. Moreover, bi-monthly meeting of Feeder Managers is carried out at various levels.

These efforts shall continue and will be enhanced. However, loss reduction is a slow process and becomes increasingly difficult as the loss level goes down. Distribution Loss of Agriculture category is highly influenced by the amount and spells of rainfall, etc., particularly during monsoon season. However, with the continuous efforts and expeditious release of new connections, the loss of agriculture category has also reduced.

Commission's View

The Commission has noted the efforts of the Petitioners to achieve loss reduction target. The Commission is of the view the Petitioners should continue identifying high loss making Feeders and set targets for loss reduction, and steps should be taken to achieve the set target.

Issue 45: Rationale for recovery of DSM/UI Charges

Objections on recovery of DSM/UI charges have been raised.

Response of the Petitioners

Deviation Settlement Mechanism, which was previously known as "Unscheduled Interchange", is meant for grid discipline and payable/ receivable for the deviation from the schedule. Rate of UI/DSM units varies time to time as it is linked with the grid frequency. Further, amount receivable/ payable towards DSM/UI charges are duly accounted and appropriate treatment is given in the petition.

Commission's View

The response of the Petitioner is self-explanatory.



Issue 46: Less revenue shown in the ARR/ True up proposal

Less revenue is shown in the ARR / True Up proposal.

Response of the Petitioners:

Revenue in annual accounts includes revenue from sale of surplus power to GUVNL and DSM charges, besides revenue from sale to different consumer categories as per Accounting practice. Whereas in the ARR petition, the net power purchase cost is shown after reducing the amount of surplus power as per requirement of ARR. Netting of the same heads i.e. revenue from sale of power to GUVNL and DSM Charges have been done and they have been reduced from total power purchase cost so the amount of revenue from annual accounts and shown in petition is not same. Revenue from sale Power from respective category includes revenue through FPPPA. Also the head "Other Income" is classified under the head of non-tariff income in the petition and the same has been reduced from total ARR rather than including it in the revenue. So there is no error in the petition but presentation is in different format as per Accounting Practice and requirement as per MYT Regulations.

Commission's view:

The Commission has approved the proposal after prudence check considering revenue from different sources.

Issue No. 47: Revenue Billed and Revenue collected

It was submitted that the DISCOMs should submit the figures of revenue billed and revenue collected during FY 2018-19.

Response of the Petitioners

Collection Efficiency of the Petitioner is @ 100% for FY 2018-19.

Commission's View

The response of the Petitioner is self-explanatory.

Issue No. 48: Unutilized Units by Open Access consumers

It was submitted that the Commission should enquire about the units unutilized by Open Access consumers, regarding who is the eventual beneficiary of these units and how they are accounted in the Energy Balance.

Response of the Petitioners

Petitioner submitted that the treatment for inadvertent flow of power is given as per the applicable orders/ regulations of the Commission. In case of Open Access transaction for non-Re based power, there is no provision for purchase of inadvertent flow of power by Discoms after giving set off at recipient units. Therefore, such energy is not accounted in books of GUVNL/ Discoms.

Commission's View

Difference of energy scheduled and drawn by the Open Access consumers is an inadvertent flow into the infinite grid. Response of the Petitioner is self-explanatory.

Issue No. 49: Outsourcing

It was submitted that large part of work has been outsourced by the DISCOMs, and DISCOMs should disclose about the outsourced work, scope, and outgoing amounts on this account. The DISCOMs should also state what activities have been franchised like different Feeders monitored and what are the expenses and terms of Agreement.

Response of the Petitioners

Response not submitted by the Petitioner.

Commission's View

The Commission is of the view that expenditure incurred for the projects implemented through outsourced or implemented through internal workforce, treatment is given same while allowing the expenditure in the ARR.

Issue 50: To make last five years' petition as well as FPPPA data available on websites of the Petitioners:

DISCOMs should be directed to keep data live on the components, value and methodology related to FPPPA on GERC website for at least 5 years.

Response of the Petitioners

Response not submitted by the Petitioner.

Commission's View

As regards to keeping data of FPPPA on websites, the Commission has already directed the Licensees to keep the FPPPA data for last 8 quarters on their websites.

3.3 Suggestions/ Objections pertaining to MGVCL

Issue No. 1: Creation of a new category of retail tariff for AAI or in the alternative classifying it in the category of public utility services like Water Sewage and pumping station.

It is proposed to make a new category of tariff for Airport Authority of India. As AAI is a public utility service provider discharging a significant public function of modernising the aviation Industry and development of airports in India, it is thus responsible for an essential service. It is dissimilar to any kind of public service and its function is unique. With this in mind AAI wants to be classified under a standalone category for the same. Presently it is considered as a commercial consumer.

Under section 62(3) of Electricity Act, 2003 allow differentiation between the tariff of different consumer categories. It allows differentiating consumer where it is due. Airport services are an essential service that's why it is different from commercial services.

Appellate tribunal of Electricity has recognized that State Commission may choose to have a different category tariff depending upon the purpose for which the electricity is used in appeal no. 106 of 2008. APTEL considered Mumbai international airports pvt. ltd as a public utility service. In also other cases the respective Commission has held that airports use electricity with the intention to earn government revenue which in turn would be used for maintaining and building infrastructure and better management of airports in India.



Thus, it hopes that the commission would consider it as a new category in this tariff petition with concessionary rates or at the least put it in the public utility services category like water sewage and pumping station and approve the tariff petition accordingly.

Response of the Petitioners

The present tariff structure has evolved and rationalized over a period of time. Under LT category, there are mainly two categories, Residential and Non-residential categories only and for HT connection, there is mainly one category HTP-I only, besides others for very specific purpose. Therefore, any further addition in categorization would be a backward move to tariff rationalization, Moreover, there would be other Utilities/ organisations/ Institutes etc. also having same or similar objective like thereof Airport such as Bus stand, Railway stations etc. Therefore, it is not possible to have separate category for each and every service. Moreover, in case of Airport, apart from Airport operations, there are other commercial activities/ shops also within the Airport premises. It is further to submit that any modification to be made by commission should be revenue neutral to the Company.

Commission's view:

Response of the Petitioner is self-explanatory. Creating a separate category like demanded shall burden other class of consumers. Moreover, Airports generally have commercial activities like food shops, general shops, showrooms etc. and therefore public institutions tariff for them is not appropriate.

Issue No. 2: Tariff proposal not in line with Law and not admissible

The current proposal submitted by MGVCL is not in accordance with EA '03 and National Electricity Policy by following points

- i) To reflect cost of supply for different categories
- ii) To limit cross subsidization levels to +/- 20% of voltage/category wise cost of supply.
- iii) Progressive reduction in cross subsidy level with respect to Voltage/Category wise cost of supply.
- iv) at least 50% cost of supply from subsidized categories to be recovered.

It is submitted that as per last year's Commission's directive, detailed study to work out cost of supply at EHV level was to be carried out. Then only, on behalf of GUVNL, MGVCL



submitted the study report dated 25.07.2019. This year also the petitioner has failed to furnish the same. Even if they furnish it now, respondents would be deprived of opportunities /rights to analyze and respond to it.

The Objector strongly protested the petitioner's approach not to furnish relevant data at the time of petition filing and requested Commission not to accept the present incomplete petition and take necessary steps so that all relevant report with correct assessment of T&D losses must be furnished at the time of filing of future petitions.

Response of the Petitioners

The Petitioner has filed petition for true up of FY 2018-19 2016 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21 under GERC (MYT) Regulation along with other guidelines and directions issued by the GERC from time to time and under section 61 to section 64 of the EA '03.

With regards to the vital data in Cost of Supply Reports for FY 2018-19 the Petitioner has services of independent consultant and the same is submitted to Commission.

Commission's view:

The response of the Petitioner in noted. The said report is under examination. The Commission seeks data as and when necessary while finalizing ARR and tariff and such data are also made available at the website of the Commission and the Petitioner.

Issue No. 3: Power purchase cost

There are a number of malpractices in calculation of power purchase cost. After total power purchase charge which includes PGCIL, POSOCO, GETCO charge and other cost of Rs. 634.11 Crore is also added which is not admissible.

The petitioners are requested to make efforts in reducing the overall power purchase cost of the Discoms and the Commission is requested to conduct thorough prudence check for concerned periods.

Response of the Petitioners

The Petitioner submitted that as per the provision of National tariff policy, any increase in the power purchase cost viz-a-viz power purchase cost approved by Commission in the Discom's ARR/MYT Petition, is to be recovered from consumers through FPPPA charges on quarterly basis. Power purchase cost of 2018-19 was approved by the Commission in



the MYT order based on actual power purchase cost of FY2015-16. Since then there has been increase in fuel cost / power purchase rate and change in generation mix etc., the same is reflected in the FPPPA charges.

With regards to the other cost of 634.11 Crs as shown in Form-2: Power purchase expenses, it is submitted that 'other cost' is related to legitimate power purchase cost only. This amount is related to the past period liability, in part, towards payment of compensation to generators on account of direction from the competent court of law.

It is further stated that the annual account of GUVNL and subsidiary companies including power purchase cost is subject to audit by various authorities including Audit by CAG. Therefore, Power purchase cost claimed in the petitions is correct and as per the principles of MYT framework.

Commission's view:

The Commission has approved the Power Purchase Cost of the Petitioner as per the provisions of GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

Issue No. 4: Agricultural subsidy

The agricultural subsidy may be linked with AADHAR as huge amount of subsidy shortfall results in overburdening the remaining category heavily.

Response of the Petitioners

In this regard it is to submit that the subsidy claim of distribution company is booked on reasonable assurance basis in line with accounting policy in the books of distribution company and shown as a part of receipt from GUVNL. Moreover, DISCOM has not requested for any increase in tariff for any category of consumers.

Commission's view:

The methodology of disbursement of subsidy by the Government is not a subject matter of tariff petition and does not come under the purview of the Commission.

Issue No. 5: Attempts to discourage Open Access Users

The present proposed tariff structure is deterring /discouraging the open access users, like

(i) Deemed Open Access separate category tariff

- (ii) Imposing unlawful and arbitrary conditions through the Undertakings by using Utility consent requirement
- (iii) Demanding Additional surcharges with dubious data
- (iv) Restricting the Open Access upto Contract Demand only
- (v) Consent denial threatening notices for minor variations in Open Access power drawals etc.

The losses of OA consumers must be restricted to actual losses if he has a dedicated feeder for supply. The average loss on account of 4 discoms is most unethical. He also submitted that relevant information of open access was available on website of SLDC has now also been made unavailable.

Response of the Petitioners

The point wise reply to issues raised on the issue of open access discouragement are as under:

i) Deemed Open Access separate tariff category:

No such separate tariff category is proposed in this or earlier tariff proposals since the objective of Open Access consumer is to purchase power from other than DISCOMs.

ii) Imposing unlawful and arbitrary conditions through the undertakings by using utility consent:

The undertaking taken by the DISCOM is as per the order of the Commission and to ensure safety of grid and for load management purpose. It is inevitable to manage load properly to ensure grid security at any point of time.

iii) Demanding additional surcharge with dubious data:

The additional surcharge is leviable as per section 42(4) of EA 2003 after due verification by GERC.

iv) Restriction of Open Access up to contract demand:

Short term open access is allowed within the available margin in the network.

v) Consent denial- threatening notices for variations:



Essence of “undertaking” has to be followed in true spirit.

Commission’s view:

It is required to implement ‘Open Access’ mechanism in accordance with the GERC Regulations issued from time to time.

Issue No. 6: Licensee status under EA '03 to Military Engineering services for special tariff

It was submitted that Military Engineering Services (MES) as department under Ministry of Defence has the mandate to supply electricity to its quarters pertaining to defence personnel, both married and unmarried. It was suggested that MES should be covered under a special tariff category similar to the tariff it enjoyed with Order dated 25th June, 2004.

Response of the Petitioners

Petitioner submitted that in the present rationalized tariff structure, any further tariff categorization would be a backward move to Tariff Rationalization.

Petitioner further submitted that MES being a deemed distribution licensee, can source their power as per their requirement on mutually agreed base.

Commission’s View

The explanation of the DISCOM is self-explanatory.

Issue No. 7: Price revision in tariff

The Petitioner should not carry out any Price revision for at least next 5 years. It was submitted that Base rate of fuel price adjustment has been sought to be Rs. 1.59/unit from Rs. 1.2/unit, but last year only this base rate was hiked from Rs 0.80/unit to 1.20/unit. Also it was suggested to the Commission to merge Fuel price adjustment with basic tariff structure also.

Response of the Petitioner:

The Petitioner has not proposed tariff revision in present petition. As regards higher base FPPPA, it is submitted that FPPPA charges are towards adjustment due to increase/decrease in actual power purchase cost during the year vis-à-vis power purchase cost approved by the Commission in the MYT Order. Since FPPPA being adjustment charges



towards variation in power purchase cost due to various uncontrollable factors, it may increase/ decrease based on variation in actual power purchase cost. Therefore, FPPPA revenue is estimated separately considering base FPPPA of Rs. 1.59/ Unit. Any increase in power purchase cost during FY 2020-21 over base power purchase cost will be recovered as incremental FPPPA over base FPPPA of Rs. 1.59/Unit.

Commission's view:

The base FPPPA and tariff is determined by the Commission in accordance with the Orders of the Commission and GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

Issue No. 8: Unlawful use electricity connection

The Petitioner should minimize line losses and theft cases and unlawful use of given connection. The consumer cited unverifiable examples of people using their domestic connection for commercial purposes and using electricity well beyond their contract demand. The consumer sought practical solutions to these problems so that discoms losses can be minimised which would result to lesser revenue requirement for discom.

Response of the Petitioner:

Response not submitted by the Petitioner.

Commission's view:

The Licensee should take appropriate actions in order to curb the unauthorised consumption in accordance with the applicable rules and regulations.

4 Truing up of FY 2018-19

This Chapter deals with the truing up of FY 2018-19.

MGVCL, in its submission for True-up of FY 2018-19, has furnished details of the actual energy sales, expenditure and revenue based on the audited Annual Accounts for FY 2018-19. The Licensee has stated that the truing up for FY 2018-19 is based on the comparison of the actual performance of FY 2018-19 with the ARR approved for FY 2018-19 in the MYT Order dated 31st March, 2017 to arrive at the Gains/(Losses), as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

The Commission has analysed the components of the actual energy sales, expenses, revenue and computed Gains/ (Losses) in the process of truing up for FY 2018-19.

4.1 Energy Sales

Petitioner's Submission

The Petitioner has submitted the category-wise actual energy sales for FY 2018-19 as given in the Table below:

Table 4-1: Category-wise sales for FY 2018-19 (MU)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved in the MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing Up
A	LT Consumers		
1	RGP	2,831	2,609
2	GLP	71	63
3	Non-RGP & LTMD	1,571	1,543
4	Public Water Works	288	302
5	Agriculture- Unmetered	472	475
6	Agriculture-Metered	1,070	857
7	Public Lighting	65	61
	LT Total (A)	6,366	5,910
B	HT Consumers		
8	Industrial HT	3,534	4,094
9	Railway Traction	-	-
	HT Total (B)	3,534	4,094
	Grand Total (A+B)	9,900	10,004



Commission's Analysis

The Commission, in the MYT Order, dated 31st March, 2017, had approved the energy sales of 9900 MU for FY 2018-19 against which, MGVCL has submitted the actual sales of 10004 MU.

As can be observed from the Table above, the actual energy sales to LT categories are slightly lower than that approved by the Commission for FY 2018-19 in the MYT Order dated 31st March, 2017. On the other hand, the actual energy sales to HT categories are significantly higher than that approved by the Commission for FY 2018-19 in the MYT Order dated 31st March, 2017.

The Commission enquired from MGVCL regarding the reasons for the increase in energy sales to HT category. MGVCL replied that the actual sales to HT category were higher than approved primarily on account of reduction in Open Access sales.

Overall, the actual energy sales of MGVCL are higher as compared to that approved in the MYT Order dated 31st March, 2017. As energy sales are largely uncontrollable in nature, the Commission approves the actual energy sales as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4-2: Energy sales approved in truing up for FY 2018-19 (MU)

Sr. No	Particulars	Approved in the MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing Up	Approved after Truing Up
A	LT Consumers			
1	RGP	2,831	2,609	2,609.13
2	GLP	71	63	63.74
3	Non-RGP & LTMD	1,571	1,543	1,543.67
4	Public Water Works	288	302	302.21
5	Agriculture- Unmetered	1,070	857	857.00
6	Agriculture- Metered	472	475	475.05
7	Public Lighting	65	61	61.40
	LT Total (A)	6,366	5,910	5,910.20
B	HT Consumers			
8	Industrial HT	3,534	4,094	4,094.16
9	Railway Traction	-	-	-
	HT Total (B)	3,534	4,094	4,094.16
	Grand Total (A+B)	9,900	10,004	10,004.36



4.2 Distribution Losses

Petitioner's Submission

The Petitioner has submitted that the actual distribution losses for FY 2018-19 are 9.98%, as against the losses of 11.65% approved in the MYT Order dated 31st March, 2017, as given in the Table below:

Table 4-3: Distribution Losses for FY 2018-19 as submitted by MGVCCL (%)

Particulars	Approved in the MYT Order	Actual Claimed
Distribution Losses (%)	11.65%	9.98%

The Petitioner submitted that it has achieved significant reduction in distribution loss in the recent years. The Petitioner shall continue its efforts to lower distribution losses further.

The Petitioner submitted that as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, the Distribution Losses need to be treated as controllable and any gain or loss has to be dealt with in accordance with the provisions of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

Commission's Analysis

The Petitioner has contended that actual distribution losses are 9.98% against 11.65% as approved in MYT Order dated 31st March, 2017. The Commission has considered the intra-State Transmission Losses as 3.9492%, as submitted by MGVCCL based on data available from the SLDC website. Considering the actual sales of 10004 MU, the Distribution Loss in FY 2018-19 works out to 9.98%.

The Commission considers Distribution Losses as controllable as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016. Accordingly, the Commission has considered the Distribution Losses of 9.98% as shown in the Table below for computation of Gain/ (Loss) due to variance in Distribution Losses:

Table 4-4: Distribution Losses approved for truing up for FY 2018-19 (%)

Particulars	Approved in the MYT Order	Actual Claimed	Approved in True Up
Distribution Losses (%)	11.65%	9.98%	9.98%



4.3 Energy requirement

Petitioner's Submission

MGVCL has submitted the energy requirement for FY 2018-19 based on the actual energy sales and the actual distribution losses, as given in the Table below:

Table 4-5: Energy Requirement and Energy Balance submitted by MGVCL for FY 2018-19 (MU)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Unit	Approved in the MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing up
1	Energy Sales	MUs	9,900	10,004.36
2	Distribution Losses	MUs	1,305	1,109.00
		%	11.65%	9.98%
3	Energy Requirement	MUs	11,205	11,113.36
4	Local Power Purchase by Discom	MUs	0	37.19
5	Power Purchase at T<>D periphery from GUVNL	MUs	11,205	11,076.17
6	Transmission Losses	MUs	449	455.00
		%	3.85%	3.9492%
7	Total Energy to be input to Transmission System	MUs	11,654	11,531.17
8	Pooled Losses in PGCIL System	MUs	213	225.14
9	Total Energy Requirement	MUs	11,867	11,793.50

Commission's Analysis

MGVCL has computed the energy requirement based on the actual Distribution Losses of 9.98%, actual energy sales of 10004.36 MU and Transmission Losses of 3.9492%.

The Commission had approved the distribution losses of 11.65% and the transmission losses of 3.85% in the MYT Order dated 31st March, 2017. The Commission has worked out the energy requirement of 11793.41 MU after truing up of FY 2018-19, considering the actual Distribution Loss of 9.9751% and actual intra-State Transmission Loss of 3.9492%, as shown in the Table below:

Table 4-6: Energy Requirement approved by the Commission in truing up for FY 2018-19 (MU)

Sr. No	Particulars	Unit	Approved in MYT Order	Actual Claimed in truing up	Approved in truing up
1	Energy Sales	MU	9900	10,004.36	10,004.36



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

Sr. No	Particulars	Unit	Approved in MYT Order	Actual Claimed in truing up	Approved in truing up
2	Distribution Losses	MU	1305	1,109.00	1,108.52
		%	11.65%	9.98%	9.9751%
3	Energy Requirement	MU	11205	11,113.36	11,112.88
4	Local Power Purchase by Discom	MU	0	37.19	37.19
5	Power Purchase at T<>D periphery from GUVNL	MU	11,205	11,076.17	11,075.69
6	Transmission Losses	MU	449	455.00	455.39
		%	3.85%	3.9492%	3.9492%
7	Total Energy to be input to Transmission System	MU	11654	11,531.17	11,531.07
8	Pooled Losses in PGCIL System	MU	213	225.14	225.14
9	Total Energy Requirement	MU	11867	11,793.50	11,793.41

4.4 Power Purchase Cost

Petitioner's Submission

The Petitioner has submitted that it has been allocated share of generation capacities as per the scheme worked out by GUVNL. In order to minimise power purchase cost, GUVNL adopts the Merit Order Despatch (MOD) principles for despatching power from the generating stations based on the demand and accordingly power gets allocated to MGVCCL.

The actual power purchase from GUVNL is different from the allocation because the demand from MGVCCL is not constant and varies from time to time. The total power purchase cost of MGVCCL for FY 2018-19 consists of the basic power purchase cost, Transmission Charges payable to GETCO and PGCIL, and SLDC charges.

Further, MGVCCL has earned income on account of sale of power to GUVNL and from UI charges. The net power purchase cost has been computed by deducting the aforesaid income from total power purchase cost. Based on above computation, actual cost of power purchase vis-a- vis the approved power purchase cost for FY 2018-19 is submitted in the Table below:



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

Table 4-7: Power Purchase Cost submitted by MGVCCL for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No	Particulars	Approved in the MYT Order	Actual Claimed in truing up
A	Cost		
1	Power Purchased from GUVNL		5,340.20
2	Power purchase from Windfarm		9.18
3	Power Purchased from Solar		8.26
4	Unscheduled Interchange Charges/DSM Charges		-
5	SLDC Charges		0.52
	Total Cost		5,358.16
B	Income		
1	Sale of Power to GUVNL		-
2	Unscheduled Interchange		7.50
	Net Power Purchase Cost	5,328.11	5,350.66

The quantum of power purchase depends upon sales during the year as well as the losses in the system. The actual sales are higher than that of the approved by the Commission. However, the actual Distribution Losses in MGVCCL distribution network have been lower than the approved by the Commission. Hence, the actual quantum of power purchase was lower than the approved quantum and cost of power purchase.

As per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, the Commission has categorised the variation in the price of fuel and/or price of power purchase according to the FPPPA formula approved by the Commission as an uncontrollable factor. Further, the Commission has also identified the quantity of electricity sold to consumers as an uncontrollable factor. Accordingly, any gain or loss on this account is to be entirely passed on to the consumers as per the methodology approved by the Commission.

The variation in power purchase quantum and cost due to variation in Distribution Loss is a controllable factor, which would result in gain/loss under the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

MGVCCL has claimed that there is gain of Rs. 95.58 Crore in the power purchase cost due to lower actual Distribution Losses as compared to Distribution Losses approved in the MYT Order dated 31st March, 2017. The loss is considered as controllable variation. The calculation of loss on account of Distribution Losses as submitted by MGVCCL is shown in the Table below:



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

Table 4-8: Gain/ (Loss) on account of Distribution Losses for FY 2018-19 as submitted by MGVCCL (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No	Particulars	Unit	With Approved Distribution Losses	With Actual Distribution Losses
1	Energy Sales	MU	10,004.36	10,004.36
2	Distribution Losses	MU	1,319.19	1,108.52
		%	11.65%	9.98%
3	Energy Requirement	MU	11,323.56	11,112.88
4	Gain/(Loss) due to Distribution Losses	MU		210.68
5	Average Power Purchase Cost	Rs./kWh		4.54
6	Gain/(Loss) due to Dist. Losses	Rs. Crore		95.58

The summary of the gain/(loss) on account of controllable and uncontrollable factors of power purchase, as submitted by MGVCCL, is shown in the Table below:

Table 4-9: Gains/(Loss) on account of truing up of Power Purchase Expenses for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in the MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing up	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factors	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factors
Total Power Purchase Cost	5,328.11	5,350.66	95.58	(118.13)

Commission's Analysis

The Commission has examined the power purchase cost during FY 2018-19, based on the audited Annual Accounts of MGVCCL.

The total power purchase cost of MGVCCL for FY 2018-19 consists of the basic power purchase cost, Transmission Charges payable to GETCO and PGCIL, SLDC charges. Power Purchase Cost is reduced to the extent of income from UI charges.

The net Power Purchase Cost after truing up for MGVCCL for FY 2018-19 works out to Rs. 5350.66 Crore, as shown in the Table below:



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

Table 4-10: Power Purchase Cost approved in truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved in the MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing up	Approved in Truing up
A	Cost			
1	Power Purchased from GUVNL		5,340.20	5,340.20
2	Power purchase from Windfarm		9.18	9.18
3	Power Purchased from Solar		8.26	8.26
4	Unscheduled Interchange Charges/DSM Charges		-	-
5	SLDC Charges		0.52	0.52
			5,358.16	5,358.16
B	Income			
1	Sale of Power to GUVNL		-	-
2	Unscheduled Interchange		7.50	7.50
	Net Power Purchase Cost	5,328.11	5,350.66	5,350.66

The Commission has approved Distribution Losses at 11.65% for FY 2018-19 in the MYT Order dated 31st March, 2017, against which MGCVCL has achieved Distribution Losses of 9.9751%. As stated earlier, the actual Distribution Losses in MGCVCL distribution network have been lower than the approved level. The variation in power purchase quantum and cost due to variation in Distribution Loss is a controllable factor, which would result in gain/(loss) under the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

The calculation of the gain/(loss) on account of the controllable factor of Distribution Losses, as approved by the Commission in the Truing up for FY 2018-19, is shown in the Table below:

Table 4-11: Approved Gain/ (Loss) on account of Distribution Losses for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Unit	With Approved Distribution Losses	Actual Claimed in Truing up	Approved for truing up
1	Energy Sales	MU	10,004.36	10,004.36	10,004.36
2	Distribution Losses	MU	1,319.19	1,108.52	1,108.52
		%	11.65%	9.98%	9.9751%
3	Energy Requirement	MU	11,323.56	11,112.88	11,112.88
4	Gain/(Loss) due to Distribution Losses	MU		210.68	210.68



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

Sr. No.	Particulars	Unit	With Approved Distribution Losses	Actual Claimed in Truing up	Approved for truing up
5	Average Power Purchase Cost	Rs. /kWh		4.54	4.5369
6	Gain/(Loss) due to Dist. Losses			95.58	95.58

While computing the Gain / (Loss) due to change in Distribution Losses, the Commission has considered the Distribution Losses at 9.9751% of actual energy sales to arrive at change in energy requirement at the distribution periphery and has not considered the Transmission Losses to factor the efficiency of distribution activities only.

The Commission has considered change in power purchase cost attributable to the variation in cost and quantum of power due to variation in sales and transmission losses as uncontrollable.

Accordingly, the total Gain/(Loss) computed on account of power purchase is shown in the Table below:

Table 4-12: Approved gain / (loss) – power purchase expenses for truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in the MYT Order	Approved in Truing up	Deviation + (-)	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factors	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factors
Total Power Purchase Cost	5,328.11	5,350.66	(22.55)	95.58	(118.13)

4.5 Fixed Cost

4.5.1 Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Expenses for FY 2018-19

MGVCL has claimed O&M Expenses of Rs. 544.06 Crore, which is inclusive of Employee Cost of Rs. 481.30 Crore, Repairs & Maintenance Expenses of Rs. 41.69 Crore, and Administration & General Expenses of 79.28 Crore and Other Expenses Capitalized of Rs. 58.20 Crore against the approved O&M Expense of Rs. 427.81 Crore as per the details given in the Table below:



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

Table 4-13: O&M Expenses claimed in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs, Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved in MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing up	Deviation + (-)
1	Employee Cost	396.26	481.30	(85.04)
2	Repair & Maintenance (R&M) expenses	59.83	41.69	18.14
3	Administration & General (A&G) expenses	74.23	79.28	(5.04)
4	Other Debits	-	-	-
5	Extraordinary Items	-	-	-
6	Net Prior Period Expenses/(Income)	-	-	-
7	Other Expenses Capitalised	(102.51)	(58.20)	(44.31)
8	Operation & Maintenance Expenses	427.81	544.06	(116.25)

Petitioner's Submission

MGVCL has compared the O&M expenses actually incurred during FY 2018-19 with the expenses approved by the Commission in the MYT Order dated 31st March, 2017, and arrived at gain/(loss), as shown in the Table below:

Table 4-14: O&M Expenses and Gain/(Loss) claimed in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved in MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing up	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factors	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factors
1	Employee Expenses	396.26	481.30	(20.52)	(64.51)
2	R&M Expenses	59.83	41.69	18.14	-
3	A&G Expenses	74.23	79.28	(5.04)	-
4	Other Debits	-	-	-	-
5	Extraordinary Items	-	-	-	-
6	Net Prior Period Expenses/(Income)	-	-	-	-
7	Other Expenses Capitalised	(102.51)	(58.20)	-	(44.31)



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved in MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing up	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factors	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factors
8	Operation & Maintenance Expenses	427.81	544.06	(7.43)	(108.82)

The component-wise O&M expenses are discussed in the following paragraphs.

4.5.1.1 Employee Cost

MGVCL has claimed employee cost of Rs. 481.30 Crore in the truing up for FY 2018-19. The employee cost approved for FY 2018-19 in the MYT Order dated 31st March, 2017 and claimed by MGVCL in the truing up are given in the Table below:

Table 4-15: Employee Cost claimed by MGVCL in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved in MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing up	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factors	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factors
1	Employee Cost	396.26	481.30	(20.52)	(64.51)

Petitioner's Submission

MGVCL submitted that Employee Expenses comprise salaries, dearness allowance, bonus, terminal benefits in the form of pension and gratuity, leave encashment, and staff welfare expenses. MGVCL submitted that the actual employee cost for FY 2018-19 was Rs. 481.30 Crore, which excludes the provision made towards 7th Pay Commission of Rs. 35.59 Crore, but includes the actual amount paid towards 7th Pay Commission of Rs. 64.51 Crore. In line with the approach adopted by the Commission in previous Orders, MGVCL has considered loss of Rs. 64.51 Crore of actual payment towards 7th Pay Commission as uncontrollable, and the balance loss of Rs. 20.52 crore has been considered as controllable.

Commission's Analysis

MGVCL has claimed actual employee cost of Rs. 481.30 Crore for FY 2018-19 as against Rs. 396.26 Crore approved in the MYT Order dated 31st March, 2017. The



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

Commission has verified the actual employee expenses from the audited Annual Accounts of MGVCL. The actual employee expenses claimed by MGVCL excludes Rs. 35.59 Crore towards Provision for 7th Pay Commission but includes Rs. 64.51 Crore towards the actual payout on account of wage revision.

Therefore, the Commission considers the actual employee expenses of Rs. 481.30 Crore for the purpose of true up of FY 2018-19. The Commission considers the employee cost as a controllable expense, in accordance with the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016. However, the actual payment of Rs. 64.51 Crore towards the 7th Pay Commission has been considered as uncontrollable, in line with the approach adopted in previous Orders.

The Commission, accordingly, approves the employee cost at Rs. 481.30 Crore in the truing up for FY 2018-19, with the sharing of Gains/(Losses) as shown in the Table below:

Table 4-16: Employee Cost approved in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved in MYT Order	Approved in Truing Up	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factors	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factors
1	Employee Cost	396.26	481.30	(20.52)	(64.51)

4.5.1.2 Repairs & Maintenance (R&M) Expenses

MGVCL has claimed R&M expenses of Rs. 41.69 Crore in the truing up for FY 2018-19. The R&M expenses approved for FY 2018-19 in the MYT Order dated 31st March, 2017 and claimed by MGVCL in the truing up are as given in the Table below:

Table 4-17: R&M Expenses claimed by MGVCL for the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved in MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing up	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factors	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factors
1	R&M Expenses	59.83	41.69	18.14	-



Petitioner's Submission

MGVCL has submitted that the assets of MGVCL are old and require regular maintenance to ensure uninterrupted operations. It has been further submitted that MGVCL has been trying its best to ensure uninterrupted operations of the system by undertaking necessary expenditure for R&M activities. The GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 provides for R&M expenses as a controllable expense. The actual R&M expenses for FY 2018-19 are Rs. 41.69 Crore, which is lower than the approved R&M expenses of Rs. 59.83 crore. MGVCL has worked out a gain of Rs. 18.14 Crore due to controllable factors as provided in the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

Commission's Analysis

The actual R&M expenses incurred during FY 2018-19 are Rs. 41.69 Crore, as per the audited annual accounts. The actual R&M expenses incurred by MGVCL are lower than the amount approved in the MYT Order dated 31st March, 2017. The R&M expenses are a controllable item of expenditure under the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016. Accordingly, the Commission has trued up the R&M expenses and the sharing of Gains/(Losses) due to controllable factors, as shown in the Table below:

Table 4-18: R&M Expenses approved for the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved in MYT Order	Approved in Truing Up	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factors	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factors
1	R&M Expenses	59.83	41.69	18.14	0

4.5.1.3 Administration & General (A&G) Expenses

MGVCL has claimed A&G expenses of Rs. 79.28 Crore in the truing up for FY 2018-19. The A&G expenses approved for FY 2018-19 in the MYT Order dated 31st March, 2017 and claimed by MGVCL in the truing up are given in the Table below:



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

Table 4-19: A&G Expenses claimed by MGVCL in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved in MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing up	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factors	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factors
1	A&G Expenses	74.23	79.28	(5.04)	-

Petitioner's Submission

MGVCL has submitted that A&G expenses mainly comprise rents, telephone and other communication expenses, professional charges, conveyance and travelling allowances, etc. The A&G expenses are categorised as controllable expenses in the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 and the actual A&G expenses are higher than the approved expenses, resulting in a loss of Rs. 5.04 Crore for FY 2018-19.

Commission's Analysis

The actual A&G expenses incurred during FY 2018-19 are Rs. 79.28 Crore, as per the audited annual accounts. The actual A&G expenses incurred by MGVCL are higher than the amount approved in the MYT Order dated 31st March, 2017. The A&G expenses are a controllable item of expenditure under the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016. Accordingly, the Commission has trued up the A&G expenses and the sharing of Gains/(Losses) due to controllable factors, as shown in the Table below:

Table 4-20: A&G Expenses approved in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved in MYT Order	Approved in Truing Up	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factors	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factors
1	Administration & General Charges	74.23	79.28	(5.04)	-

4.5.1.4 Other Expenses Capitalised

MGVCL has claimed the actual expenses capitalised at Rs. 58.20 Crore in the truing up for FY 2018-19, as against Rs. 102.51 Crore approved in the MYT Order dated 31st March, 2017 as shown in the Table below:



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

Table 4-21: Other Expenses Capitalised as claimed by MGVCL in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved in MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing up	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factors	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factors
1	Other Expenses Capitalised	(102.51)	(58.20)	-	(44.31)

Commission's Analysis

The Commission has observed that the other expenses capitalised represent the capitalisation of Employee Expenses and A&G Expenses. The actual other expenses capitalised is Rs. 58.20 Crore, as per the audited annual accounts for FY 2018-19.

The Commission, accordingly, approves the Other Expenses Capitalised at Rs. 58.20 Crore against Rs. 102.51 Crore approved in the MYT Order dated 31st March, 2017. The Commission allows Rs. 44.31 Crore as loss due to uncontrollable factors as shown in the Table below:

Table 4-22: Other Expenses Capitalised approved in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved in MYT Order	Approved in Truing Up	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factors	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factors
1	Other Expenses Capitalised	(102.51)	(58.20)		(44.31)

The total O&M expenses approved in the truing up for FY 2018-19 and the Gain / (Loss) due to controllable and uncontrollable factors are detailed in the Table below:

Table 4-23: Approved O&M expenses and Gain / (Loss) in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved in MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing up	Approved in truing up	Gain/ (Loss) due to Controllable Factors	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factors
1	Employee Cost	396.26	481.30	481.30	(20.52)	(64.51)



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved in MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing up	Approved in truing up	Gain/ (Loss) due to Controllable Factors	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factors
2	R&M Expenses	59.83	41.69	41.69	18.14	
3	A&G Expenses	74.23	79.28	79.28	(5.04)	
4	Other Debits	-				
5	Extraordinary Items	-				
6	Net Prior Period Expenses/(Income)	-				
7	Other Expenses Capitalised	(102.51)	(58.20)	(58.20)	-	(44.31)
8	O&M Expenses	427.81	544.06	544.06	(7.43)	(108.82)

4.5.2 Capital Expenditure and Capitalization

MGVCL has furnished actual capital expenditure of Rs. 417.51 Crore in the truing up for FY 2018-19, against Rs. 670.13 Crore approved in the MYT Order dated 31st March, 2017, as given in the Table below:

Table 4-24: Capital Expenditure claimed by MGVCL for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	FY 2018-19 (Approved)	FY 2018-19 (Actual)	Deviation
A	Distribution Schemes			
	Normal Development Scheme	26.31	20.00	6.31
	Distribution Infra & Shifting Schemes (DISS)	26.31	16.01	10.30
	Electrification of hutments	10.13	9.60	0.53
	Kutir Jyoti Scheme	2.96	3.00	(0.04)
	Others Harijan Basti- Petapara	0.92	0.30	0.62
	Total	66.63	48.91	17.72
B	Rural Electrification Schemes - Plan			
	TASP (Wells & Petapara)	146.78	38.09	108.69
	Special Component Plan	4.80	1.07	3.73
	RE Wells (OA + SPA)	188.79	80.68	108.11
	Dark Zone	72.82	13.68	59.14
	Total	413.19	133.52	279.67
C	Non Plan Schemes			
	Integrated Power Development	119.10	147.31	(28.21)



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

Sr. No.	Particulars	FY 2018-19 (Approved)	FY 2018-19 (Actual)	Deviation
	Scheme (IPDS)			
	Din Dayal Upadhyay Gramin Jyoti Yojana (DDUGJY)	52.72	76.08	(23.36)
	RAPDRP (SCADA) – A	0.00	0.00	-
	RAPDRP (SCADA) – B	2.00	0.00	2.00
	PSDF	0.00	0.00	-
	RAPDRP (Part B)	5.00	0.00	5.00
	Total	178.82	223.39	(44.57)
D	Other Schemes			
	Sagar Khedu	1.50	1.51	(0.01)
	Energy Conservation (HVDS)	5.00	5.38	(0.38)
	Vehicle	0.99	0.19	0.80
	Sardar Krushi Jyoti Yojna	0.00	3.79	(3.79)
	Misc Civil+ Electrical Works+ Furniture	4.00	0.70	3.30
	SKY	0.00	0.12	(0.12)
	Total	11.49	11.69	(0.20)
F	Capital Expenditure Total	670.13	417.51	252.62

Petitioner's Submission

MGVCL has submitted that Capital expenditure incurred by MGVCL in FY 2018-19 was Rs. 417.51Crore. The actual capital expenditure by MGVCL during the FY 2018-19 is lower than that approved by the Commission.

Scheme wise deviation in capital expenditure is explained as under:

Normal Development Scheme: Expenses under Normal Development Scheme, generally incurred to meet the Supply Obligation of utility. Based on number of application received, MGVCL had incurred Rs. 20.00 Crore against approved Rs. 26.31 Crore.

Distribution Infrastructure Shifting Scheme: It is essential to get the proposal from Nagar Palika, Municipal Corporation, etc. For shifting for implementation under this scheme as per the stipulations of the scheme. Based on number of proposal received from urban local bodies like Nagarpalika, Municipal Corporation, Gram Panchayat, etc. during FY 2018-19, work was undertaken under this scheme and capital expenditure was incurred accordingly.



Electrification of Hutments: Household connections were issued to 17437 hutments under this scheme during FY 2018-19. Capital expenditure of Rs. 9.60 Crore was incurred for the same during FY 2018-19.

Kutir Jyoti Scheme [HH]: MGVCL has incurred Rs. 3.00 Crore of capital expenditure during FY 2018-19 for 8396 nos. of household connections.

Harijan Basti [SCSP]: MGVCL has incurred Rs. 0.30 Crore for providing 674 household connections during FY 2018-19.

TASP Wells: Allocation of agriculture connection is a continuous process. It is governed by Government of Gujarat by way of fund monitoring and availability of Energy & Infrastructure. MGVCL has provided agriculture category connections under various schemes. Due to widespread electrification of agricultural wells and household connections, length of line per connection has been decreasing. As a result, average capital expenditure per connection has been decreasing.

Under TASP scheme, MGVCL has achieved 3,395 nos. of agriculture wells against target of 3,300 wells and incurred expenditure of Rs. 38.09 Crore in FY 2018-19.

Special Component Plan: Under this scheme, 93 nos. of agricultural wells were completed against the approved target of 150 nos. The total expenditure of Rs. 1.07 Crore was incurred under this scheme during FY 2018-19.

Normal agricultural wells SPA: Under SPA scheme, 5,987 nos. of agricultural wells are electrified against approved target of 6000 nos. and company has incurred capital expenditure of Rs. 80.68 Crore.

Dark Zone: Under Dark Zone scheme, 1,070 agricultural wells are electrified vis-à-vis target of 800 nos. for capital expenditure of Rs. 13.68 Crore during FY 2018-19.

IPDS & DDUGJY: MGVCL has completed physical progress in March, 2019, i.e., before target date which was planned to be completed in FY2019-20. Hence, actual capital expenditure is more than the approved in MYT Order.

SCADA/DMS: MGVCL has completed physical works as per sanctioned quantities of SCADA PART-B project in March, 2018. Further, all expenses were booked under SCADA PART-B in FY2017-18. Therefore, there is no expense in FY 2018-19 for this scheme.



MGVCL has claimed actual capitalisation of Rs. 411.86 crore in the truing up for FY 2018-19, as compared to the capitalisation of Rs. 670.13 crore approved in the MYT Order dated 31st March 2017.

MGVCL has requested the Commission to approved above deviations.

Commission's Analysis

The capital expenditure (CAPEX) approved for FY 2018-19 in the MYT Order dated 31st March, 2017 was Rs. 670.13 Crore. The actual capital expenditure incurred is Rs. 417.51 Crore, which is lower by Rs. 252.62 Crore than the CAPEX approved in the MYT Order dated 31st March 2017.

The Commission observed that there is lower Capital Expenditure of Rs. 6.31 Crore during FY 2018-19 compared to that approved in MYT Order for Normal Development Scheme. The Normal Development Scheme is meant for providing new connections. Any variation in the Capital Expenditure is mainly due to number of applications received by the Licensee.

It is observed by the Commission that expenditure under Distribution Infrastructure Shifting Scheme is dependent upon the proposal for shifting of Distribution Network received from Urban Local Bodies like Nagar Palika, Municipal Corporation, Gram Panchayat etc. It is also observed by the Commission that variation in the Capital Expenditure for electrification of hutments, Kutir Jyoti scheme and Harijan Basti (Petarapa) scheme is due to variation in number of connections released under these schemes.

Further, variation in TASP Well Scheme is due to decrease in length of line per connection due wide spread electrification of agriculture land and household connections. It is noted by the Commission that MGVCL has released 3395 number of Agriculture Connections against the target of 3300 number. Similarly, under Normal Agriculture Well scheme, MGVCL achieved the target of releasing of agriculture connections, however, due to the reasons mentioned above, the Capital Expenditure under this scheme is lower by Rs. 108.69 Crore during FY 2018-19 compared to what approved in the MYT Order. Similarly, under Dark Zone scheme, inspite MGVCL over achieving the target of releasing agriculture connections, the Capital Expenditure is lower by Rs. 59.14 Crore during FY 2018-19 compared to what approved in the MYT Order.



Under IPDS and DDUGJY scheme, MGVL completed the works in March, 2019 i.e. before target date which was planned to be completed in FY 2019-20. Due to this reason, the actual expenditure is higher than that approved in the MYT Order.

For the SCADA and DMS scheme, the actual expenditure was booked in FY 2017-18, therefore, there is no CAPEX under this scheme in FY 2018-19.

The Commission observes that most of the CAPEX Schemes by the DISCOMs are of continuous and on-going nature. These are based on yearly targets set for meeting the supply obligation, providing quality and reliable power to the consumers, reduction in losses, release of agriculture connections, etc. Generally, there are no pre-defined timelines as the schemes are further bifurcated into various works under the Scheme. Nevertheless, the Licensee should be more realistic in projecting the CAPEX.

The Commission, verified the audited annual accounts of MGVL and has observed that MGVL has incurred actual CAPEX of Rs. 417.51 Crore and capitalisation of Rs. 411.86 Crore. The Commission, therefore, approves the same in the truing up for FY 2018-19.

4.5.2.1 Funding of Capitalisation

Petitioner's Submission

MGVL submitted that the funding of actual capitalisation is done through various sources categorised under four headings, viz., Consumer Contribution, Grants, Equity and Debt. The detailed breakup of funding of assets capitalised during FY 2018-19 is given in the Table below:

Table 4-25: Funding of Capitalisation submitted by MGVL for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up
Capitalisation	670.13	411.86
Consumer Contribution	26.31	102.87
Grants	123.60	80.77
Balance CAPEX for the Year	520.22	228.22
Debt (70%)	364.15	159.76
Equity (30%)	156.07	68.47

Commission's Analysis



It is observed that MGVCL has claimed the funding of Capitalisation, net of Consumer Contribution and Government Grant, in the normative Debt:Equity ratio of 70:30, as specified in the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

The Commission has verified the amount considered by MGVCL against Government Grants and Consumer Contribution from the audited Annual Accounts for FY 2018-19. The Commission has accordingly considered the funding of capitalisation in FY 2018-19 through Consumer Contribution and Grants of Rs. 102.87 Crore and Rs. 80.77 Crore, respectively, in the truing up for FY 2018-19.

The Commission, therefore, approves the funding of Capitalization in the truing up of FY 2018-19 as given in the Table below:

Table 4-26: Approved Capitalisation and sources of funding in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in MYT Order	Claimed in truing up	Approved in Truing Up
Capitalization	670.13	411.86	411.86
Consumer Contribution	26.31	102.87	102.87
Grants	123.60	80.77	80.77
Balance CAPEX for the Year	520.22	228.22	228.22
Debt (70%)	364.15	159.76	159.76
Equity (30%)	156.07	68.47	68.47

4.5.3 Depreciation

MGVCL has claimed Depreciation of Rs. 253.49 Crore in the truing up for FY 2018-19 against the Depreciation of Rs. 304.84 Crore approved in the MYT Order dated 31st March, 2017.

Petitioner's Submission

MGVCL submitted that it has calculated depreciation for FY 2018-19 in accordance with the provisions of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, as shown in the Table below:

Table 4-27: Fixed Assets & Depreciation computed by MGVCL for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Sr.	Particulars	Approved in MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing up	Deviation
-----	-------------	-----------------------	-----------------------------	-----------



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

No.				
1	Gross Block in Beginning of the year	5,377.90	5,016.71	
2	Additions during the Year (Net)	670.13	412.89	
3	Depreciation for the Year	304.84	253.49	51.35
4	Average Rate of Depreciation	5.34%	4.85%	

MGVCL further submitted that actual depreciation for FY 2018-19, as against the value approved in the MYT Order, resulted in a net uncontrollable gain of Rs. 51.35 Crore, as shown in the Table below:

Table 4-28: Gain/(Loss) due to Deprecation claimed in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing up	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factors	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factors
Depreciation	304.84	253.49	-	51.35

Commission's Analysis

It is observed that the Opening Gross Fixed Assets (GFA) as per Audited accounts, which is readjusted as per IND AS. However, the Petitioner has considered the Opening GFA in the truing up for FY 2018-19 as per the truing up Order for FY 2017-18, which is correct. The Commission has accordingly considered the Closing GFA of FY 2017-18 as Opening GFA of FY 2018-19.

The net addition during the year of Rs. 411.86 Crore has been verified from the audited Annual Accounts for FY 2018-19. The depreciation as per audited Annual Accounts for FY 2018-19 is Rs. 253.49 Crore.

The Commission, accordingly, approves Depreciation at Rs. 253.49 Crore in the truing up for FY 2018-19, as shown in the Table below:

Table 4-29: Approved fixed assets & depreciation for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved in MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing up	Approved in Truing Up
1	Gross Block in Beginning of the year	5,377.90	5,016.71	5,016.71
2	Additions during the Year (Net)	670.13	412.89	411.86
3	Gross Block at the end of the year	6,048.03	5,429.60	5,428.57



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved in MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing up	Approved in Truing Up
4	Depreciation for the Year	304.84	253.49	253.49
5	Average Rate of Depreciation	5.34%	4.85%	4.85%

The amount of depreciation is dependent on the quantum of capitalisation, rate of depreciation, etc. The Commission has, therefore, considered the parameters impacting depreciation as uncontrollable.

The Commission, accordingly, approves the Gain /(Loss) on account of depreciation in the truing up for FY 2018-19, as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4-30: Gain/(Loss) due to Depreciation approved in truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in MYT Order	Approved in Truing up	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factors	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factors
Depreciation	304.84	253.49	-	51.35

4.5.4 Interest and Finance charges

MGVCL has claimed Rs.54.17 Crore towards interest and finance charges in the truing up for FY 2018-19, as against Rs.114.17 Crore approved in the MYT Order dated 31st March, 2017, as shown in the Table below:

Table 4-31: Interest and Finance Charges claimed by MGVCL in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing up
Interest and Finance Charges	114.17	54.17

Petitioner's Submission

MGVCL submitted that it has considered the Opening Balance of loans for FY 2018-19 equal to the Closing Balance of Loans approved by the Commission for FY 2017-18. The loan addition in FY 2018-19 is considered as Rs. 159.76 Crore towards funding of CAPEX for FY 2018-19. As per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, repayment during the year has been considered equal to the depreciation for the financial year.



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

MGVCL has considered the weighted average rate of interest of 6.13% as against 9.46% approved in the MYT Order dated 31st March, 2017 for FY 2018-19.

In addition, MGVCL has considered the interest on security deposits as per the provisions of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016. The details of interest and finance charges claimed by MGVCL are as given in the Table below:

Table 4-32: Interest and Finance Charges claimed by MGVCL in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved in the MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing up
1	Opening Loans	476.31	136.97
2	Loan Additions during the Year	364.15	159.76
3	Repayment during the Year	304.84	253.49
4	Closing Loans	535.63	43.23
5	Average Loans	505.97	90.10
6	Interest on Loan	47.86	5.53
7	Interest on Consumers' Security Deposit	65.05	47.97
8	Guarantee Charges	1.25	0.68
9	Total Interest & Financial Charges	114.17	54.17
10	Average Interest on Loan	9.46%	6.13%

MGVCL submitted that interest and finance charges are categorised as uncontrollable as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, and that it has accordingly computed the gain/(loss) between the actual and the approved expenses under uncontrollable factors, as given in the Table below:

Table 4-33: Gain / (Loss) claimed due to Interest & Finance Charges for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing up	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factors	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factors
Interest and Finance Charges	114.17	54.17	-	60.00

Commission's Analysis

The Commission has considered the Closing Balance of Loans approved in the true up Order for FY 2017-18, as the Opening Balance of Loans for FY 2018-19. The



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

normative addition of loans during FY 2018-19 has been considered at Rs. 159.76 Crore, as approved in Table 4.26 of this Order. The repayment of loan has been considered equal to the depreciation approved in this Order.

The interest on security deposits of Rs. 47.97 Crore has been verified from the audited Annual Accounts for FY 2018-19. The Guarantee Charges have been considered as per the audited Annual Accounts for FY 2018-19.

MGVCL has submitted details of the actual loan portfolio and the rate of interest applicable for each loan portfolio for FY 2018-19. The Commission has computed the weighted average rate of interest as 6.545% in accordance with the Regulation 38 of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

Taking all these factors into consideration, the interest and finance charges approved in the truing up for FY 2018-19 is detailed in the Table below:

Table 4-34: Interest and Finance Charges approved by the Commission in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved in the MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing up	Approved in Truing up
1	Opening Loans	476.31	136.97	136.97
2	Loan Additions during the Year	364.15	159.76	159.76
3	Repayment during the Year	304.84	253.49	253.49
4	Closing Loans	535.63	43.23	43.23
5	Average Loans	505.97	90.10	90.10
6	Interest on Loan	47.86	5.53	5.90
7	Interest on Security Deposit to the Consumers	65.05	47.97	47.97
8	Guarantee Charges	1.25	0.68	0.68
9	Total Interest & Financial Charges	114.17	54.17	54.54
10	Average Interest on Loan	9.46%	6.13%	6.545%

The Commission, accordingly, approves the interest and finance charges at Rs. 54.54 Crore in the truing up for FY 2018-19.

As per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, the parameters which impact interest and finance charges are uncontrollable. The Commission, accordingly, approves the Gain / (Loss) on account of interest and finance charges in the truing up for FY 2018-19, as detailed in the Table below:

Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

Table 4-35: Gain / (Loss) due to Interest and Finance Charges approved in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in MYT Order	Approved in Truing up	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factors	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factors
Interest and Finance Charges	114.17	54.54	-	59.63

4.5.5 Interest on Working Capital

MGVCL has not claimed any interest on working capital in the truing up for FY 2018-19, against Nil provision approved in the MYT Order dated 31st March, 2017 as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4-36: Interest on Working Capital claimed by MGVCL in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved in the MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing up
1	Interest on Working Capital	-	-

Petitioner's Submission

MGVCL has submitted that working capital has been calculated and the rate of interest is considered as the rate equal to the weighted average of the 1-year Marginal Cost of Lending Rate (MCLR) of State Bank of India (SBI) during the year plus 250 basis points, as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016. This rate works out to 10.89%.

The detailed computation of Working Capital requirement as per the provisions of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 and Interest on Working Capital, is as given in the Table below:

Table 4-37: Interest on Working Capital claimed by MGVCL in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved in the MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing up
1	O & M expenses	35.65	45.34
2	Maintenance Spares	53.78	50.17
3	Receivables	511.78	509.12



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

4	Amount held as security deposit from consumers	839.42	841.57
5	Total Working Capital	(238.21)	(236.94)
6	Rate of Interest on Working Capital	11.70%	10.89%
7	Interest on Working Capital	-	-

Commission's Analysis

The Commission has examined the computation of normative working capital under the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016. The working capital requirement works out to be negative during FY 2018-19. As the working capital requirement works out to be negative, there cannot be any interest on working capital. Accordingly, neither is any interest claimed by MGVCCL nor is any interest approved by the Commission.

The detailed computation of the Working Capital and interest thereon is given in the Table below:

Table 4-38: Interest on working capital approved in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved in the MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing up	Approved in Truing up
1	O&M expenses	35.65	45.34	45.34
2	Maintenance Spares	53.78	50.17	50.17
3	Receivables	511.78	509.12	509.12
4	Amount held as security deposit from consumers	839.42	841.57	841.57
5	Total Working Capital	(238.21)	(236.94)	(236.94)
6	Rate of Interest on Working Capital	11.70%	10.89%	10.89%
7	Interest on Working Capital	-	-	-

The Commission, accordingly, approves the interest on working capital as Nil in the truing up for FY 2018-19.

4.5.6 Bad Debts Written Off

MGVCCL has claimed Rs. 6.07 Crore towards bad debts written off in the truing up for FY 2018-19, as against Rs. 0.00 Crore approved in the MYT dated 31st March 2017 as given in the Table below:



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

Table 4-39: Bad Debts claimed by MGVCL in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved in the MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing up
1	Bad Debts Written Off	0.00	6.07

Petitioner's Submission

MGVCL submitted that as per the audited Annual Accounts for FY 2018-19, bad and doubtful debts written off in FY 2018-19 are Rs 18.59 Crore, out of which Rs 12.52 Crore is written-off towards Amnesty Scheme. The Commission, vide Order dated 18th June, 2018, ruled that impact of bad debts written off under the Amnesty Scheme needs to be absorbed by the DISCOMs. Hence, MGVCL has claimed only the balance Bad Debts Written Off, resulting in a loss of Rs. 6.07 Crore on account of controllable factors, as shown in the Table below:

Table 4-40: Bad Debts claimed by MGVCL in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing up	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factors	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factors
Bad Debts Written Off	0.00	6.07	(6.07)	-

Commission's Analysis

As per Regulation 94.9.1 of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, the bad debts written off in the Aggregate Revenue Requirement is to be passed through based on the actual write off of bad debts during the year.

The Commission has verified the Bad & Doubtful debts written off from the audited Annual Accounts that MGVCL for FY 2018-19. The Annual Accounts shows the bad & doubtful debts written off as Rs. 18.59 Crore in FY 2018-19, with the Note that the State Government has declared Amnesty Scheme for various categories of consumers as one-time settlement of their outstanding dues. Under this Scheme, MGVCL has waived off Principal dues amounting to Rs. 12.52 Crore. The Commission has earlier ruled vide Order dated 18th June, 2018 that the impact of bad debts written off under the Amnesty Scheme needs to be absorbed by the DISCOMs.



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

On a query from the Commission, MGVCL has clarified that the amount of Rs. 6.07 Crore is waived off in favour of Dedicated Freight Corridor Corporation Limited (DFCCI), a unit of Indian Railway. DFCCI had demanded a 20,000 kVA connection from the Petitioner but due to some reasons they could not avail power supply. As per the provisions of the GERC Electricity Supply Code and Terms of Agreement signed between DFCCI and MGVCL, bill of Rs. 7.34 Crore was raised. Out of this, on request from DFCCI, MGVCL waived off the amount of Rs. 6.07 Crore. The Commission does not find it appropriate to pass on this amount of Rs. 6.07 Crore on to the consumers and accordingly, disallows the claim of Bad Debt Written off while truing up of FY 2018-19.

Accordingly, the Commission allows Nil Bad & Doubtful Debts Written off during FY 2018-19.

The deviation on account of bad debts written off is Nil and the Commission considers the same as loss due to controllable factors, as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4-41: Gain/ (Loss) due to Bad Debts approved in the Truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in MYT Order	Approved in Truing up	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factors	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factors
Bad Debts Written Off	0.00	0.00	0.00	-

4.5.7 Return on Equity

MGVCL has claimed Rs. 139.62 Crore towards Return on Equity (RoE) in the truing up for FY 2018-19 as against Rs. 168.36 Crore approved in the MYT Order dated 31st March 2017, as given in the Table below:

Table 4-42: Return on Equity claimed by MGVCL in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved in the MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing up
1	Return on Equity	168.36	139.62

Petitioner's Submission



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

MGVCL has computed RoE considering the rate of 14% on the average of opening and closing equity, taking into account the additions during the year, as given in the Table below:

Table 4-43: Return on Equity claimed by MGVCL in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved in the MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing up
1	Opening Equity Capital	1124.57	963.04
2	Equity Additions during the Year	156.07	68.47
3	Closing Equity	1280.63	1,031.50
4	Average Equity	1202.60	997.27
5	Rate of Return on the Equity	14%	14%
6	Return on Equity	168.36	139.62

MGVCL has computed the Gain / (Loss) on account of RoE in the truing up for FY 2018-19, as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4-44: Gain / (Loss) due to RoE claimed by MGVCL for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing up	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factors	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factors
Return on Equity	168.36	139.62	-	28.74

Commission's Analysis

The Commission has considered the Closing Balance of equity as approved in the truing up of FY 2017-18, as the Opening Balance of equity for FY 2018-19. The Commission has approved the normative Equity addition of Rs. 68.47 Crore in Table 4.26 of this Order.

The Commission has computed the RoE in the truing up for FY 2018-19 considering the rate of 14% specified in the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 as detailed in the Table below:



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

Table 4-45: Return on Equity approved in truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved in the MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing up	Approved in Truing up
1	Opening Equity Capital	1124.57	963.04	963.04
2	Equity Additions during the Year	156.07	68.47	68.47
3	Closing Equity	1280.63	1,031.50	1,031.50
4	Average Equity	1202.60	997.27	997.27
5	Rate of Return on the Equity	14%	14%	14%
6	Return on Equity	168.36	139.62	139.62

The Commission approves the Return on Equity at Rs. 139.62 Crore in the truing up for FY 2018-19.

Deviation in RoE is due to uncontrollable factors as RoE is being allowed on a normative basis and the quantum of equity addition in the year depends upon the capital expenditure and the capitalization achieved during the year.

The Commission, accordingly, approves the Gain/(Loss), on account of RoE, in the Truing up for FY 2018-19 as uncontrollable, as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4-46: Gain / (Loss) due to Return on Equity approved in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in MYT Order	Approved in Truing up	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factors	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factors
Return on Equity	168.36	139.62	-	28.74

4.5.8 Income Tax

MGVCL has claimed Rs. 4.44 Crore towards Income Tax for FY 2018-19, as against Rs. 17.59 Crore approved in the MYT Order dated 31st March, 2017, as given in the Table below:



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

Table 4-47: Income Tax claimed by MGVL in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved in the MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing up
1	Income Tax (MAT)	17.59	4.44

Petitioner's Submission

MGVCL submitted that Income Tax being a statutory expense, any variation on this account is uncontrollable. MGVCL has claimed a gain of Rs. 13.15 Crore on this account, as given in the Table below:

Table 4-48: Gain / (Loss) claimed due Income Tax claimed by MGVL in the truing up (Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing up	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factors	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factors
Provision for Tax / Tax Paid	17.59	4.44	-	13.15

Commission's Analysis

The Commission has verified the amount of Income Tax payable from the audited Annual Accounts of MGVCL, i.e., Rs. 4.44 Crore. The Commission, accordingly, approves the Income Tax of Rs. 4.44 Crore in the truing up for FY 2018-19.

Variation in Income Tax is uncontrollable, hence, the Commission approves the Gain/(Loss) on account of Income Tax in the truing up for FY 2018-19, as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4-49: Gain / (Loss) due to Income Tax approved in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in MYT Order	Approved in Truing up	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factors	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factors
Income Tax	17.59	4.44	-	13.15



4.5.9 Non-Tariff Income

MGVCL has claimed the actual Non-Tariff Income as Rs. 142.42 Crore in the truing up for FY 2018-19, as against Rs. 137.11 Crore approved in the MYT Order dated 31st March 2017, as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4-50: Non-Tariff Income claimed by MGVCL in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved in the MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing up
1	Non-Tariff Income	137.11	142.42

Petitioner's Submission

MGVCL submitted that the actual Non-Tariff Income as per audited Annual Accounts is Rs. 145.43 Crore, which includes interest on staff loans of Rs. 3.02 Crore. MGVCL has excluded interest income on staff loans from Non-Tariff income. Accordingly, Non-Tariff Income claimed by MGVCL in FY 2018-19 is Rs 142.42 Crore (i.e., Rs. 145.43 Crore – Rs. 3.02 Crore), as against Rs. 137.11 Crore approved in the MYT Order dated 31st March, 2017. This has resulted in an uncontrollable loss of Rs. 5.31 Crore, as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4-51: Gain / (Loss) claimed due to Non-Tariff Income for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing up	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factors	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factors
Non-Tariff Income	137.11	142.42	-	(5.31)

Commission's Analysis

The actual Non-Tariff Income as per audited Annual Accounts is Rs. 145.43 Crore for FY 2018-19. However, in line with the approach adopted in previous Orders, the Commission has not considered income on staff welfare activities of Rs. 3.02 Crore as part of Non-Tariff Income.

The Commission approves the Non-Tariff Income as Rs. 142.42 Crore in the truing up for FY 2018-19. The deviation in Non-Tariff Income is considered as uncontrollable.



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

The Commission, accordingly, approves the Gains/(Losses) on account of Non-Tariff Income in the truing up for FY 2018-19, as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4-52: Gain/(Loss) due to Non-Tariff Income approved in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in MYT Order	Approved in Truing up	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factors	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factors
Non-Tariff Income	137.11	142.42	-	(5.31)

4.6 ARR approved in the Truing up for FY 2018-19

The ARR approved in the MYT Order dated 31st March 2017, actual claimed in truing up, approved in the truing up and Gain/(Loss) computed in accordance with the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, is given in the Table below:

Table 4-53: ARR approved in truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Annual Revenue Requirement	Approved in the MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing up	Approved in Truing up	Deviation +/-	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factors	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factors
1	Cost of Power Purchase	5,328.11	5,350.66	5,350.66	(22.55)	95.54	(118.09)
2	O&M Expenses	427.81	544.06	544.06	(116.25)	(7.43)	(108.82)
2.1	Employee Cost	396.26	481.30	481.30	(85.04)	(20.52)	(64.51)
2.2	R&M Expenses	59.83	41.69	41.69	18.14	18.14	-
2.3	A&G Expenses	74.23	79.28	79.28	(5.04)	(5.04)	-
2.4	Other Debits	-	-	-	-	-	-
2.5	Extraordinary Items	-	-	-	-	-	-
2.6	Net Prior Period Expenses/(Income)	-	-	-	-	-	-
2.7	Other Expenses Capitalised	(102.51)	(58.20)	(58.20)	(44.31)	-	(44.31)
3	Depreciation	304.84	253.49	253.49	51.35	-	51.35
4	Interest & Finance Charges	114.17	54.17	54.54	59.63	-	59.63
5	Interest on Working Capital	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Bad Debts Written off	-	6.07	0.00	0.00	(0.00)	-
7	Return on Equity	168.36	139.62	139.62	28.74	-	28.74
8	Income Tax	17.59	4.44	4.44	13.15	-	13.15
9	ARR (1 to 8)	6,360.89	6,352.51	6,346.81	14.08	88.12	(74.05)



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

Sr. No.	Annual Revenue Requirement	Approved in the MYT Order	Actual Claimed in Truing up	Approved in Truing up	Deviation +/-	Gain/(Loss) due to Controllable Factors	Gain/(Loss) due to Uncontrollable Factors
10	Non-Tariff Income	137.11	142.42	142.42	(5.31)	-	(5.31)
11	Total ARR (9-10)	6,223.77	6,210.10	6,204.40	19.38	88.12	(68.74)

4.7 Revenue for FY 2018-19

MGVCL has claimed the total revenue of Rs. 6109.50 Crore in the truing up for FY 2018-19 as against Rs. 6200.80 Crore approved in the MYT Order dated 31st March, 2017, as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4-54: Revenue submitted in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved in Order dated 31.03.2018 for FY 2018-19	Actual Claimed in Truing up
1	Revenue from Sale of Power	4,549.00	5,952.00
2	Revenue from FPPPA	1,475.10	
3	Other Income (Consumer related)	102.45	83.62
4	Total Revenue excluding subsidy (1+2+3)	6,126.55	6,035.62
5	Agriculture Subsidy	74.25	73.87
6	Total Revenue including Subsidy (3+4)	6,200.80	6,109.50

Commission's Analysis

The Commission has verified the total category-wise revenue for FY 2018-19 from the audited Annual Accounts. The actual revenue from category-wise sales, as per audited Annual Accounts, is Rs. 5952.00 Crore.

The Other Income as per audited Annual Accounts is Rs. 83.62 Crore. The Commission has considered the actual Agriculture Subsidy Rs. 73.87 Crore separately as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

The aforesaid Agriculture Subsidy has been claimed as a separate item of revenue.



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

Table 4-55: Revenue approved in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved in Order dated 31.03.2018 for FY 2018-19	Actual Claimed in Truing up	Approved in Truing up
1	Revenue from Sale of Power	6024.10	5952.00	5952.00
2	Other Income (Consumer related)	102.45	83.62	83.62
3	Total Revenue excluding subsidy (1+2)	6,126.55	6,035.62	6,035.62
4	Agriculture Subsidy	74.25	73.87	73.87
5	Total Revenue including subsidy (3+4)	6,200.80	6,109.50	6,109.50

The Commission, accordingly, approves the total revenue of Rs. 6109.50 Crore, including consumer related income of Rs. 83.62 Crore and Agriculture Subsidy of Rs. 73.87 Crore, in the truing up for FY 2018-19.

4.8 Revenue (Gap) / Surplus for FY 2018-19

As shown in the Table below, MGVCL has claimed a Revenue Gap of Rs. 45.41 Crore in the truing up considering the treatment of Gain/(Loss) due to controllable/uncontrollable factors, after comparing the performance with the Tariff Order for FY 2018-19:

Table 4-56: Revenue Surplus/ (Gap) for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Actual Claimed
1	Aggregate Revenue Requirement originally approved for FY 2018-19	6,223.77
2	(Gap)/Surplus of FY 2016-17	105.36
3	Gain / (Loss) on account of Uncontrollable factors to be passed on to Consumer	(68.41)
4	Gain / (Loss) on account of Controllable factor to be passed on to Consumer (1/3rd of Total Gain / Loss)	27.36
5	Revised ARR for FY 2018-19 (1 - 2 - 3 - 4)	6,159.46
6	Revenue from Sale of Power	5,952.00
7	Other Income (Consumer related)	83.62



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

Sr. No.	Particulars	Actual Claimed
8	Total Revenue excluding Subsidy (6 + 7)	6,035.62
9	Agriculture Subsidy	73.87
10	GUVNL Profit / (Loss) Allocation	4.56
11	Total Revenue including Subsidy (8 + 9 + 10)	6,114.05
12	Revised (Gap)/Surplus after considering gains/(losses) due to Controllable/ Uncontrollable factors (11 - 5)	(45.41)

Petitioner's Submission

The Petitioner submitted that the Commission in the MYT Order dated 31st March, 2017 has approved the ARR of Rs. 6223.77 Crore for FY 2018-19.

As per the mechanism specified in the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, MGVCCL proposed to pass on 1/3rd of total gain on account of controllable factors, i.e., Rs. 27.36 Crore out of Rs. 82.09 Crore, and total loss on account of uncontrollable factors, i.e., Rs. 68.41 Crore to the consumers.

The past Revenue surplus of Rs. 105.36 Crore, for FY 2016-17 is also adjusted in the approved Aggregate Revenue Requirement. Accordingly, MGVCCL has arrived at the revised ARR for FY 2018-19 at Rs. 6159.46 Crore as shown in the above Table.

The revised ARR is compared against the revised Revenue with Existing Tariff of Rs. 5952.00 Crore, Other Consumer related Income of Rs. 83.62 Crore and Agriculture Subsidy of Rs. 73.87 Crore. The GUVNL profit of Rs. 28.01 Crore for FY 2018-19 is allocated amongst four DISCOMs, with MGVCCL's share being Rs. 4.56 Crore. Accordingly, the Total Revenue considering all these elements works out to Rs. 6114.05 Crore. Revenue (Gap)/Surplus of MGVCCL for FY 2018-19 after considering all the above adjustments is computed at Rs. 45.41 Crore.

Commission's Analysis

The Commission has computed the revised ARR and Revenue (Gap)/Surplus for FY 2018-19, based on the expenses and the Gain / (Loss) approved in the truing up for FY 2018-19, and after considering the earlier year's Revenue Surplus. MGVCCL's share



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

of GUVNL profit has been considered as Rs. 3.41 Crore for FY 2018-19 as calculated by the Commission.

The Revenue (Gap)/Surplus approved by the Commission after truing up for FY 2018-19, is summarised in the Table below:

Table 4-57: Revenue (Gap)/Surplus approved in the truing up for FY 2018-19 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Actual Claimed	Approved after truing up
1	Aggregate Revenue Requirement originally approved for FY 2018-19	6,223.77	6,223.77
2	(Gap)/Surplus of FY 2016-17	105.36	105.36
3	Gain / (Loss) on account of Uncontrollable factors to be passed on to Consumer	(68.41)	(68.74)
4	Gain / (Loss) on account of Controllable factors to be passed on to Consumer (1/3rd of Total Gain / (Loss))	27.36	29.37
5	Revised ARR for FY 2018-19 (1 - 2 - 3 - 4)	6,159.46	6,157.78
6	Revenue from Sale of Power	5,952.00	5,952.00
7	Other Income (Consumer related)	83.62	83.62
8	Total Revenue excluding Subsidy (6 + 7)	6,035.62	6,035.62
9	Agriculture Subsidy	73.87	73.87
10	GUVNL Profit / (Loss) Allocation	4.56	3.41
11	Total Revenue including Subsidy (8 + 9 + 10)	6,114.05	6,112.90
12	Revised (Gap)/Surplus after considering gains/(losses) due to Controllable/ Uncontrollable factors (11 - 5)	(45.41)	(44.88)



5 ARR and Revenue (Gap)/Surplus for FY 2020-21

5.1 ARR for FY 2020-21

The Table below shows the approved ARR of MGVCCL for FY 2020-21 as per MTR Order dated 24th April, 2019:

Table 5-1: Approved ARR for FY 2020-21 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No	Particulars	FY 2020-21
1	Cost of Power Purchase	5,723.61
2	Operations & Maintenance Expenses	682.86
2.1	Employee Cost	581.19
2.2	Repairs & Maintenance	69.72
2.3	Administration & General Expenses	88.01
2.4	Other Debits	0.00
2.5	Extraordinary Items	0.00
2.6	Net Prior Period Expenses / (Income)	0.00
2.7	Other Expenses Capitalised	(56.05)
3	Depreciation	270.64
4	Interest & Finance Charges	63.38
5	Interest on Working Capital	0.00
6	Provision for Bad Debts	0.0041
7	Sub-Total [1 to 6]	6,740.50
8	Return on Equity	158.12
9	Provision for Tax / Tax Paid	12.11
10	Total Expenditure (7 to 9)	6,910.73
11	Less: Non-Tariff Income	130.28
12	Aggregate Revenue Requirement (10 - 11)	6,780.45

5.2 Revenue from existing tariff FY 2020-21

MGVCCL has estimated the category-wise revenue for FY 2020-21 based on existing tariff, as Rs. 8411.75 Crore, as detailed in the Table below



**Table 5-2: Sales and Revenue from existing tariff projected by MGVCCL for FY 2020-21
(Rs. Crore)**

Sr. No	Particulars	Sales (MU)	Amount (Rs. Crore)
A	LT Consumers		
1	RGP	2,979	1244.03
2	GLP	70	29.43
3	Non-RGP & LTMD	1716	904.23
4	Public Water Works	409	137.05
5	Agriculture-Unmetered	475	67.12
6	Agriculture-Metered	1023	98.12
7	Public Lighting	64	25.94
	LT Total (A)	6736	2505.92
B	HT Consumers		
8	Industrial HT	4339	2413.90
9	Railway Traction	-	-
	HT Total (B)	4339	2413.90
	Grand Total (A + B)	11076	4919.83

Petitioner's Submission

MGVCCL submitted that it has considered sale of 11076 MU to different consumer categories as approved in the MTR Order dated 24th April, 2019. The revenue from sale of power at existing retail tariff has been computed as Rs. 4949.83 Crore for FY 2020-21, as shown in the Table above.

Commission's Analysis

The Commission has considered the category-wise sales for FY 2020-21, as approved in the MTR Order dated 24th April, 2019. Taking into consideration the existing retail tariff, the Commission has correctly computed the revenue from sale of power at existing tariff for FY 2020-21, as detailed in the Table below:



Table 5-3: Approved Sales and Revenue from existing tariff for FY 2020-21

Sr. No.	Particulars	Sales (MU)	Amount (Rs. Crore)
A	LT Consumers		
1	RGP	2,979.20	1,108.77
2	GLP	69.54	29.43
3	Non-RGP & LTMD	1716.46	904.23
4	Public Water Works	409.26	137.05
5	Agriculture-Unmetered	475.16	67.12
6	Agriculture-Metered	1023.13	98.12
7	Public Lighting	63.69	25.94
	LT Total (A)	6736.43	2370.66
B	HT Consumers		
8	Industrial HT	4339.40	2477.29
9	Railway Traction	-	-
	HT Total (B)	4339.40	2477.29
	Grand Total (A + B)	11075.83	4847.96

5.3 Revenue from FPPPA charges

MGVCL has estimated the revenue from FPPPA charges for FY 2020-21, as detailed in the Table below:

Table 5-4: Revenue from FPPPA Charges for the FY 2020-21 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Amount
1	Projected Sales (MU)	11075.83
2	FPPPA Rate (Rs./kWh)	1.59
3	Revenue from FPPPA (Rs. Crore)	1761.06

Petitioner's Submission

MGVCL has submitted that in the MTR Order dated 24th April, 2019 for FY 2019-20 to FY 2020-21, the Commission has considered the base power purchase cost at Rs. 4.32/kWh and base FPPPA at Rs. 1.61/kWh. As per approved FPPPA formula, any



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

change in power purchase cost during the year over the base power purchase cost of Rs. 4.32/kWh is to be recovered through FPPPA over and above the base FPPPA of Rs. 1.61/kWh on quarterly basis. As per approved ARR for FY 2020-21, the weighted average power purchase cost works out to Rs. 4.30/kWh as against base power purchase cost of Rs. 4.32/kWh.

Thus, change in power purchase cost of Rs. (0.02)/kWh for FY 2020-21 (i.e., Rs. 4.30 - 4.32) grossed up with losses, will require corresponding change in base FPPPA, as shown in the Table below:

Table 5-5: Base FPPPA Charges as submitted by MGVL for FY 2020-21 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No	Particulars	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21
1	Fixed Cost	11,900	12,173
2	Variable Cost	24,572	26,105
3	GETCO Cost	4,115	4,502
4	GUVNL Cost	399	423
5	PGCIL Charges	2,077	2,181
6	SLDC Charges	29	33
7	Total Power Purchase Cost	43,092	45,417
8	Total Energy Requirement in MUs	99,680	1,05,652
9	Power Purchase Cost (Rs./kWh)	4.32	4.30
10	Increase in Power Purchase Cost		(0.02)
11	Incremental FPPPA Charges (Grossed up by T&D Losses)		(0.02)
12	Existing FPPPA Charges (Rs./kWh)		1.61
13	Revised Base FPPPA Charges (Rs./kWh)		1.59

MGVCL has estimated the revenue from approved sales and revised Base FPPPA of Rs. 1.59/kWh, as Rs. 1716.06 crore for FY 2020-21.

Further, any change in power purchase cost during the year vis-a-vis base power purchase cost of Rs. 4.30/kWh shall be pass through variation in FPPPA Charge with respect to base FPPPA of Rs 1.59/kWh on quarterly basis, in accordance with the Formula approved by the Commission.



Commission's Analysis

The Commission allows Base FPPPA charges for FY 2020-21 at 1.59/kWh considering the Fixed Cost and Variable Cost of power purchase as approved in the MTR Order, as shown in Table below:

Table 5-6: Approved Base FPPPA Charges for FY 2020-21 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No	Particulars	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21
1	Fixed Cost in Rs. Crore	11,900	12,173
2	Variable Cost in Rs. Crore	24,572	26,105
3	GETCO Cost in Rs. Crore	4,115	4,502
4	GUVNL Cost in Rs. Crore	399	423
5	PGCIL Charges in Rs. Crore	2,077	2,181
6	SLDC Charges in Rs. Crore	29	33
7	Total Power Purchase Cost in Rs. Crore	43,092	45,417
8	Total Energy Requirement in MUs	99,680	1,05,652
9	Power Purchase Cost (Rs./kWh)	4.32	4.30
10	Increase in Power Purchase Cost in Paise/unit		(0.02)
11	Incremental FPPPA Charges (Grossed up by 16.87% loss) in Paise/unit)		(0.02)
12	Existing FPPPA Charges (Rs./kWh)		1.61
13	Revised Base FPPPA Charges (Rs./kWh)		1.59

The Commission allows revenue from FPPPA charges on the approved sales of 11075.83 MU at Rs.1.59 per kWh during FY 2020-21, as shown in Table below:

Table 5-7: Approved Revenue from FPPPA Charges for the FY 2020-21

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved in this Order
1	Projected Sales (MU)	11075.83
2	FPPPA Rate (Rs./kWh)	1.59
3	Revenue from FPPPA (Rs. Crore)	1761.06



5.4 Other (consumer related) income

MGVCL has estimated the other consumer related income at Rs. 83.62 Crore for FY 2020-21, i.e., at the same levels as actuals for FY 2018-19, as detailed in the Table below:

Table 5-8: Other Consumer related Income projected by MGVCL for FY 2020-21 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	FY 2020-21 (Projected)
1	Other Income consumer related	83.62

Petitioner's Submission

MGVCL has submitted that the revenue from other consumer related income comprises charges other than the basic charges applicable to the consumers. MGVCL has projected its other consumer related income for FY 2020-21 same as actual other consumer related income of FY 2018-19 as per the audited Annual Accounts.

Commission's Analysis

The Commission observes that MGVCL has projected the other consumer related income for FY 2020-21 at actuals of FY 2018-19 as per audited Annual Accounts. The Commission, accordingly, approves the other consumer related income at Rs. 83.62 Crore for FY 2020-21, as shown in the Table below:

Table 5-9: Approved Other Consumer related Income for FY 2020-21 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved in this Order
1	Other Income consumer related	83.62

5.5 Agriculture Subsidy

Petitioner's Submission

MGVCL submitted that the annual Agricultural Subsidy that was being received by the erstwhile GEB from the State Government will continue to be received by the four DISCOMs in FY 2020-21, i.e., Rs 1100 Crore. The share of Agricultural Subsidy for FY 2020-21 is considered on pro-rata basis of agriculture sales of MGVCL vis-à-vis total



agricultural sales of all 4 State DISCOMs. MGVL's share of Agricultural Subsidy for FY 2020-21 is shown in the Table below:

Table 5-10: Agriculture Subsidy projected by MGVL for FY 2020-21 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	FY 2020-21 (Projected)
1	Agriculture Subsidy	79.78

Commission's Analysis

The Commission has considered the Agriculture Subsidy as projected by the Petitioner, and accordingly, approves Agricultural Subsidy as Rs. 79.78 Crore for FY 2020-21, as shown in the Table below:

Table 5-11: Approved Agriculture Subsidy for FY 2020-21 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved in this Order
1	Agriculture Subsidy	79.78

5.6 Total Expected Revenue for FY 2020-21

Petitioner's Submission

MGVL has submitted the total expected revenue for FY 2020-21 as shown in the Table below:

Table 5-12: Revenue projected by MGVL for FY 2020-21 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	FY 2020-21 (Projected)
1	Revenue with Existing Tariff	4919.83
2	Base FPPPA Charges @ 159 paisa/kWh	1761.06
3	Other Income (Consumer related)	83.62
4	Agriculture Subsidy	79.78
5	Total Revenue including subsidy (1 to 4)	6844.29



Commission's Analysis

The total revenue as estimated by the Commission for FY 2020-21 is shown in the Table below:

Table 5-13: Approved Total Revenue for FY 2020-21 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	MGVCL (Projected)	Approved in this Order
1	Revenue with Existing Tariff	4919.83	4847.96
2	Base FPPPA Charges @ 159 paise/kWh	1761.06	1761.06
3	Other Income (Consumer related)	83.62	83.62
4	Agriculture Subsidy	79.78	79.78
5	Total Revenue including subsidy (1 to 4)	6844.29	6772.42

5.7 Estimated Revenue (Gap)/Surplus for FY 2020-21

Petitioner's Submission

MGVCL has estimated the cumulative Revenue (Gap)/Surplus for FY 2020-21 as shown in the Table below:

Table 5-14: Estimated Revenue (Gap)/Surplus for FY 2020-21 at Existing Tariff (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	FY 2020-21 (Projected)
1	Aggregate Revenue Requirement	6780.45
2	Revenue (Gap)/Surplus from True up of FY 2018-19	(45.41)
3	Total Aggregate Revenue Requirement	6825.86
4	Revenue with Existing Tariff	4919.83
5	FPPPA Charges	1761.06
6	Other Income (Consumer related)	83.62
7	Agriculture Subsidy	79.78
8	Total Revenue including subsidy (4 to 7)	6844.29
9	(Gap)/Surplus (8 - 3)	18.43



The Petitioner requested the Commission to approve the above-mentioned Revenue Surplus of Rs. 18.43 crore.

Commission's Analysis

The Commission has estimated the total Revenue (Gap)/Surplus for FY 2020-21 at the existing tariff, as shown in the Table below:

Table 5-15: Revenue (Gap)/Surplus estimated by the Commission for FY 2020-21 at Existing Tariff (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Claimed by MGVCCL	Approved in this Order
1	Aggregate Revenue Requirement	6780.45	6,780.45
2	Revenue (Gap)/Surplus from True up of FY 2018-19	(45.41)	(44.88)
3	Total Aggregate Revenue Requirement	6825.86	6,825.33
4	Revenue with Existing Tariff	4919.83	4,847.96
5	FPPPA Charges	1761.06	1,761.06
6	Other Income (Consumer related)	83.62	83.62
7	Agriculture Subsidy	79.78	79.78
8	Total Revenue including subsidy (4 to 7)	6844.29	6,772.42
9	(Gap)/Surplus (8 - 3)	18.43	(52.91)

5.8 Consolidated Revenue (Gap)/Surplus of the State-Owned DISCOMs

Petitioner's Submission

MGVCCL has submitted that consolidated resultant Revenue Gap for all four State-owned DISCOMs is Rs. 884.62 Crore. It is envisaged that part of estimated Revenue Gap will be mitigated through efficiency measures. The unmitigated Revenue Gap, if any, will be considered at the time of final true-up of FY 2020-21. Therefore, no increase in tariff rates is proposed in FY 2020-21.



Commission's Analysis

Since the uniform tariff for State-owned DISCOMs has been envisaged in the MYT Order dated 31st March, 2017 and MTR Order dated 24th April 2019, it is necessary to consider the consolidated (Gap)/Surplus of FY 2020-21 for all the State-owned DISCOMs, while determining the tariff for FY 2020-21. The consolidated (Gap)/Surplus computed for FY 2020-21 is shown in the Table below:

Table 5-16: Consolidated (Gap)/Surplus computed for FY 2020-21 (Rs. Crore)

Particulars	DGVCL	MGVCL	PGVCL	UGVCL	Total
Trued up (Gap)/Surplus of FY 2018-19	(423.83)	(44.88)	(313.34)	141.72	(640.33)
Total (Gap)/Surplus for FY 2020-21 including (Gap)/ Surplus of Trued Up Year	(434.49)	(52.91)	(216.69)	64.66	(639.43)

The consolidated (Gap)/Surplus approved by the Commission for FY 2020-21 as shown in the Table above, is Rs. 639.43 crore, as compared to Rs. 884.62 estimated by the State-owned DISCOMs.

It is observed that the State-owned DISCOMs have not proposed any tariff revision for FY 2020-21, and intend to recover part of the Revenue Gap through efficiency gains and remaining at the time of true-up.

The Commission has observed that out of the total Revenue Gap of Rs.639.43 Crore calculated after truing up of FY 2018-19, approximately Rs. 490.00 Crore is on account of deferred FPPPA recovery of Quarter 3 of FY 2018-19. The Commission has allowed the four DISCOMs to recover these deferred FPPPA charges of FY 2018-19 during Quarter 1 and Quarter 2 of FY 2019-20. Accordingly, the trued up Gap of Rs. 149.43 Crore (Rs. 639.43 Crore- Rs. 490 Crore) actually remains to be recovered through Tariff during FY 2020-21.

The Commission has decided not to pass on the Gap of Rs. 149.43 Crore in the tariff for FY 2020-21 considering the plea of the Petitioner to mitigate the Gap through efficiency measures.



Also, in response to the representations of the consumers and furthering the process of Tariff Rationalisation, the Commission has made some changes in the categorisation and tariff rates. The actual revenue impact of these changes and the efficiency measures that the Petitioners are planning to take up shall be taken care by the Commission during the true up of FY 2020-21.



6 Compliance to Directives

6.1 Compliance to earlier directives

The Commission had given various directives to MGVCCL in the Order dated 24th April 2019, compliance of which is mentioned below:

Directive 1: Category wise Cost to Serve Report

MGVCCL should ensure that the cost to serve report is submitted along with the tariff petition.

Compliance:

MGVCCL is in process of preparation of Cost to Serve Report for FY 2018-19 and shall submit at the earliest.

Commission's comments:

The DISCOMs have subsequently submitted the Cost to Serve Report for FY 2018-19. It is noted by the Commission that the said report is submitted by the Discoms just prior to the date of public hearing on the true up and tariff petitions. Though, it was uploaded by the Petitioner on its website, the Commission feels that the Stakeholders were not able to study report and express their views on it due to paucity of time. It is hereby directed to the Staff of the Commission and the Petitioner to upload the said report on their websites and seek comments from the consumers by 1st July, 2020. The Commission will take appropriate view on the report after hearing the consumers.

Directive 2: Losses on Jyoti Gram Yojana feeders

DISCOMs are directed to identify the feeders with more than 50% of loss level and accordingly reduce losses of such feeder and submit the quarterly report about actions taken in this regard to the Commission.

Compliance:

MGVCCL had identified 130 Nos. of JGY feeders with more than 50% loss and targeted for 5% for loss reduction. MGVCCL has nominated feeder manager of each feeder. A meeting of all related SDOs, Division & Circle heads was arranged. Detailed micro level action plan to reduce these losses for the JGY feeders had been prepared, individual feeder wise critical parameters were discussed, analysis of the causes of high Dist.



Losses was done and guidance for tackling the matters to achieve the target in time bound manner was given individual SDOs. Intensive checking drives with surveillance have been increased in sensitive areas. Also, every month in SE's conference, review of distribution losses / Action taken report of high loss JGY feeders are being reviewed at management level. Further, MGVCL had appointed senior level officers as nodal officers for monitoring of Distribution Losses of feeders under Dahod, Lunawada, Godhra and Bodeli divisions. The meeting with concern feeder manager/SDO is called by the Ex Eng every month, Circle Heads also review Losses and action taken in two months and Chief Engineer once in six months. Also, MGVCL is arranging surprise visits of higher officers to review progress and resolve problems at subdivision level. It was observed that, out of 130 Nos of selected high loss feeders, Dist. Loss have decreased in 74 Nos. and 22 Nos. are Newly created feeders as on Mar-19 as compare to Mar-17. Further, in 41 Nos. of feeders' distribution losses have decreased more than 5%. Overall distributions losses for selected 130 numbers of JGY feeders have decreased from 71.15% (Mar-17) to 69.62% (Mar-19). Further, MGVCL has identified 163 Nos. of High Loss feeders. Out of these 10 Nos. of feeder bifurcation are planned and work of feeder bifurcation is completed for 10 Nos of feeders.

Commission's comments:

The Commission appreciates the efforts being made by the Petitioners in achieving the target of loss reduction. However, loss reduction activity being continuous, sustained and concerted efforts should be made to reduce the losses in coming years also, as there are still several Feeders having very high loss levels. Thus, the Petitioner is directed to continue efforts for reduction in the losses.

Directive 3: Replacement of Defective Meters

The Commission directed to replace the defective energy meters as planned. Utilities may also conduct a study to understand the nature of fault and take up the issue with the manufacturers of such meters for mitigation.

Compliance:

MGVCL is putting continuous efforts to replace defective energy meters as planned. MGVCL is keeping track of the nature of faults occurring in meters. The issues are studied and discussed with manufacturers from time to time. While purchasing new



meters, measures for improvement in stability in functioning of energy meters are incorporated in specifications.

The status of Non-working meters as on Oct-19 is as under:

Consumer Category	No. of faulty meters at the start of the month	No. of faulty meters added during the month	Total no. of defective/ faulty meters	No. of faulty meters replaced	No. of faulty meters pending at the end of the month
1-Phase	2997	6045	9042	7872	1117
3-Phase	246	583	829	633	196

Commission's comments:

The DISCOMs have stated that they are replacing defective energy meters as planned. However, the DISCOMs have been also directed to conduct a study to understand the nature of fault and take up the issue with the manufacturers of such meters for mitigation.

Directive 4: Detailed study to work out cost of supply at EHV level

In order to promote supply at higher voltages the DISCOMs are directed to carry out a detailed study to work out cost of supply at EHV level, reduction in technical loss for supplying electricity at higher voltages, and submit it to the Commission on or before 30th June, 2019

Compliance:

On behalf of all four DISCOMs, GUVNL has already submitted study report to the Commission vide letter No: GUVNL/COM/TO 31318/807 Dated 25.07.2019.

Commission's comments:

The DISCOMs have submitted the Study Report of Cost of Supply at EHV level which is under deliberation.



Directive 5: Scheme for Installation of solar pump for agriculture consumers

The Commission has directed to submit the outcome of pilot project namely Suryashakti Kisan Yojna (SKY).

Compliance:

In order to achieve multiple objectives of addressing the energy requirement of farmers and to promote de-centralized renewable energy generation, the State Government decided to utilize solar resources available in the State for benefits of the farmers and accordingly notified the Scheme namely Suryashakti Kisan Yojna (SKY) to be implemented on pilot basis. The outcome of pilot project implemented in MGVCCL is as under Data of feeders commissioned by MGVCCL as on 31.10.19 is as under: -

No. of feeders	No. of SKY consumers	Total contracted load of SKY consumers in HP	Total SPV capacity in MW-AC
13	512	6221	7.54

Since the agriculture consumption varies with the season and off-season period and also solar generation there is as big seasonal impact on agricultural consumption hence one cycle covering all seasons is essential for proper study of the scheme outcome. Therefore, it is very difficult to capture realistic picture of the solar generation and consumption by SKY consumers at this stage.

Commission's comments:

DISCOMs should expedite the submission of the outcome of pilot project namely Suryashakti Kisan Yojna (SKY).

Directive 6: Initiate study for implementing Demand Response Measures

The Commission has directed DISCOM to ensure timely completion of the study for implementing Demand Response Measures and submit the report by 30th June, 2019

Compliance:

On behalf of all four DISCOMs, GUVNL has already submitted study report to the Commission vide letter No: GUVNL/COM/TO 31318/807 Dated 25.07.2019.



Commission's comments:

The DISCOMs have submitted the Report which is under deliberation.

6.2 Compliance to directives in the recent order

Directive 1: Outcome of HVDS implementation

Petitioner is directed to report the outcome of HVDS implementation along with the next Tariff Petition.

Compliance:

MGVCL has selected total 16 nos. of AGDOM feeders under HVDS for the FY 2018-19. As per the work completion report, total work of erection of new 11 KV HT line of 32.37 KM, LT to HT conversion of 54.98 KM and erection of new lower capacity of Transformer Centre as well as de-augmentation of Transformers of 227 nos. of 10 KVA, 208 nos. of 16 KVA & 209 nos. of 25 KVA, hence total nos. of 644 Nos. has been carried out under HVDS. The outcome of HVDS implementation can be reported by resultant reduction in Distribution Losses for said AGDOM feeders from 24.46% for the FY 2017-18 to 21.15% for the FY 2018-19.

Commission's comments:

The DISCOM's reply is noted.

Directive 2: Publish FPPPA information on website

Petitioner is directed to keep FPPPA information available on their website for all the quarters of a year till the truing up exercise of that particular year is completed.

Compliance:

Information is available on GUVNL's website.

Commission's comments:

The DISCOM's reply is noted.

6.3 Fresh Directives

Directive 1:

The Petitioner is directed to carry out a study to implement Time of Day Demand Charges i.e. Demand Charges leviable during Peak Demand period and that leviable during off peak Demand Period. The Petitioner is directed to submit the study report by 1st September, 2020.

Directive 2:

The Petitioner is directed to carry out study for implementation of ToD energy charge for new Residential Roof top Solar Consumer. The Petitioner is directed to submit the study report by 1st September, 2020.

Directive 3:

The Commission by this order has taken various steps viz. reduction in energy charge for LT and HT Lift Irrigation category enhancement of Night Time Rebate for utilisation of electricity by HT category consumers and enhancement of rebate for supply at EHV level. While carrying out the said rationalisation, it was expected to achieve some goals which in turn shall benefit the society at large in terms of conservation of energy, betterment of environment, optimisation of power purchase cost and reduction in T & D losses. To analyse whether such goals are met or not, the DISCOMs are directed to keep the record of number of consumers, their consumption during day and night period etc. and report to the Commission on completion of FY 2020-21.

Directive 4:

The Petitioner is directed to start Mobile Bill Collection Van facility for the consumers of Rural area. Compliance of this directive to be submitted latest by 30th June 2020.

7 Fuel and Power Purchase Price Adjustment

7.1 Fuel Price and Power Purchase Price Adjustment

The Commission its Order in Case No. 1309/2013 and 1313/2013 vide dated 29.10.2013, has approved the formula as mentioned below:

FPPPA = [(PPCA-PPCB)] / [100-Loss in %]; Where,

PPCA	is the average power purchase cost per unit of delivered energy (including transmission cost), computed based on the operational parameters approved by the Commission or principles laid down in the Power Purchase Agreements in Rs./kWh for all the generation sources as approved by the Commission while determining ARR and who have supplied power in the given quarter and transmission charges as approved by the Commission for transmission network calculated as total power purchase cost billed in Rs. Million divided by the total quantum of power purchase in Million Units made during the quarter.
PPCB	is the approved average base power purchase cost per unit of delivered energy (including transmission cost) for all the generating stations considered by the Commission for supplying power to the company in Rs./kWh and transmission charges as approved by the Commission calculated as the total power purchase cost approved by the Commission in Rs. Million divided by the total quantum of power purchase in Million Units considered by the Commission
Loss in %	is the weighted average of the approved level of Transmission and Distribution losses (%) for the four DISCOMs / GUVNL and TPL applicable for a particular quarter or actual weighted average in Transmission and Distribution losses (%) for four DISCOMs / GUVNL and TPL of the previous year for which true-up have been done by the Commission, whichever is lower.

7.2 Base Price of Power Purchase (PPCB)

The Commission has approved the total energy requirement and the total Power Purchase Cost for all the DISCOMs including fixed cost, variable cost, GETCO cost,



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

PGCIL charges, SLDC charges for FY 2020-21 from various sources as given in the Table below:

Sr. No.	Particulars	FY 2020-21
1	Fixed Cost in Rs. Crore	12,173
2	Variable Cost in Rs. Crore	26,105
3	GETCO Cost in Rs. Crore	4,502
4	GUVNL Cost in Rs. Crore	423
5	PGCIL Charges in Rs. Crore	2,181
6	SLDC Charges in Rs. Crore	33
7	Total Power Purchase Cost in Rs. Crore	45,417
8	Total Energy Requirement in MUs	1,05,652
9	Power Purchase Cost (Rs./kWh)	4.30

As mentioned above, the base Power Purchase cost for the DISCOMs is Rs. 4.30/kWh. GUVNL/DISCOMs may claim difference between actual power purchase cost and base power purchase cost approved in the Table above as per the approved FPPPA formula mentioned above.

Information regarding FPPPA recovery and the FPPPA calculations shall be kept on the website of the Licensee / GUVNL.

For any increase in FPPPA, worked out on the basis of above formula, beyond ten (10) paise per kWh in a quarter, prior approval of the Commission shall be necessary and only on approval of such additional increase by the Commission, the FPPPA can be billed to consumers.

FPPPA calculations shall be submitted to the Commission within one month from the end of the relevant quarter.

7.3 Shifting of Base FPPPA

As stated earlier, the Commission has approved base power purchase cost for FY 2020-21 as Rs. 4.30/kWh. Thus, there is a decrease of Rs. 0.02/kWh in the base power purchase cost of DISCOMs for FY 2020-21 over that of for FY 2019-20. The Commission finds it appropriate to decrease the base FPPPA by Rs. 0.02/unit after grossing up the incremental base power purchase cost of Rs. (0.02) /unit with the approved loss. Accordingly, the base FPPPA for FY 2020-21 is approved as Rs. 1.59/unit (1.61+(0.02)).



8 Wheeling Charges and Cross-Subsidy Surcharge

8.1 Allocation Matrix

Regulations 87 of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 stipulates that the Commission shall determine the Wheeling Charges of Distribution Wires Business of the Distribution Licensees in the ARR and Tariff Order.

The Allocation Matrix for allocation of costs between the Wires Business and Retail Supply Business as specified in the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, is shown in the table below:

Table 8-1: Allocation matrix for segregation of wheeling and retail supply business of Distribution Licensees

Allocation Matrix	Wires Business (%)	Retail Business (%)
Power Purchase Expenses	0%	100%
Employee Expenses	60%	40%
Repair & Maintenance Expenses	90%	10%
Administration & General Expenses	50%	50%
Other Debits	50%	50%
Extraordinary Items	50%	50%
Net Prior Period Expenses / (Income)	25%	75%
Other Expenses Capitalized	55%	45%
Depreciation	90%	10%
Interest & Finance charges	90%	10%
Interest on Working Capital & Security Deposit	10%	90%
Bad Debts Written off	0%	100%
Income Tax	90%	10%
Return on Equity	90%	10%
Non-Tariff Income	10%	90%

Based on the above Allocation Matrix, the approved ARR for Wires Business and Retail Supply Business for the State Owned DISCOMs for FY 2020-21 is shown in the Table below:



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

Table 8-2: Allocation of ARR between Wheeling and Retail Supply Business for the four State Owned DISCOMs for FY 2020-21 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Distribution	Wire Business	Retail Supply Business
1	Power Purchase Expenses	45,415.89	-	45,415.89
2	O & M Expenses	3,178.72	1,993.83	1,184.89
2.1	Employee Cost	2,819.45	1,691.67	1,127.78
2.2	Repair & Maintenance Expenses	368.00	331.20	36.80
2.3	Administration & General Expenses	484.72	242.36	242.36
2.4	Other Debits	-	-	-
2.5	Extraordinary Items	-	-	-
2.6	Net Prior Period Expenses /(Income)	-	-	-
2.7	Other Expenses Capitalized	(493.44)	(271.39)	(222.05)
3	Depreciation	1,680.41	1,512.37	168.04
4	Interest & Finance Charges	431.06	387.95	43.11
5	Interest on Security Deposit	417.02	41.70	375.32
6	Interest on Working Capital	-	-	-
7	Bad Debts written Off	2.66	-	2.66
8	Contribution to contingency reserves	-	-	-
9	Total Revenue Expenditure	51,125.76	3,935.86	47,189.90
10	Return on Equity Capital	1,199.02	1,079.12	119.90
11	Income Tax	73.11	65.80	7.31
12	Aggregate Revenue Requirement	52,397.89	5,080.78	47,317.12
13	Less: Non-Tariff Income	688.40	68.84	619.56
14	Add: DSM Expenses	2.50	2.50	-
15	Aggregate Revenue Requirement	51,712.00	5,014.44	46,697.56

8.2 Wheeling charges

The Wheeling Charges for the four Distribution Companies, DGVCL, MGVL, PGVCL and UGVCL for FY 2020-21, as given below are applicable for use of the distribution system of a Distribution Licensee by other Licenses or Generating Companies or captive power plants or consumers / users who are permitted Open Access under Section 42 (2) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Table 8-3: Wheeling charges for FY 2020-21

Sr. No.	Particulars	Units	Amount
1	Distribution costs of the four DISCOMs	Rs. Crore	5,014.44



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

Sr. No.	Particulars	Units	Amount
2	Distribution cost of the four DISCOMs at 11 kV level (30% of total distribution cost)	Rs. Crore	1,504.33
3	Distribution cost of the four DISCOMs at LT level (70% of total distribution cost)	Rs. Crore	3,510.11
4	Energy input at 11 kV	MUs	100155
5	Wheeling charges at 11 kV	Ps./kWh	15.02
6	Energy Input at 400 V	MUs	53,749
7	Wheeling charges at 400 V (LT)	Ps./kWh	65.31

Accordingly, the Commission approves Wheeling Charges for HT network (11 KV system) at 15.02 Paise per kWh unit and Wheeling Charges for LT network (400 V system) at 65.31 Paise per unit.

Distribution losses:

The distribution loss at 11 kV and 400 V during FY 2020-21 are given below

Sr. No.	Particulars	Point of Energy Delivered	
		11 kV	400 Volts
1	11 kV, 22 kV and 33 kV	10%	12.31%
2	400 Volts		4.31%

The losses in HT and LT network are 10% and 4.31% respectively, with respect to energy input to the segment of the system. In case injection at 11 kV levels and drawal at LT level envisages use of both the networks, i.e., 11 kV and LT, in that case, the combined loss works out to 12.31% of the energy injection at 11 kV network.

The above Wheeling Charges payable shall be uniform in all the four Distribution Licensees, viz., DGVCL, MGVCL, PGVCL and UGVCL.

8.3 Cross-Subsidy Surcharge

The Cross-Subsidy Surcharge (CSS) is based on the formula given in the Tariff Policy as below:

$$S = T - [C / (1 - L/100) + D + R]$$



Where,

- T is the tariff payable by the relevant category of consumers, including reflecting the Renewable Purchase Obligation
- C is the per unit weighted average cost of power purchase by the Licensee, including meeting the Renewable Purchase Obligation
- D is the aggregate of transmission, distribution and wheeling charge applicable to the relevant voltage level
- L is the aggregate of transmission, distribution and commercial losses, expressed as a percentage applicable to the relevant voltage level
- R is the per unit cost of carrying regulatory assets

The CSS based on the above formula is worked out as shown in the Table below:

Table 8-4: Cross subsidy surcharge for FY 2020-21

Sr. No.	Particulars	Units	HT Industry
1	T	Rs./ kWh	7.07
2	C	Rs./ kWh	4.30
3	D	Paise/ kWh	15.02
4	L	%	10%
5	S (Cross Subsidy Surcharge)	Rs./ kWh	2.14

$$S = 7.07 - [4.30/(1-10/100)+0.1502+0] = 2.14$$

Thus, CSS as per Tariff Policy, 2016 works out to Rs. 2.14/kWh for the four State owned Distribution companies viz. DGVCL, MGVCL, PGVCL and UGVCL.

However, Tariff Policy, 2016 provides that the surcharge shall not exceed 20% of the tariff applicable to the category of the consumers seeking Open Access. Accordingly, the leviable CSS from the consumers of the four State Owned Distribution Companies seeking Open Access, for FY 2020-21 works out to Rs. 1.41/kWh.

Accordingly, CSS for HT Category = 1.41 Rs. /kWh for FY 2020-21.



9 Tariff Philosophy and Tariff Proposals

9.1 Introduction

The Commission is guided by the provisions of the Electricity Act, 2003, the National Electricity Policy (NEP), the Tariff Policy, the Regulations on Terms and Conditions of Tariff issued by the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (CERC) and GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 notified by the Commission.

Section 61 of the Act lays down the broad principles, and guidelines for determination of retail supply tariff. The basic principle is to ensure that the tariff should progressively reflect the cost of supply of electricity and reduce the cross subsidies amongst categories within a period to be specified by the Commission.

9.2 Rationalisation of Tariff

The Commission has noted that the DISCOMs have not proposed any change in the present Tariff Schedule. However, some of the Stakeholders have suggested to rationalise tariff. The Commission is also of the view to simplify the present Tariff Schedule and rationalise the level of cross subsidisation without adversely impacting the electricity bills of the consumers. Simultaneously, the Commission has taken care that Licensees are also not impacted much with the rationalisation in the Tariff Schedule. The details of modification carried out by the Commission in the Tariff Schedule is given as under.

9.2.1 Reduction in the Tariff for Lift Irrigation

It has been represented before the Commission to reduce the tariff of Lift Irrigation and make it at par with tariff of Agriculture category since the end purpose of the usage of the electricity by consumers of both the categories is same. It has been observed by the Commission that in LTP - Lift Irrigation and HTP V category, there are generally more than one consumer. These consumers are utilising the surface water from dam, canal and sewage water sources to irrigate their agriculture land. We note that utilisation of such surface water conserves the electricity as compared to the usage of water by drawing from well and bore well. This helps the utilities in loss reduction and the society as a whole by the way of energy conservation. It is also a fact that more utilisation of surface water enriches underground water table of the State which has multiple benefits to the environment and eco system. Earlier, vide Tariff Order dated 24.04.2019, the Commission reduced energy charge of these categories from Rs. 1.80/kWh to Rs. 1.50/kWh. It is



observed by the Commission that there is significant increase in number of connections for Lift Irrigation in LT as well as HT category in FY 2019-20 (up to December) compared to those in FY 2018-19. Thus, a greater number of farmers are establishing co-operative societies to fulfil their purpose for irrigation of agriculture land through these tariff category connections. This has strengthened the co-operative culture in the farmer community in the State. In order to further promote the utilisation of surface water and encash the multiple benefits streaming out of surface water utilisation as stated above, the Commission has decided to reduce energy charge for these categories by 70 Paise/kWh. With this change, it apparently looks that tariff of LTP and HTP Lift Irrigation category has become equivalent to the tariff of AG Tatkhal Category. But there is a basic difference in terms of number of beneficiaries in AG Tatkhal Category and Lift Irrigation Category. AG Tatkhal Category consumer is one farmer availing 8 hours power supply in a day. Whereas, in Lift Irrigation Category, there are number of farmers getting water supply in rotational basis through the 24 hours fed electricity connection. Thus, looking to the number of hours a farmer getting benefited with the electricity supply in both the categories viz. AG Tatkhal and Lift Irrigation, are almost identical. Accordingly, the tariff rates in isolation, even if looks identical, then also the farmers included in the Lift Irrigation category are offering better advantage to the society as a whole for the reasons enumerated above.

With this change in the tariff, the total reduction in the revenue of the four DISCOMs is expected to be Rs. 2.31 Crore in FY 2020-21.

9.2.2 Merging of Street Light and GLP Category

It is observed by the Commission that there are two separate categories viz. GLP and SL in the present Tariff Schedule of the four DISCOMs. GLP category is applicable to the educational institutes and other institutions registered with the Charity Commissioner and Research and Development Laboratories. Whereas, SL category is applicable for Street Light operated by Local Authorities, Industrial Estates and for Street Lighting purpose to consumers other than Local Authorities and Industrial Estates. It is observed by the Commission that Street Light Load comes on the system during night hours only and are being utilised for the convenience of public at large. In order to simplify the Tariff Schedule and to reduce the number of categories in the Tariff Schedule, the Commission has decided to merge the SL category with the GLP category. The fixed charges in GLP category is in terms of Rs./Connection/Month whereas, fixed charges for Street Lighting



purpose by consumers other than Local Authorities and Industrial Estates is in terms of Rs./kW/Month. There is no fixed charge levied for Street Light operated by Local Authority and Industrial Estate. In order to merge the SL category into GLP category, identical Tariff Structure is also required to be imposed on the Street Lighting usage of electricity. Though, there is introduction of fixed charges for Street Light operated by Local Authority and Industrial Estate, the overall electricity bill of Local Authority and Industrial Estate for Street Light consumption shall reduce as there is significant reduction of 15 Paise/kWh in the energy charge for this category.

It is observed by the Commission that there is a provision of Optional kVAh Tariff for the Street Light consumption by Local Authority and Industrial Estate in the Tariff Schedule since last many years. However, it is observed by the Commission that there is no Street Light consumer has opted for kVAh Tariff till today. Hence, the Commission has decided to remove the provision for Optional kVAh Charges for electricity consumption for street lighting purpose from the Tariff Schedule.

With this changes in the Tariff Schedule, there will be reduction of Rs. 2.10 Crore in the revenue of four DISCOMs.

9.2.3 Night Time Rebate

It is observed by the Commission that Time of Use Tariff is in vogue in the State of Gujarat since many years. There is repeated demand from the Industrial Consumers to rationalise the Time of Use Tariff during the course of hearing since last few years. Time of Use Tariff has two components; (i) Additional 45 or 85 Paise/kWh in regular energy charges for the usage of electricity during two peak periods i.e. 7:00 Hrs to 11:00 Hrs and 18:00 Hrs to 22:00 Hrs; (ii) Concession of 40 Paise/kWh in regular energy charges for the usage of electricity during night hours of 10:00 PM to 06:00 AM next morning. In order to incentivise energy consumption during night hours and optimise the power purchase cost of Distribution Licensee by increasing utilisation of generation capacity during night hours, the Commission has decided to increase the concession in regular energy charge for the usage of electricity during 10:00 PM to 06:00 AM next morning from existing 40 Paise/kWh to 43 Paise/kWh. With this change, there will be reduction in the electricity bill of the HT consumer category. Also, level of Cross Subsidization by HT consumer category to other category of consumers w.r.t. Average Cost of Supply of the four DISCOMs shall also



reduce. With this change in the night time concession, there will be impact of Rs. 32.94 Crore in the revenue of the four DISCOMs at the present level of consumption.

It is appropriate to mention that due to this change in the Tariff Schedule of FY 2020-21, level of cross subsidization by HT tariff category will be reduced w.r.t. Average Cost of Supply.

9.2.4 Relaxation to BPL category residential consumers

During the course of tariff hearings since last few years', consumer organisations are demanding for increase in quantum of concessional rate electricity for BPL category residential consumers in view of increasing dependency of such consumers on electricity and their limited means of earning. At present, BPL consumers are getting electricity at concessional rate of Rs. 1.50/Unit for their monthly consumption up to 30 units. Accordingly, monthly consumption beyond 30 units is being charged at the tariff of Rs. 3.05/Unit and onwards for BPL-Urban consumers and Rs. 2.65/ Unit and onwards for BPL-Rural consumers. The Commission has decided to enhance the present limit of 30 Unit /Month to 50 Unit /Month for concessional rate of Rs. 1.50/Unit for BPL consumers of the four State Owned DISCOMs. Thus, from now, BPL category consumers shall get benefit of concessional rate of electricity, i.e. Rs 1.50/Unit, for their monthly consumption up to 50 Units. Consumption beyond 50 Unit /Month, shall be charged Rs. 3.50/Unit and onwards for BPL-Urban consumers and at Rs. 3.10/Unit and onwards for BPL-Rural consumers. With this change in the Tariff Schedule, there shall be reduction of Rs. 11.58 Crore in the revenue of the Four State Owned DISCOMs.

9.2.5 EHV Rebate

During the course of hearing, various industrial consumer organisations have repeatedly demanded enhancement in EHV Rebate. The Commission is of the view that saving in losses for supplying electricity at extra high voltage level needs to be appropriately shared between consumers and the Distribution Licensees. In order to incentivise the supply at EHV level, the Commission has decided to enhance the present rebate by 0.25% for getting supply at EHV level. Accordingly, the EHV rebate for getting supply at 33 kV and 66 kV is increased from 0.5% to 0.75% and rebate for getting supply at 132 kV and above is increased from 1.0% to 1.25%. This will also reduce the extent of cross-subsidisation



Due to this change in Tariff Schedule, there will be impact of Rs. 14.47 Crore in revenue of four DISCOMs at the present level of consumption.

It is appropriate to mention that due to the changes as mentioned above in the Tariff Schedule of FY 2020-21, level of cross subsidization by HT tariff category will be reduced w.r.t. Average Cost of Supply.

9.2.6 Applicability of seasonal tariff

The Commission has received representation from vegetable dehydration industry to qualify them as a seasonal consumer since their industry is dependent upon the agriculture crop. The Distribution Licensees have shown their concern regarding non-payment of minimum bill which is to be calculated at the end of calendar year by the seasonal consumer. The Commission is of the view that concern of Distribution Licensees is well in its place since non-payment of electricity bill by one consumer affects the services of the Distribution Licensees and in turn adversely affects the other consumers. In order to address concern of vegetable dehydration industry and the Distribution Licensee both, the Commission has decided to incorporate provision of submission of Bank Guarantee by seasonal consumer category. With this inclusion of requirement of submission of Bank Guarantee by seasonal consumer, both, the Licensee as well as consumer shall have upfront surety and clarity respectively about their right and responsibility. This being a change related to operation of the Tariff Schedule which is optional, there may not be any adverse financial impact.

Conclusion:

Due to the changes in the Tariff Schedule and Tariff Structure of the State Owned DISCOMs as stated at Para 9.2.1 to 9.2.5 there will be estimated financial impact of Rs. 63.40 Crore in the revenue of the four DISCOMs. The Commission is of the view that this shortfall in the revenue shall be recouped with the increase in sales across all the categories during FY 2020-21. However, due to change in the structure, any impact on the revenue of the four DISCOMs shall be taken care by the Commission while truing up of FY 2020-21.



Commission's Order

The Commission approves the Aggregate Revenue Requirement (ARR) for MGVL for FY 2020-21 as shown in the Table below:

ARR approved for FY 2020-21 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved in the MTR Order
1	Cost of Power Purchase	5723.62
2	Operation & Maintenance Expenses	682.86
2.1	Employee Cost	581.19
2.2	Repair & Maintenance	69.72
2.3	Administration & General Charges	88.01
2.4	Other Debits	0.00
2.5	Extraordinary Items	0.00
2.6	Net Prior Period Expenses / (Income)	0.00
2.7	Other Expenses Capitalised	(56.05)
3	Depreciation	270.64
4	Interest & Finance Charges	63.38
5	Interest on Working Capital	0.00
6	Provision for Bad Debts	0.0041
7	Sub-Total [1 to 6]	6740.50
8	Return on Equity	158.12
9	Provision for Tax / Tax Paid	12.11
10	Total Expenditure (7 to 9)	6910.73
11	Less: Non-Tariff Income	130.28
12	Aggregate Revenue Requirement (10 - 11)	6780.45

The retail supply tariffs for MGVL distribution area for FY 2020-21 determined by the Commission are annexed to this Order. This Order shall come into force with effect from the 1st April 2020. The revised rate shall be applicable for the electricity consumption from the 1st April, 2020 onwards.

Sd/-

P. J. THAKKAR
Member

Sd/-

ANAND KUMAR
Chairman

Place: Gandhinagar

Date: 31/03/2020



ANNEXURE: TARIFF SCHEDULE

TARIFF FOR SUPPLY OF ELECTRICITY AT LOW TENSION, HIGH TENSION, AND EXTRA HIGH TENSION

Effective from 1st April, 2020

General

1. The tariff figures indicated in this tariff schedule are the tariff rates payable by the consumers of Distribution Licensees viz. DGVCL, MGVCL, PGVCL and UGVCL.
2. These tariffs are exclusive of Electricity Duty, tax on sale of electricity, taxes and other charges levied by the Government or other competent authorities from time to time which are payable by the consumers, in addition to the charges levied as per the tariff.
3. All these tariffs for power supply are applicable to only one point of supply.
4. The charges specified are on monthly basis. Distribution Licensee may decide the period of billing and adjust the tariff rate accordingly.
5. Except in cases where the supply is used for purposes for which a lower tariff is provided in the tariff schedule, the power supplied to any consumer shall be utilized only for the purpose for which supply is taken and as provided for in the tariff.
6. The various provisions of the GERC (licensee's power to recover expenditure incurred in providing supply and other miscellaneous charges) Regulations, except Meter Charges, will continue to apply.
7. Conversion of Ratings of electrical appliances and equipments from kilowatt to B.H.P. or vice versa will be done, when necessary, at the rate of 0.746 kilowatt equal to 1 B.H.P.
8. The billing of fixed charges based on contracted load or maximum demand shall be done in multiples of 0.5 (one half) Horse Power, kilo watt or kilo volt ampere (HP, kW, kVA) as the case may be. The fraction of less than 0.5 shall be rounded off to next 0.5. The billing of energy charges will be done on complete one kilo-watt-hour (kWh).
9. The Connected Load for the purpose of billing will be taken as the maximum load connected during the billing period.
10. The Fixed charges, minimum charges, demand charges, and the slabs of consumption of energy for energy charges mentioned shall not be subject to any adjustment on account of existence of any broken period within billing period arising from consumer supply being connected or disconnected any time within the duration of billing period for any reason.



11. Contract Demand shall mean the maximum kW / kVA for the supply of which licensee undertakes to provide facilities to the consumer from time to time.
12. Fuel Cost and Power Purchase Adjustment Charges shall be applicable in accordance with the Formula approved by the Gujarat Electricity Regulatory Commission from time to time.
13. Payment of penal charges for usage in excess of contract demand / load for any billing period does not entitle the consumer to draw in excess of contract demand / load as a matter of right.
14. The payment of power factor penalty does not exempt the consumer from taking steps to improve the power factor to the levels specified in the Regulations notified under the Electricity Act, 2003 and licensee shall be entitled to take any other action deemed necessary and authorized under the Act.
15. Delayed payment charges for all consumers:
 - No delayed payment charges shall be levied if the bill is paid within ten days from the date of billing (excluding date of billing).
 - Delayed payment charges will be levied at the rate of 15% per annum in case of all consumers except Agricultural category for the period from the due date till the date of payment if the bill is paid after due date. Delayed payment charges will be levied at the rate of 12% per annum for the consumer governed under Rate AG from the due date till the date of payment if the bill is paid after due date.
 - For Government dues, the delayed payment charges will be levied at the rate provided under the relevant Electricity Duty Act.



PART - I

SCHEDULE OF TARIFF FOR SUPPLY OF ELECTRICITY

AT LOW AND MEDIUM VOLTAGE

1. RATE: RGP

This tariff is applicable to all services in the residential premises which are not covered under 'Rate: RGP (Rural)' Category.

- Single Phase Supply – Aggregate load up to 6 kW
- Three Phase Supply – Aggregate load above 6 kW

1.1. FIXED CHARGES / MONTH:

Range of Connected Load: (Other than BPL Consumers)

(a)	Up to and including 2 kW	Rs. 15/- per month
(b)	Above 2 to 4 kW	Rs. 25/- per month
(c)	Above 4 to 6 kW	Rs. 45/- per month
(b)	Above 6 kW	Rs. 70/- per month

For BPL Household Consumers

(a)	Fixed Charges	Rs. 5/- per Month
-----	---------------	-------------------

PLUS

**1.2. ENERGY CHARGES: FOR THE TOTAL MONTHLY CONSUMPTION:
(OTHER THAN BPL CONSUMERS)**

(a)	First 50 units	305 Paise per Unit
(b)	Next 50 Units	350 Paise per Unit
(c)	Next 150 Units	415 Paise per Unit
(d)	Above 250 Units	520 Paise per Unit



1.3. ENERGY CHARGES: FOR THE TOTAL MONTHLY CONSUMPTION
FOR THE CONSUMERS BELOW POVERTY LINE (BPL) **

(a)	First 50 units	150 Paise per Unit
(b)	For the remaining units	Rate as per RGP

**The consumer who wants to avail the benefit of the above tariff has to produce a copy of the Card issued by the authority concerned at the sub-division office of the Distribution Licensee. The concessional tariff is only for 50 units per month.

1.4. MINIMUM BILL

Payment of fixed charges as specified in 1.1 above

2. RATE: RGP (RURAL)

This tariff will be applicable to all services for residential premises located in areas within Gram Panchayat as defined in the Gujarat Panchayats Act.

- Single Phase Supply – Aggregate load up to 6 kW
- Three Phase Supply – Aggregate load above 6 kW

2.1. FIXED CHARGES

Range of Connected Load: (Other than BPL Consumers)

(a)	Up to and including 2 kW	Rs. 15/- per month
(b)	Above 2 to 4 kW	Rs. 25/- per month
(c)	Above 4 to 6 kW	Rs. 45/- per month
(b)	Above 6 kW	Rs. 70/- per month

For BPL Household Consumers

Fixed Charges	Rs. 5/- per month
---------------	-------------------



PLUS

**2.2. ENERGY CHARGES: FOR THE TOTAL MONTHLY CONSUMPTION:
(OTHER THAN BPL CONSUMERS)**

(a)	First 50 units	265 Paise per Unit
(b)	Next 50 Units	310 Paise per Unit
(c)	Next 150 units	375 Paise per Unit
(d)	Above 250 units	490 Paise per Unit

**2.3. ENERGY CHARGES: FOR THE TOTAL MONTHLY CONSUMPTION:
FOR THE CONSUMER BELOW POVERTY LINE (BPL)****

(a)	First 50 units	150 Paise per Unit
(b)	For remaining units	Rate as per RGP (Rural)

**The consumer who wants to avail the benefit of the above tariff has to produce a copy of the Card issued by the authority concerned at the sub-division office of the Distribution Licensee. The concessional tariff is only for 50 units per month.

2.4. MINIMUM BILL

Payment of fixed charges as specified in 2.1 above.

Note: If the part of the residential premises is used for non-residential (commercial) purposes by the consumers located within 'Gram Panchayat' as defined in Gujarat Panchayat Act, entire consumption will be charged under this tariff.

3. RATE: GLP

This tariff is applicable to

- (i) the educational institutes and other institutions registered with the Charity Commissioner or similarly placed authority designated by the Government of India for such intended purpose;
- (ii) research and development laboratories;
- (iii) Street Light*



Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Truing up for FY 2018-19 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21

(a)	Fixed charges	Rs. 70/- per Installation per Month
(b)	Energy charges	390 Paise per Unit

* Maintenance of street lighting conductor provided on the pole to connect the street light is to be carried out by Distribution Licensee. The consumer utilising electricity for street lighting purpose shall arrange for renewal, maintenance and replacement of lamp, associated Fixture, connecting wire, disconnecting device, switch including time switch etc. at his cost by person authorised by him in this behalf under Rule-3 of the Indian Electricity Rules, 1956/ Rules issued by CEA under the Electricity Act, 2003.

4. RATE: NON-RGP

This tariff is applicable to the services for the premises those are not covered in any other tariff categories and having aggregate load up to and including 40 kW.

Consumer under this category may opt to be charged as per category – ‘RATE: LTMD’

4.1. FIXED CHARGES PER MONTH

(a)	First 10 kW of connected load	Rs. 50/- per kW
(b)	For next 30 kW of connected load	Rs. 85/- per kW

PLUS

4.2. ENERGY CHARGES:

(a)	For installation having contracted load up to and including 10 kW: for entire consumption during the month	435 Paise per Unit
(b)	For installation having contracted load exceeding 10 kW: for entire consumption during the month	465 Paise per Unit

4.3. MINIMUM BILL PER INSTALLATION FOR SEASONAL CONSUMERS

4.3.1. “Seasonal Consumers”, shall mean a consumer who takes and uses power supply for ice factory, ice candy machines, ginning and pressing factory, oil mill, rice mill, huller, salt industry, sugar factory, khandsari, cold storage plants (including such plants in



fisheries industry), tapioca industries manufacturing starch, vegetable dehydration industries.

- 4.3.2.** Any consumer, who desires to be billed for the minimum charges on annual basis shall intimate to that effect in writing at least one month before commencement of billing period about the off-season during which energy consumption, if any, shall be mainly for overhauling of the plant and machinery. The off-season period at any time shall be a full calendar month/months. The total period of the off-season so declared and observed shall be not less than three calendar months in a calendar year.
- 4.3.3.** The total minimum amount under the head “Fixed and Energy Charges” payable by the seasonal consumer satisfying the eligibility criteria under sub-clause 4.3.1 above and complying with the provision stipulated under sub-clause 4.3.2 above shall be Rs. 1800 per annum per kW of the contracted load/ sanctioned load.
- 4.3.4.** The units consumed during the off-season period shall be charged for at a flat rate of 480 Paise per unit.
- 4.3.5.** The electricity bills related to the off-season period shall not be taken into account towards the amount payable against the annual minimum bill. The amount paid by the consumer towards the electricity bills related to the seasonal period only under the heads “Fixed Charges” and “Energy Charges”, shall be taken into account while determining the amount of short-fall payable towards the annual minimum bill as specified under sub-clause 4.3.3 above.
- 4.3.6.** Seasonal consumer is required to submit to the Distribution Licensee an irrevocable Bank Guarantee from a Nationalised or Scheduled Commercial Bank equal to the difference of amount/ Bank Guarantee lying with the Distribution Licensee as Security Deposit and minimum bill calculated at the rate shown in para 4.3.3 with the Contracted Load/ Sanctioned Load of such consumer. If the Contracted Load/ Sanctioned Load is revised upward during the calendar year, the consumer shall submit a revised Bank Guarantee or additional Bank Guarantee as calculated above to the Licensee. The cost of such Bank Guarantee/s shall be borne by the consumer. It shall be the responsibility of the consumer to keep the bank guarantee/s valid at all times and to renew the bank guarantee/s at least 1 months prior to its expiry



5. RATE: LTMD

This tariff is applicable to the services for the premises those are not covered in any other tariff categories and having aggregate load above 40 kW and up to 100 kW.

This tariff shall also be applicable to consumer covered in category- 'Rate: Non-RGP' so opts to be charged in place of 'Rate: Non-RGP' tariff.

5.1. DEMAND CHARGE:

	For billing demand up to the Contract demand	
(a)	(i) For first 40 kW of billing demand	Rs. 90/-per kW per month
	(ii) Next 20 kW of billing demand	Rs. 130/-per kW per month
	(iii) Above 60 kW of billing demand	Rs. 195/- per kW per month
(b)	For billing demand in excess of the contract demand	Rs. 265/- per kW

PLUS

5.2. ENERGY CHARGE:

For the entire consumption during the month	460 Paise per Unit
---	--------------------

PLUS

5.3. REACTIVE ENERGY CHARGES:

For all the reactive units (kVARh) during the month	10 Paise per kVARh
---	--------------------

5.4. BILLING DEMAND

The billing demand shall be highest of the following:

- a) Eighty-five percent of the contract demand
- b) Actual maximum demand registered during the month
- c) 6 kW



5.5. MINIMUM BILL

Payment of demand charges every month based on the billing demand.

5.6. SEASONAL CONSUMERS TAKING LTMD SUPPLY:

- 5.6.1** The expression, “Seasonal Consumer”, shall mean a consumer who takes and uses power supply for ice factory, ice-candy machines, ginning and pressing factory, oil mill, rice mill, salt industry, sugar factory, khandsari, cold storage plants (including such plants in fishery industry), tapioca industries manufacturing starch, pumping load or irrigation, white coal manufacturers, vegetable dehydration industries.
- 5.6.2** Any consumer, who desires to be billed for the minimum charges on annual basis shall intimate to that effect in writing at least one month before commencement of billing period about the off-season during which energy consumption, if any, shall be mainly for overhauling of the plant and machinery. The off-season period at any time shall be a full calendar month/months. The total period of the off-season so declared and observed shall be not less than three calendar months in a calendar year.
- 5.6.3** The total minimum amount under the head “Demand and Energy Charges” payable by a seasonal consumer satisfying the eligibility criteria under sub-clause 5.6.1 above and complying with provisions stipulated under sub-clause 5.6.2 above shall be Rs. 2970 per annum per kW of the billing demand.
- 5.6.4** The billing demand shall be the highest of the following:
- a) The highest of the actual maximum demand registered during the calendar year.
 - b) Eighty-five percent of the arithmetic average of contract demand during the year.
 - c) 6 kW
- 5.6.5** Units consumed during the off-season period shall be charged for at the flat rate of 470 Paise per unit.
- 5.6.6** Seasonal consumer is required to submit to the Distribution Licensee an irrevocable Bank Guarantee from a Nationalised or Scheduled Commercial Bank equal to the difference of amount/ Bank Guarantee lying with the Licensee as Security Deposit and minimum bill calculated at the rate shown in para 5.6.3 for the higher of Contract Demand or Billing Demand. If the Contract Demand is revised upward during the calendar year, the consumer shall submit a revised Bank Guarantee or additional Bank Guarantee as calculated above to the Licensee. The cost of such Bank Guarantee/s shall be borne by the consumer. It shall be the responsibility of the consumer to keep



the bank guarantee/s valid at all times and to renew the bank guarantee/s at least 1 months prior to its expiry.

6. RATE: NON-RGP NIGHT

This tariff is applicable for aggregate load up to 40 kW and using electricity **exclusively during night hours** from 10:00 PM to 06:00 AM next day. (The supply hours shall be regulated through time switch to be provided by the consumer at his cost.)

6.1. FIXED CHARGES PER MONTH:

50% of the Fixed charges specified in Rate Non-RGP above
--

PLUS

6.2. ENERGY CHARGES:

For the entire consumption during the month	260 Paise per unit
---	--------------------

NOTE:

1. 15% of the contracted demand can be availed beyond the night hours prescribed as per para 6 above.
2. 10% of total units consumed during the billing period can be availed beyond the night hours prescribed as per para 6 above.
3. In case the consumer failed to observe condition no. 1 above during any of the billing month, then fixed charge during the relevant billing month shall be billed as per Non-RGP category fixed charge rates given in para 4.1 of this schedule.
4. In case the consumer failed to observe condition no. 2 above during any of the billing month, then entire energy consumption during the relevant billing month shall be billed as per Non-RGP category energy charge rates given in para 4.2 of this schedule.
5. In case the consumer failed to observe above condition no. 1 and 2 both during any of the billing month, then fixed charge and entire energy consumption during the relevant



billing month shall be billed as per Non-RGP category fixed charge and energy charge rates given in para 4.1 and 4.2 respectively, of this schedule.

6. *This tariff shall be applicable if the consumer so opts to be charged in place of Non-RGP tariff by using electricity exclusively during night hours as above.*

This option can be exercised to shift from NON-RGP tariff category to NON-RGP NIGHT tariff or from NON-RGP NIGHT tariff category to NON-RGP tariff four times in a calendar year by giving not less than 15 days' advance notice in writing before commencement of billing period.

7. RATE: LTMD-NIGHT

This tariff is applicable for aggregate load above 40 kW and using electricity **exclusively during night hours** from 10.00 PM to 06.00 AM next day. (The supply hours shall be regulated through time switch to be provided by the consumer at his cost.)

7.1 DEMAND CHARGES PER MONTH:

50% of the Demand charges specified in Rate LTMD above

PLUS

7.2. ENERGY CHARGES:

For entire consumption during the month	260 Paise per unit
---	--------------------

PLUS

7.3. REACTIVE ENERGY CHARGES:

For all reactive units (kVARh) drawn during the month	10 Paise per kVARh
---	--------------------

NOTE:

260 P

- 15% of the contracted demand can be availed beyond the night hours prescribed as per para 7 above.*
- 10% of total units consumed during the billing period can be availed beyond the night hours prescribed as per para 7 above.*



3. *In case the consumer failed to observe condition no. 1 above during any of the billing month, then demand charge during the relevant billing month shall be billed as per LTMD category demand charge rates given in para 5.1 of this schedule.*
4. *In case the consumer failed to observe condition no. 2 above during any of the billing month, then entire energy consumption during the relevant billing month shall be billed as per LTMD category energy charge rates given in para 5.2 of this schedule.*
5. *In case the consumer failed to observe above condition no. 1 and 2 both during any of the billing month, then entire energy consumption during the relevant billing month shall be billed as per LTMD category demand charge and energy charge rates given in para 5.1 and 5.2 respectively, of this schedule.*
6. *This tariff shall be applicable if the consumer so opts to be charged in place of LTMD tariff by using electricity exclusively during night hours as above.*
7. *This option can be exercised to shift from LTMD tariff category to LTMD NIGHT tariff or from LTMD- NIGHT tariff category to LTMD tariff four times in a calendar year by giving not less than 15 days' advance notice in writing before commencement of billing period.*

8. RATE: LTP- LIFT IRRIGATION

Applicable for supply of electricity to Low Tension Agricultural consumers contracting load up to 125 HP requiring continuous (twenty-four hours) power supply for lifting water from surface water sources such as canal, river, & dam and supplying water directly to the fields of farmers for agricultural irrigation only.

(a)	Fixed charges per month	Rs. 20/- per HP
PLUS		
(b)	Energy charges per month; For entire consumption during the month	80 Paise per Unit



9. RATE: WWSP

This tariff shall be applicable to services used for water works and sewerage pumping purposes.

9.1 Type I- Water works and sewerage pumps operated by other than local authority

(a)	Fixed charges per month	Rs. 25/- per HP
PLUS		
(b)	Energy charges per month; For entire consumption during the month	430 Paise per Unit

9.2 Type II- Water Works and sewerage pumps operated by local authority such as Municipal Corporation, Gujarat Water Supply & Sewerage Board located outside Gram Panchayat Area will also attract this tariff:

(a)	Fixed charges per month	Rs. 20/- per HP
PLUS		
(b)	Energy charges per month; For entire consumption during the month	410 Paise per Unit

9.3 Type III- Water Works and sewerage pumps operated by Municipalities/ Nagarpalikas/ and Gram Panchayats or Gujarat Water Supply & Sewerage Board for its installations located in Gram Panchayats:

Energy charges per month: For entire consumption during the month	320 Paise /Unit
--	-----------------

9.4 TIME OF USE DISCOUNT:

Applicable to all the water works consumers having connected load of 50 HP and above for the energy consumption during the Off-Peak Load Hours of the Day.

For energy consumption during the off-peak period, viz, 1100 Hrs to 1800 Hrs	40 Paise per Unit
For energy consumption during night hours, viz, 2200 Hrs to 0600 Hrs next day	85 Paise per Unit



10. RATE: AG

This tariff is applicable to services used for irrigation purposes only excluding installations covered under LTP- Lift Irrigation category.

10.1 The rates for following group are as under:

10.1.1 HP BASED TARIFF

For entire contracted load	Rs. 200 per HP per month
----------------------------	--------------------------

ALTERNATIVELY

10.1.2 METERED TARIFF

Fixed Charges	Rs. 20 per HP per month
Energy Charges: For entire consumption during the month	60 Paise per Unit

10.1.3 TATKAL SCHEME

Fixed Charges	Rs. 20 per HP per month
Energy Charges: For entire consumption during the month	80 Paise per Unit

NOTE: The consumers under Tatkhal scheme shall be eligible for normal metered tariff as above, on completion of five years period from the date of commencement of supply.

10.2 No machinery other than pump water for irrigation (and a single bulb or CFL up to 40 watts) will be permitted under this tariff. Any other machinery connected in the installation governed under this tariff shall be charged separately at appropriate tariff for which consumers shall have to take separate connection.

10.3 Agricultural consumers who desire to supply water to brick manufacturing units shall have to pay Rs. 100/HP per annum subject to minimum of Rs. 2000/- per year for each brick Mfg. Unit to which water is supplied in addition to existing rate of HP based / metered agricultural tariff.

10.4 Such Agricultural consumers shall have to pay the above charges for a full financial year irrespective of whether they supply water to the brick manufacturing unit for full or part of the Financial Year.



Agricultural consumers shall have to declare their intention for supply of the water to such brick manufacturing units in advance and pay charges accordingly before commencement of the financial year (i.e. in March every year).

11. RATE- TMP

This tariff is applicable to services of electricity supply for temporary period at the low voltage. A consumer not taking supply on regular basis under a proper agreement shall be deemed to be taking supply for temporary period.

11.1 FIXED CHARGE

Fixed Charge per Installation	Rs. 15 per kW per Day
-------------------------------	-----------------------

11.2 ENERGY CHARGE

A flat rate of	465 Paise per Unit
----------------	--------------------

Note: Payment of bills is to be made within seven days from the date of issue of the bill. Supply would be disconnected for non-payment of dues on 24 hours' notice.

12. RATE- LT ELECTRIC VEHICLE (EV) CHARGING STATIONS

This tariff is applicable to consumers who use electricity **exclusively** for Electric Vehicle Charging installations.

Other consumers can use their regular electricity supply for charging electric vehicle under same regular category i.e. RGP, RGP (RURAL), GLP, LTMD, NON-RGP NIGHT, LTMD-NIGHT, etc. as the case may be.

12.1 FIXED CHARGES

Fixed Charge	Rs. 25 per Installation per Month
--------------	-----------------------------------

12.2 ENERGY CHARGES: FOR THE ENTIRE MONTHLY CONSUMPTION

Energy Charge	410 Paise per Unit
---------------	--------------------



PART - II

TARIFFS FOR SUPPLY OF ELECTRICITY AT HIGH TENSION

(3.3 KV AND ABOVE, 3-PHASE 50 HERTZ), AND EXTRA HIGH TENSION

The following tariffs are available for supply at high tension for large power services for contract demand not less than 100 kVA

13. RATE- HTP-1

This tariff will be applicable for supply of electricity to HT consumers contracted for 100 kVA and above for regular power supply and requiring the power supply for the purposes not specified in any other HT Categories.

13.1 DEMAND CHARGES:

13.1.1 For billing demand up to contract demand

(a)	For the first 500 kVA of billing demand	Rs. 150/- per kVA per month
(b)	For next 500 kVA of billing demand	Rs. 260/- per kVA per month
(c)	For billing demand in excess of 1000 kVA	Rs. 475/- per kVA per month

13.1.2 For billing Demand in Excess of Contract Demand

For billing demand in excess over the contract demand	Rs. 555 per kVA per month
---	---------------------------

PLUS

13.2 ENERGY CHARGES

For entire consumption during the month		
(a)	Up to 500 kVA of billing demand	400 Paise per unit
(b)	For billing demand above 500 kVA and up to 2500 kVA	420 Paise per Unit
(c)	For billing demand above 2500 kVA	430 Paise per Unit

PLUS

13.3 TIME OF USE CHARGES

For energy consumption during the two peak periods, viz, 0700 Hrs to 1100 Hrs and 1800 Hrs. to 2200 Hrs.		
(a)	For Billing Demand up to 500 kVA	45 Paise per unit
(b)	For billing demand above 500 kVA	85 Paise per Unit



13.4 BILLING DEMAND

The billing demand shall be the highest of the following:

- a) Actual maximum demand established during the month
- b) Eighty-five percent of the contract demand
- c) One hundred kVA

13.5 MINIMUM BILLS:

Payment of “demand charges” based on kVA of billing demand.

13.6 POWER FACTOR ADJUSTMENT CHARGES:

13.6.1 Penalty for poor Power Factor:

- a) The power factor adjustment charges shall be levied at the rate of 1% on the total amount of electricity bills for the month under the head “Energy Charges”, arrived at using tariff as per para 13.2 of this schedule, for every 1% drop or part thereof in the average power factor during the month below 90% up to 85%.
- b) In addition to the above clause, for every 1% drop or part thereof in average power factor during the month below 85% at the rate of 2% on the total amount of electricity bill for that month under the head “Energy Charges”, arrived at using tariff as per para 13.2 of this schedule, will be charged.

13.6.2 Power Factor Rebate

If the power factor of the consumer’s installation in any month is above 95%, the consumer will be entitled to a rebate at the rate of 0.5% (half percent) in excess of 95% power factor on the total amount of electricity bill for that month under the head “Energy Charges”, arrived at using tariff as per para 13.2 of this schedule, for every 1% rise or part thereof in the average power factor during the month above 95%.

13.7 MAXIMUM DEMAND AND ITS MEASUREMENT:

The maximum demand in kW or kVA, as the case may be, shall mean an average kW/kVA supplied during consecutive 30/15 minutes or if consumer is having parallel operation with the grid and has opted for 3 minutes, period of maximum use where such meter with the features of reading the maximum demand in kW/kVA directly, have been provided.



13.8 CONTRACT DEMAND:

The contract demand shall mean the maximum kW/kVA for the supply, of which the supplier undertakes to provide facilities from time to time.

13.9 REBATE FOR SUPPLY AT EHV:

On Energy charges:		Rebate @
(a)	If supply is availed at 33/66 kV	0.75%
(b)	If supply is availed at 132 kV and above	1.25%

13.10 CONCESSION FOR USE OF ELECTRICITY DURING NIGHT HOURS:

For the consumer eligible for using supply at any time during 24 hours, entire consumption shall be billed at the energy charges specified above. However, the energy consumed during night hours of 10.00 PM to 06.00 AM next morning shall be eligible for concession at the rate of 43 Paise per unit.

13.11 SEASONAL CONSUMERS TAKING HT SUPPLY:

13.11.1 The expression, "Seasonal Consumer", shall mean a consumer who takes and uses power supply for ice factory, ice-candy machines, ginning and pressing factory, oil mill, rice mill, salt industry, sugar factory, khandsari, cold storage plants (including such plants in fishery industry), tapioca industries manufacturing starch, pumping load or irrigation, white coal manufacturers, vegetable dehydration industries.

13.11.2 Any consumer, who desires to be billed for the minimum charges on annual basis shall intimate to that effect in writing at least one month before commencement of billing period about the off-season during which energy consumption, if any, shall be mainly for overhauling of the plant and machinery. The off-season period at any time shall be a full calendar month/months. The total period of the off-season so declared and observed shall be not less than three calendar months in a calendar year.

13.11.3 The total minimum amount under the head "Demand and Energy Charges" payable by a seasonal consumer satisfying the eligibility criteria under sub-clause 13.11.1 above and complying with provisions stipulated under sub-clause 13.11.2 above shall be Rs. 4550 per annum per kVA of the billing demand.

13.11.4 The billing demand shall be the highest of the following:

- The highest of the actual maximum demand registered during the calendar year.
- Eighty-five percent of the arithmetic average of contract demand during the year.
- One hundred kVA



- 13.11.5 Units consumed during the off-season period shall be charged for at the flat rate of 430 Paise per unit.
- 13.11.6 Electricity Bills paid during off-season period shall not be taken into account towards the amount payable against the annual minimum bill. The amount paid by the consumer towards the electricity bills for seasonal period only under the heads "Demand Charges" and "Energy Charges" shall be taken into account while determining the amount payable towards the annual minimum bill.
- 13.11.7 Seasonal consumer is required to submit to the Distribution Licensee an irrevocable Bank Guarantee from a Nationalised or Scheduled Commercial Bank equal to the difference of amount/ Bank Guarantee lying with the Licensee as Security Deposit and minimum bill calculated at the rate shown in para 13.11.3 for the higher of Contract Demand or Billing Demand. If the Contract Demand is revised upward during the calendar year, the consumer shall submit a revised Bank Guarantee or additional Bank Guarantee as calculated above to the Licensee. The cost of such Bank Guarantee/s shall be borne by the consumer. It shall be the responsibility of the consumer to keep the bank guarantee/s valid at all times and to renew the bank guarantee/s at least 1 months prior to its expiry.

14. RATE- HTP-II

Applicability: This tariff shall be applicable for supply of energy to HT consumers contracting for 100 kVA and above, requiring power supply for Water Works and Sewerage pumping stations run by Local Authorities and GW & SB. GIDC Water Works.

14.1 DEMAND CHARGES:

14.1.1 For billing demand up to contract demand

(a)	For the first 500 kVA of billing demand	Rs. 115/- per kVA per month
(b)	For next 500 kVA of billing demand	Rs. 225/- per kVA per month
(c)	For billing demand in excess of 1000 kVA	Rs. 290/- per kVA per month

14.1.2 For billing demand in excess of contract demand

For billing demand in excess of contract demand	Rs. 360 per kVA per month
---	---------------------------



PLUS

14.2 ENERGY CHARGES:

For entire consumption during the month		
(a)	Up to 500 kVA of billing demand	435 Paise per unit
(b)	For billing demand above 500 kVA and up to 2500 kVA	455 Paise per Unit
(c)	For billing demand above 2500 kVA	465 Paise per Unit

PLUS

14.3 TIME OF USE CHARGES:

For energy consumption during the two peak periods, viz, 0700 Hrs to 1100 Hrs and 1800 Hrs. to 2200 Hrs.		
(a)	For Billing Demand up to 500 kVA	45 Paise per unit
(b)	For billing demand above 500 kVA	85 Paise per Unit

14.4 Billing Demand

14.5 Minimum Bill

14.6 Maximum demand and its measurement

14.7 Contract Demand

14.8 Rebate for supply at EHV

14.9 Concession for use of electricity during night hours

Same as HTP-I Tariff

14.10 POWER FACTOR ADJUSTMENT CHARGES

14.10.1 Penalty for poor Power Factor:

- a) The power factor adjustment charges shall be levied at the rate of 1% on the total amount of electricity bills for the month under the head "Energy Charges", arrived at using tariff as per para 14.2 of this schedule, for every 1% drop or part thereof in the average power factor during the month below 90% up to 85%.
- b) In addition to the above clause, for every 1% drop or part thereof in average power factor during the month below 85% at the rate of 2% on the total amount of electricity



bill for that month under the head “Energy Charges”, arrived at using tariff as per para 14.2 of this schedule, will be charged.

14.10.2 Power Factor Rebate:

If the power factor of the consumer’s installation in any month is above 95%, the consumer will be entitled to a rebate at the rate of 0.5% (half percent) in excess of 95% power factor on the total amount of electricity bill for that month under the head “Energy Charges”, arrived at using tariff as per para 14.2 of this schedule, for every 1% rise or part thereof in the average power factor during the month above 95%.

15. RATE- HTP-III

This tariff shall be applicable to a consumer taking supply of electricity at high voltage, contracting for not less than 100 kVA for temporary period. A consumer not taking supply on regular basis under a proper agreement shall be deemed to be taking supply for temporary period.

15.1 DEMAND CHARGES:

For billing demand up to contract demand	Rs. 18/- per kVA per day
For billing demand in excess of contract demand	Rs. 20/- per kVA per day

15.2 ENERGY CHARGES:

For all units consumed during the month	660 Paise/Unit
---	----------------

PLUS

15.3 TIME OF USE CHARGES:

Additional charge for energy consumption during two peak periods, viz, 0700 Hrs. to 1100 Hrs. and 1800 Hrs. to 2200 Hrs.	85 Paise per Unit
--	-------------------



15.4	Billing Demand		Same as HTP-I Tariff
15.5	Minimum bill		
15.6	Maximum demand and its measurement		
15.7	Contract Demand		
15.8	Rebate for supply at EHV		

15.9 POWER FACTOR ADJUSTMENT CHARGES

15.9.1 Penalty for poor Power Factor:

- a) The power factor adjustment charges shall be levied at the rate of 1% on the total amount of electricity bills for the month under the head “Energy Charges”, arrived at using tariff as per para 15.2 of this schedule, for every 1% drop or part thereof in the average power factor during the month below 90% up to 85%.
- b) In addition to the above clause, for every 1% drop or part thereof in average power factor during the month below 85% at the rate of 2% on the total amount of electricity bill for that month under the head “Energy Charges”, arrived at using tariff as per para 15.2 of this schedule, will be charged.

15.9.2 Power Factor Rebate:

If the power factor of the consumer’s installation in any month is above 95%, the consumer will be entitled to a rebate at the rate of 0.5% (half percent) in excess of 95% power factor on the total amount of electricity bill for that month under the head “Energy Charges”, arrived at using tariff as per para 15.2 of this schedule, for every 1% rise or part thereof in the average power factor during the month above 95%.

16. RATE- HTP-IV

This tariff shall be applicable for supply of electricity to HT consumers opting to use electricity exclusively during night hours from 10.00 PM to 06.00 AM next day and contracted for regular power supply of 100 kVA and above.



16.1 DEMAND CHARGES:

1/3 rd of the Fixed Charges specified in Rate HTP-I above
--

PLUS

16.2 ENERGY CHARGES:

For all units consumed during the month	225 Paise/Unit
---	----------------

16.3 Billing Demand

16.4 Minimum Bill

16.5 Maximum demand and its measurement

16.6 Contract Demand

16.7 Rebate for supply at EHV

Same as HTP-I Tariff

16.8 POWER FACTOR ADJUSTMENT CHARGES:

16.8.1 Penalty for poor Power Factor:

- a) The power factor adjustment charges shall be levied at the rate of 1% on the total amount of electricity bills for the month under the head “Energy Charges”, arrived at using tariff as per para 16.2 of this schedule, for every 1% drop or part thereof in the average power factor during the month below 90% up to 85%.
- b) In addition to the above clause, for every 1% drop or part thereof in average power factor during the month below 85% at the rate of 2% on the total amount of electricity bill for that month under the head “Energy Charges”, arrived at using tariff as per para 16.2 of this schedule, will be charged.

16.8.2 Power Factor Rebate:

If the power factor of the consumer’s installation in any month is above 95%, the consumer will be entitled to a rebate at the rate of 0.5% (half percent) in excess of 95% power factor on the total amount of electricity bill for that month under the head “Energy Charges”, arrived at using tariff as per para 16.2 of this schedule, for every 1% rise or part thereof in the average power factor during the month above 95%.



NOTE:

1. 15% of the contracted demand can be availed beyond the night hours prescribed as per para 16 above.
2. 10% of total units consumed during the billing period can be availed beyond the night hours prescribed as per para 16 above.
3. In case the consumer failed to observe condition no. 1 above during any of the billing month, then demand charge during the relevant billing month shall be billed as per HTP-I category demand charge rates given in para 13.1 of this schedule.
4. In case the consumer failed to observe condition no. 2 above during any of the billing month, then entire energy consumption during the relevant billing month shall be billed as per HTP-I category energy charge rates given in para 13.2 of this schedule.
5. In case the consumer failed to observe above condition no. 1 and 2 both during any of the billing month, then demand charge and entire energy consumption during the relevant billing month shall be billed as per HTP-I category demand charge and energy charge rates given in para 13.1 and 13.2 respectively, of this schedule.
6. This tariff shall be applicable if the consumer so opts to be charged in place of HTP-I tariff by using electricity exclusively during night hours as above.
7. This option can be exercised to shift from HTP-I tariff category to HTP-IV tariff or from HTP-IV tariff category to HTP-I tariff four times in a calendar year by giving not less than 15 days' advance notice in writing before commencement of billing period

17. RATE- HTP-V

HT - Agricultural (for HT Lift Irrigation scheme only)

This tariff shall be applicable for supply of electricity to High Tension Agricultural consumers contracting for 100 kVA and above, requiring power supply for lifting water from surface water sources such as canal, river and dam, and supplying water directly to the fields of farmers for agricultural irrigation only.

17.1 DEMAND CHARGES:

Demand Charges Rs. 25 per kVA per month

PLUS



17.2 ENERGY CHARGES:

For all units consumed during the month	80 Paise/Unit
---	---------------

17.3	Billing Demand	}	Same as per HTP-I Tariff
17.4	Minimum bill		
17.5	Maximum demand and its measurement		
17.6	Contract Demand		
17.7	Rebate for supply at EHV		

17.8 POWER FACTOR ADJUSTMENT CHARGES

17.8.1 Penalty for poor power factor

- a) The power factor adjustment charges shall be levied at the rate of 1% on the total amount of electricity bills for the month under the head “Energy Charges”, arrived at using tariff as per para 17.2 of this schedule, for every 1% drop or part thereof in the average power factor during the month below 90% up to 85%.
- b) In addition to the above clause, for every 1% drop or part thereof in average power factor during the month below 85% at the rate of 2% on the total amount of electricity bill for that month under the head “Energy Charges”, arrived at using tariff as per para 17.2 of this schedule, will be charged

17.8.2 Power Factor Rebate

If the power factor of the consumer’s installation in any month is above 95%, the consumer will be entitled to a rebate at the rate of 0.5% (half percent) in excess of 95% power factor on the total amount of electricity bill for that month under the head “Energy Charges”, arrived at using tariff as per para 17.2 of this schedule, for every 1% rise or part thereof in the average power factor during the month above 95%.

18. RATE- RAILWAY TRACTION

This tariff is applicable for power supply to Railway Traction at 132 kV/66 kV.

18.1 DEMAND CHARGES:

(a)	For billing demand up to the contract demand	Rs. 180 per kVA per month
(b)	For billing demand in excess of contract demand	Rs. 425 per kVA per month



NOTE: In case of the load transfer for traction supply due to non-availability of power supply at preceding or succeeding point of supply or maintenance at Discom's level, excess demand over the contract demand shall be charged at normal rate at appropriate point of supply.

Normal Demand Charges will also apply in case of bunching of trains. However, Discoms shall charge excess demand charges while raising the bills and Railways have to give convincing details and documentary proof of bunching of trains if they want to be charged at the normal demand charges. If satisfactory proof of bunching of trains is provided, Discom shall consider that occasion for normal demand charges, otherwise excess demand charges will be applicable specified as above at 18.1 (b).

PLUS

18.2 ENERGY CHARGES:

For all the units consumed during the month	500 Paise per Unit
---	--------------------

18.3 Billing Demand:	}	Same as HTP-I Tariff
18.4 Minimum Bill		
18.5 Maximum demand and its measurement		
18.6 Contract Demand		
18.7 Rebate for supply at EHV		

18.8 POWER FACTOR ADJUSTMENT CHARGES

18.8.1 Penalty for poor Power Factor:

- a) The power factor adjustment charges shall be levied at the rate of 1% on the total amount of electricity bills for the month under the head "Energy Charges", arrived at using tariff as per para 18.2 of this schedule, for every 1% drop or part thereof in the average power factor during the month below 90% up to 85%.
- b) In addition to the above clause, for every 1% drop or part thereof in average power factor during the month below 85% at the rate of 2% on the total amount of electricity bill for that month under the head "Energy Charges", arrived at using tariff as per para 18.2 of this schedule, will be charged.



18.8.2 Power Factor Rebate:

If the power factor of the consumer's installation in any month is above 95%, the consumer will be entitled to a rebate at the rate of 0.5% (half percent) in excess of 95% power factor on the total amount of electricity bill for that month under the head "Energy Charges", arrived at using tariff as per para 18.2 of this schedule, for every 1% rise or part thereof in the average power factor during the month above 95%.

19. RATE-HT ELECTRIC VEHICLE (EV) CHARGING STATIONS

This tariff is applicable to consumers who use electricity **exclusively** for Electric Vehicle Charging installations.

Other consumers can use their regular electricity supply for charging electric vehicle under same regular category i.e. HTP-I, HTP-II, HTP-III, HTP-IV, HTP-V, RAILWAY TRACTION as the case may be.

19.1 DEMAND CHARGES:

(a)	For billing demand up to the contract demand	Rs. 25/- per kVA per month
(b)	For billing demand in excess of contract demand	Rs. 50/- per kVA per month

PLUS

19.2 ENERGY CHARGES: FOR THE TOTAL MONTHLY CONSUMPTION

ENERGY CHARGE	400 Paise per Unit
---------------	--------------------

19.3 BILLING DEMAND

The billing demand shall be the highest of the following:

- a) Actual maximum demand established during the month
- b) Eighty-five percent of the contract demand
- c) One hundred kVA

